NUMERICAL RESULTS

NNLO corrections to jet production at hadron colliders

João Pires



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Zurich Phenomenology Workshop 2013: Particle Physics in the LHC era January 9, 2013

- in collaboration with A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann, N.Glover

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○ 臣 - のへで

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

OUTLINE

- Motivation for jet cross sections at NNLO
- Features of the NNLO calculation
- Antenna subtraction method
 - ► the double-virtual contribution
- Numerical results
- Conclusions and future work

MOTIVATION NNLO INGREDIENTS •000 000 ANTENNA SUBTRACTION

NUMERICAL RESULTS

INCLUSIVE JET AND DIJET CROSS SECTIONS



- measurements of single jet inclusive jet and dijet observables at the LHC as a function of the jet p_T and rapidity and dijet invariant mass
- probes the basic QCD parton-parton scattering



- ► residual uncertainty due to scale choice at NNLO expected at ≈ few percent level
- ▶ jet energy scale uncertainty has been determined to less than 5% for central jets → expect steady improvement with higher statistics
- ► theoretical prediction with the same precision as the experimental data → need for pQCD predictions at NNLO accuracy



NUMERICAL RESULTS 00000000

INCLUSIVE JET AND DIJET CROSS SECTIONS



- data can be used to constrain parton distribution functions
- size of NNLO correction important for precise determination of PDF's
- inclusion of jet data in NNLO parton distribution fits requires NNLO corrections to jet cross sections

| MOTIVATION | NNLO INGREDIENTS | ANTENNA SUBTRACTION | NUMERICAL RESULTS |
|------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 0000 | 000 | 000 | 0000000 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Measurements of α_s at hadron colliders



CDF run I data gives

 $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1178 \pm 0.0001(\text{stat})^{+0.0081}_{-0.0095}(\text{sys}) \stackrel{+0.0071}{_{-0.0047}}(\text{scale}) \pm 0.0059(\text{pdf})$

• α_s determination from hadronic jet observables limited by the unknown higher order corrections

Sac

| Motivation | NNLO INGREDIENTS | ANTENNA SUBTRACTION | NUMERICAL RESULTS |
|------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 0000 | •00 | 000 | 0000000 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

NNLO INGREDIENTS

QCD jet cross section perturbative expansion at hadron colliders

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma = \sum_{i,j} \int \left[\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{ij}^{LO} + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right) \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{ij}^{NLO} + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{ij}^{NNLO} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3) \right] f_i(x_1) f_j(x_2) dx_1 dx_2$$

► NNLO *m*-jet corrections contains three contributions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO} \sim & \int \left[\langle \mathcal{M}^{(0)} | \mathcal{M}^{(0)} \rangle \right]_{m+4} \mathrm{d}\Phi_{m+2} J_m^{(m+2)} \\ &+ \int \left[\langle \mathcal{M}^{(0)} | \mathcal{M}^{(1)} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{M}^{(1)} | \mathcal{M}^{(0)} \rangle \right]_{m+3} \mathrm{d}\Phi_{m+1} J_m^{(m+1)} \\ &+ \int \left[\langle \mathcal{M}^{(1)} | \mathcal{M}^{(1)} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{M}^{(0)} | \mathcal{M}^{(2)} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{M}^{(2)} | \mathcal{M}^{(0)} \rangle \right]_{m+2} \mathrm{d}\Phi_m J_m^{(m)} \end{aligned}$$

- ► [⟨*M*⁽ⁱ⁾ |*M*^(j)⟩]_M is the interference of *M*-particle *i*-loop and *j*-loop amplitudes
- ► NNLO PDF's [MSTW, ABKM, NNPDF]
- ▶ NNLO DGLAP evolution [Moch, Vermaseren, Vogt '04]





- tree level 2 → 4 matrix elements [Berends, Giele '87], [Mangano, Parke, Xu '87], [Britto, Cachazo, Feng '06]
- ► 1-loop 2 → 3 matrix elements [Bern, Dixon, Kosower '93]
- ► 2-loop 2 → 2 matrix elements [Anastasiou, Glover, Oleari, Tejeda-Yeomans '01], [Bern, De Freitas, Dixon '02]

| Motivation | NNLO INGREDIENTS | ANTENNA SUBTRACTION | NUMERICAL RESULTS |
|------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 0000 | 000 | 000 | 0000000 |
| | | | |

