WLCG and SRM: some background

SRM v2.2 deployment Workshop - Edinburgh, UK 12-13 November 2007

> Flavia Donno IT/GD, CERN







- Storage Services in WLCG
- The classic SE
- The SRM v1.1 based SE
- The requirements for a Storage protocol
- The Storage Resource Manager v2.2
- Protocol definition activities
- The study of the SRM v2.2 specification
- Available implementations and test suites
- The GLUE Schema
- The GSSD working group
- Conclusions





Storage Services in WLCG

- Storage Services are crucial components of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid (WLCG) infrastructure spanning more than 200 sites and serving computing and storage resources to the High Energy Physics LHC communities.
- Up to *tens of Petabytes of data* are collected every year by the 4 LHC experiments at CERN.
- It is crucial to *efficiently transfer* data to *Tier-1s* that contribute with their storage and computing power to the reconstruction step.
- An important role is also covered by the *Tier-2s* that provide experiments with the *results of the simulation*. Such results need to be transferred to Tier-1s and safely stored on permanent media.





Storage Services in WLCG: the Classic SE

- *The Classic SE* : an optimized FTP server with Grid authentication and authorization.
 - The first Storage Server in the Grid based on Globus GridFTP
 - Very simple solution that included simple and complex tape-based systems
- What are the capabilities of such a service ?
 - No possibility to query the service itself about its status, space available, etc. (one has to rely on the Information System)
- How are data accessed on such a storage server ?
 - Protocols supported: NFS/file, rfio, root, gsiftp
 - Discovery of related information
 - Different root directory for GridFTP and NFS or rfio
- What about growing file systems according to needs? Or more in general managing space?
 - Sometimes very hard
 - No explicit support for tape backend (pre-staging, pool selection, etc.)





Storage Services in WLCG: the SRM v1.1 SE

- The need for a standard interface for storage services in Grid was recognized.
 - International collaboration: LBNL, FNAL, CERN, JLAB
 - Provide basic functionality required
 - SRM v1.0 proposed. SRM v1.1 provides a Copy operation
 - SRM v1.1 implemented by all major storage providers: CASTOR, dCache, DPM
- The main functions:
 - Get, getRequestStatus, pin, unpin
 - Put, setFileStatus, Copy
 - getProtocols, AdvisoryDelete, FileMetaData
- Main features:
 - Asynchronous operations
 - Support for bulk requests
 - Protocol negotiation
- Main problems:
 - Missing reference implementation/No clear specs
 - Advisory delete
 - No space management
 - No explicit quality of storage management
 - No abort operations
 - No staging operations
 - ...





Requirements definition by dates

- In *June 2005* the *Baseline Service Working Group* published a report:
 - http://lcg.web.cern.ch/LCG/peb/bs/BSReport-v1.0.pdf
 - A Storage Element Service is mandatory and high priority.
 - The experiment requirements for a Grid storage service are defined
 - The full set of recommended feature available by *February 2006*
 - Experiments agree to use only high-level tools as interface to SRM
- The report was based on the early experience acquired with SRM v1.1 and v2.1 (never deployed).
- *Mumbai workshop* (*CHEP2006*): the experiments had learned more about what was needed and changed their requirements.
- In *May 2006* at FNAL the WLCG SRM Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) was agreed on:
 - http://cd-docdb.fnal.gov/0015/001583/001/SRMLCG-MoU-day2%5B1%5D.pdf





Basic requirements

- Support for Permanent files (and volatile copies)
- Support for Permanent Space
- Space Reservation : static only per VO.
 - If dynamic space reservation is available, allow for the possibility of releasing the allocated space
- Permission Functions only on directories based on VOMS group/roles
- Directory Management Functions
- Data Transfer and File Removal Functions
- File access protocol negotiation
- VO-specific relative paths





The Storage Resource Manager SRM v2.2

- The *Storage Resource Manager* (SRM) is a *middleware component* whose function is to provide *dynamic space allocation* and *file management* on shared storage components *on the Grid*.
- More precisely, the SRM is a *Grid service* with several different implementations. Its main specification documents are:
 - A. Sim, A. Shoshani (eds.), The Storage Resource Manager Interface Specication, v. 2.2, available at http://sdm.lbl.gov/srm-wg/doc/SRM.v2.2.pdf.
 - F. Donno et al., Storage Element Model for SRM 2.2 and GLUE schema description, v3.5 available at: http://glueschema.forge.cnaf.infn.it/uploads/Spec/V13/SE-Model-3.5.pdf