$$\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{\textit{NNLO}} \hspace{2mm} = \hspace{2mm} \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_4} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{\textit{NNLO}}^{\textit{RR}} + \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_3} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{\textit{NNLO}}^{\textit{RV}} + \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_2} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{\textit{NNLO}}^{\textit{VV}}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{RR} &= \mathcal{N} \, \mathrm{d}\Phi_4(p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6; p_1, p_2) |\mathcal{M}_{gg \to gggg}^{(0)}|^2 \, J_2^{(4)}(p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6) \\ \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{RV} &= \mathcal{N} \, \mathrm{d}\Phi_3(p_3, p_4, p_5; p_1, p_2) \\ & \left(\mathcal{M}_{gg \to ggg}^{(0)^*} \mathcal{M}_{gg \to ggg}^{(1)} + \mathcal{M}_{gg \to ggg}^{(0)} \mathcal{M}_{gg \to ggg}^{(1)^*}\right) \, J_2^{(3)}(p_3, p_4, p_5) \\ \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{VV} &= \mathcal{N} \, \mathrm{d}\Phi_2(p_3, p_4; p_1, p_2) \\ & \left(\mathcal{M}_{gg \to ggg}^{(2)^*} \mathcal{M}_{gg \to ggg}^{(0)} + \mathcal{M}_{gg \to ggg}^{(0)} \mathcal{M}_{gg \to ggg}^{(2)^*} + |\mathcal{M}_{gg \to ggg}^{(1)}|^2\right) \, J_2^{(2)}(p_3, p_4) \end{split}$$

- explicit infrared poles from loop integrations
- implicit poles in phase space regions for single and double unresolved gluon emission
- procedure to extract the infrared singularities and assemble all the parts

IVATION NNLO INGREDIENTS 0 000

NNLO ANTENNA SUBTRACTION

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO} &= \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m+2}} \left(\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{RR} - \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{S} \right) \\ &+ \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m+1}} \left(\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{RV} - \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{T} \right) \\ &+ \int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{m}} \left(\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{VV} - \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{U} \right) \end{split}$$

- $d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{S}$: real radiation subtraction term for $d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{RR}$
- ► $d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{T}$: one-loop virtual subtraction term for $d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{RV}$
- $d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{U}$: two-loop virtual subtraction term for $d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{VV}$
- contribution in each of the square brackets is finite, well behaved in the infrared singular regions and can be evaluated numerically
- ► subtraction terms constructed using the antenna subtraction method at NNLO for hadron colliders → presence of initial state partons to take into account

MOTIVATION NNLO INGREDIENTS 0000 000 NUMERICAL RESULTS

NNLO ANTENNA SUBTRACTION

• universal factorisation of both colour ordered matrix elements and the (m+2)- particle phase space \rightarrow colour connected unresolved particles



 $|M_{m+4}(\ldots,i,j,k,l,\ldots)|^2 J(\{p_{m+4}\}) \longrightarrow |M_{m+2}(\ldots,I,L,\ldots)|^2 J(\{p_{m+2}\}) \cdot X_4^0(i,j,k,l)$

► phase-space factorisation $d\Phi_{m+2}(p_a, \dots, p_i, p_j, p_k, p_l, \dots, p_{m+2}) = d\Phi_m(p_a, \dots, p_l, p_L, \dots, p_{m+2})$ $d\Phi_{X_{ijkl}}(p_i, p_j, p_k, p_l)$

integrated antennae is the inclusive integral

$$\mathcal{X}^0_{ijkl}(s_{ijkl}) = \frac{1}{C(\epsilon)^2} \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_{X_{ijkl}}(p_i, p_j, p_k, p_l) X^0_4(i, j, k, l)$$

| MOTIVATION | NNLO INGREDIENTS | ANTENNA SUBTRACTION | NUMERICAL RESULTS |
|------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 0000 | 000 | 000 | 0000000 |
| | | | |

INTEGRATED ANTENNAE

- antennae integrals are performed once and for all to become universal building blocks for subtraction of IR singularities at NNLO
- massless antennae (m = 0)

| | NLO | NNLO |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| final-final | \checkmark^1 | \checkmark^1 |
| initial-final | $\sqrt{2}$ | $\sqrt{3}$ |
| initial-initial | $\sqrt{2}$ | $\checkmark^{4,5,6}$ |

[1] A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann and E. W. N. Glover, *JHEP* 09 (2005) 056 [hep-ph/0505111];
[2] A. Daleo, T. Gehrmann and D. Maître, *JHEP* 04 (2007) 016 [hep-ph/0612257];

[3] A. Daleo, A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann and G. Luisoni, *JHEP* **01** (2010) 118 [0912.0374];

[4] R. Boughezal, A. Gehrmann-De Ridder and M. Ritzmann, *JHEP* 02 (2011) 098 [1011.6631];

[5] T. Gehrmann, P.F. Monni, JHEP 12 (2011) 049 [1107.4037];

[6] A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann and M. Ritzmann, *JHEP* **10** (2012) 047 [1207.5779];

Motivation 0000

events

NNLO INGREDIENTS

NNLO CORRECTIONS TO $pp \rightarrow 2j$



Double-real contribution

- $d\sigma_{NNLO}^{RR} d\sigma_{NNLO}^{S} \quad \text{(gluons only)}$
- numerical convergence between double-real matrix element dσ^{RR}_{NNLO} and antenna subtraction term dσ^S_{NNLO} tested in all soft and collinear phase space regions [N.Glover, JP]



 numerical convergence tested in all soft or collinear phase space regions
 [A.Gehrmann-De Ridder, N.Glover, JP]

| Motivation | NNLO INGREDIENT |
|------------|-----------------|
| 0000 | 000 |

DOUBLE-VIRTUAL CONTRIBUTION

$$\int_{\mathrm{d}\Phi_m} \left(\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}^{VV}_{\scriptscriptstyle NNLO} - \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}^{U}_{\scriptscriptstyle NNLO}
ight)$$

• renormalized $d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{VV}$ contains explicit infrared ϵ -poles

J

- $d\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{U}$ is made up of integrated subtraction terms from the double-real radiation and real-virtual radiation
- ► initial-state collinear singularities absorbed by the mass factorization counterterm dô^{MF,2}_{NNLO}

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma_{NNLO}^{U} = -\int_{2}\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{S} - \int_{1}\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{VS} - \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{NNLO}^{MF,2}$$

 to show explicit pole cancellation at NNLO recast integrated subtraction terms and mass factorization contribution in a form of a convolution integral evaluated analytically

| | gg | qg | qq |
|---|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| $\Gamma^1\otimes\Gamma^1$ | \checkmark^1 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| $\mathcal{X}_3^0\otimes\Gamma^1$ | \checkmark^1 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| $\mathcal{X}_3^0\otimes\mathcal{X}_3^0$ | \checkmark^1 | \checkmark | \checkmark |

[1] [A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann, N. Glover, JP]

| Motivation | NNLO INGREDIENTS | ANTENNA SUBTRACTION | NUMERICAL RESULTS |
|------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 0000 | 000 | 000 | 0000000 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

DOUBLE-VIRTUAL CONTRIBUTION

new structures arise made from the integrated antennae building blocks

$$\mathbb{X}_{3}^{0}(\bar{1}_{g},\bar{2}_{g},i_{g},j_{g};z_{1},z_{2}) = \mathcal{F}_{3}^{0}(s_{\bar{1}\bar{2}},z_{1},z_{2}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{3}^{0}(s_{\bar{2}i},z_{1},z_{2}) + \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{F}_{3}^{0}(s_{ij},z_{1},z_{2}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{3}^{0}(s_{j\bar{1}},z_{1},z_{2}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{3}^{0}(s_{j\bar{1}},z_{2},z_{2}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{$$

integrated antennae string with the mass factorization contribution is in direct connection with the *I*₁ operator of Catani at NLO

$$-2I^{(1)}(\epsilon;\bar{1}_{g},\bar{2}_{g},i_{g},j_{g};z_{1},z_{2}) = \mathbb{X}_{3}^{0}(\bar{1}_{g},\bar{2}_{g},i_{g},j_{g};z_{1},z_{2}) - \Gamma^{(1)}_{gg;gg}(z_{1},z_{2})$$

 similarly at NNLO the integrated antennae convolution integrals together with the mass factorization contribution yield in the double-virtual channel

$$-2\mathbf{I}^{(1)}(\epsilon;\bar{1}_{g},\bar{2}_{g},i_{g},j_{g};z_{1},z_{2})^{2} = \left(\mathbb{X}_{3}^{0}-\Gamma_{gg;gg}^{(1)}\right)\otimes\left(\mathbb{X}_{3}^{0}-\Gamma_{gg;gg}^{(1)}\right)\left(\bar{1}_{g},\bar{2}_{g},i_{g},j_{g};z_{1},z_{2}\right)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

DOUBLE-VIRTUAL CONTRIBUTION

- ▶ double virtual antennae subtraction term d^U_{NNLO} written compactly rederives the predicted Catani pole structure of the two-loop contribution in the antennae language
- local (pointwise) analytic cancellation of all infrared explicit ε-poles when combined with two-loop matrix elements

$$\mathcal{P}oles\left(\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{\scriptscriptstyle NNLO}^{\scriptscriptstyle VV}-\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{\scriptscriptstyle NNLO}^{\scriptscriptstyle U}
ight)=0$$
 (gluons only)

| Motivation | NNLO INGREDIENTS | ANTENNA SUBTRACTION | NUMERICAL RESULTS |
|------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 0000 | 000 | 000 | 0000000 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

NUMERICAL SETUP

[A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann, N. Glover, JP] (in preparation)

- ► jets identified with the anti- k_T jet algorithm with resolution parameter R = 0.7
- ► jets accepted at rapidities |*y*| < 4.4
- leading jet with transverse momentum $p_t > 80 \text{ GeV}$
- ▶ subsequent jets required to have at least *p*^{*t*} > 60 GeV
- MSTW2008nnlo PDF
- ► dynamical factorization and renormalization scales equal to the leading jet p_T ($\mu_R = \mu_F = \mu = p_T$)

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

| MOTIVATION | NNLO INGREDIENTS | ANTENNA SUBTRACTION | NUMERICAL RESULTS |
|------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 0000 | 000 | 000 | 00000000 |
| | | | |

NUMERICAL SETUP

[A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann, N. Glover, JP] (in preparation)

- ► jets identified with the anti- k_T jet algorithm with resolution parameter R = 0.7
- ► jets accepted at rapidities |*y*| < 4.4
- leading jet with transverse momentum $p_t > 80 \text{ GeV}$
- ▶ subsequent jets required to have at least *p*^{*t*} > 60 GeV
- MSTW2008nnlo PDF
- ► dynamical factorization and renormalization scales equal to the leading jet p_T ($\mu_R = \mu_F = \mu = p_T$)

Integrated cross section results (gluons only channel - preliminary)

| | $\sigma_{incl.jet}^{8TeV-LO}(pb)$ | $\sigma_{incl.jet}^{8TeV-NLO}(pb)$ | $\sigma_{incl.jet}^{8TeV-NNLO}(pb)$ |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| $\mu = 0.5 p_t$ | $(12.586 \pm 0.001) \times 10^5$ | $(11.299 \pm 0.001) \times 10^5$ | $(15.33 \pm 0.03) \times 10^5$ |
| $\mu = p_t$ | $(9.6495 \pm 0.001) \times 10^5$ | $(12.152 \pm 0.001) \times 10^5$ | $(15.20 \pm 0.02) \times 10^5$ |
| $\mu = 2.0 p_t$ | $(7.5316 \pm 0.001) \times 10^5$ | $(11.824 \pm 0.001) \times 10^5$ | $(15.21 \pm 0.01) \times 10^5$ |

- NNLO result increased by about 25% with respect to the NLO cross section
- flat scale dependence at NNLO

| MOTIVATION | NNLO INGREDIENTS | ANTENNA SUBTRACTION | NUMERICAL RESULTS |
|------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 0000 | 000 | 000 | 00000000 |
| | | | |

• NNLO QCD corrections to inclusive jet p_T distribution (gluons only) [A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann, N. Glover, JP] (in preparation)



NNLO effect stabilizes the NLO k-factor growth with p_T

< □ > < @ > < Ξ >

 Dac

► double differential inclusive jet *p*_T distribution at NNLO

[A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann, N. Glover, JP] (in preparation)





double differential k-factors

- NNLO result varies between 25% to 12% with respect to the NLO cross section
- similar behaviour between the rapidity slices

Э

Sac

| MOTIVATION | NNLO INGREDIENTS | ANTENNA SUBTRACTION | NUMERICAL RESULTS |
|------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 0000 | 000 | 000 | 0000000 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

NNLO QCD corrections to dijet mass distribution (gluons only)
 [A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann, N. Glover, JP] (in preparation)



・ロト・(型ト・(型ト・(型ト・(ロト))

| Motivation | NNLO INGREDIENTS | ANTENNA SUBTRACTION | NUMERICAL RESULTS |
|------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 0000 | 000 | 000 | |
| | | | |

CONCLUSIONS

- antenna subtraction method generalised for the calculation of NNLO QCD corrections for exclusive collider observables with partons in the initial-state
- explicit *ϵ*-poles in the matrix elements are analytically cancelled by the *ϵ*-poles in the subtraction terms
- non-trivial check of analytic cancellation of infrared singularities between double-real, real-virtual and double-virtual corrections
- ▶ proof-of principle implementation of the $gg \rightarrow gg$ contribution to $pp \rightarrow 2j$ at NNLO in the new NNLOJET parton-level generator

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

Future work:

- go beyond gluons only leading colour approximation
- include remaining channels
 - ▶ 4g2q processes
 - 2g4q processes
 - 6q processes