The SRM Interface in a nutshell

- The SRM Interface Specification lists the *service requests*, along with the *data types* for their arguments.
- Function signatures are given in an implementation-independent language and grouped by functionality:
 - Space management functions allow the client to reserve, release, and manage spaces, their types and lifetimes. Support for different qualities of storage space.
 - Reserve/Release Space, ChangeSpaceForFiles, ExtendFileLifeTimeInSpace
 - **Data transfer functions** have the purpose of getting files into SRM spaces either from the client's space or from other remote storage systems on the Grid, and to retrieve them.
 - PrepareToPut/StatusOfPutRequest/PutDone
 - PrepareToGet/StatusOfGetRequest
 - BringOnline
 - Сору
 - ReleaseFiles, AbortRequest/Files, ExtendFileLifeTime
 - Other function classes are *Directory*, *Permission*, and *Discovery* functions.
 - Ping, Ls, Mkdir, Rm, Rmdir, SetPermission, CheckPermission, etc.





SRM 2.2 protocol definition activities

- Long protocol definition process: many discussions on the concepts of spaces, file copies management, name space handling.
- *Storage services providers* had to be heavily involved in the discussions.
- From May to December 2006 *weekly meetings* to follow the development.
- Formal static and dynamic protocol models defined: identifying unanticipated behaviours and interactions.
 - F. Donno, A. Domenici, *A Model for the Storage Resource Manager*, ISGC 2007, International Symposium on Grid Computing, Taipei, March 26. 29, 2007





SRM 2.2 protocol definition activities

- Need for *testing suites to validate the protocol* against real use cases and to verify the *compliance of the implementations* against the spec.
- The testing campaign motivated the developers to *reconsider many of the initial assumptions and decisions*, leading to solutions that seem to better satisfy the needs of the users.
- The *LBNL testing suite* written in Java was the only one available till September 2006, run manually. Since January 2007 running automatically every day.
 - <u>http://sdm.lbl.gov/srm-tester/v22-progress.html</u>
 - <u>http://sdm.lbl.gov/srm-tester/v22daily.html</u>
- In September 2006 CERN took over from RAL the development of the S2 SRM 2.2 testing suite, enhancing it with a complete test set and with publishing and monitoring tools.
- *Reports to WLCG Management Board* to monitor progress.





Study of SRM 2.2 specification

- In September 2006 *very different interpretations* of the spec
- *6 Releases of the SRM v2.2* specification document: July, September, December 2006 and January(2x), April 2007
- Study of the spec (*state/activity diagrams*): many unspecified behaviours.
- A list of about *50 open issues* has been compiled in *September 2006*.
- Last *30 points discussed* and agreed during the *WLCG Workshop* in *January 2007*. Other major points delayed to SRM 3.0.
- *The study of the specifications*, the discussions and testing of the open issues have helped *insure coherence* in the protocol definition and *consistency between SRM implementations*.

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/SRMDev/IssuesInTheSpecifications





Storage providers involvement: the available implementations

- SRM v2.2 implementations are available today for the following Storage Services:
 - <u>CASTOR2</u>: Hierarchical Storage Server (HSS). Developed by CERN and RAL. SRM v2.2 support in v.2.1.4.
 - <u>dCache</u>: HSS developed by DESY and FNAL. SRM 2.2 support in v1.8.
 - <u>DPM</u>: disk-only developed by CERN. SRM v2.2 support in v1.6.5 in production.
 - <u>StoRM</u>: disk-only developed by INFN and ICTP. SRM v2.2 interface for many filesystems: GPFS, Lustre, XFS and POSIX generic filesystem. SRM v2.2 support in v1.3.15.
 - <u>BeStMan</u>: disk-based developed by LBNL. SRM v2.2 support in v2.2.0.0.





Need for tests: the black box testing

- Functional testing used for:
 - *Validation*: against the explicit and implicit user requirements
 - <u>Consistency</u>: checking for inconsistency, incompleteness, or inefficiency
 - *Verification*: correctness of implementations with respect to the specification
 - *Performance*: ... and reliability
- <u>Exhaustive test</u> can demonstrate the correctness of System Under Test (SUT). But it is impracticable.





The S2 test families

- The S2 test suite families.
 - Availability: Ping and full put cycle
 - Basic: basic functionality, checking only return codes and passing of basic input parameters
 - <u>Usecases</u>: testing boundary conditions, exceptions, real use cases extracted from the middleware clients and experiment applications.
 - Interoperability: servers acting as clients for put and get requests, cross copy operations
 - <u>Exhaustive</u>: Checking for long strings, strange characters in input arguments, missing mandatory or optional arguments. Output parsed.
 - <u>Stress</u>: Parallel tests for stressing the systems, multiple requests, concurrent colliding requests, space exhaustion, etc.
 - <u>Bdii</u>: Checks that the information published in the information system is correct
 - Lcg-utils: Equivalent to current SAM tests adapted to SRM v2.2
- S2 tests cron job running *5 times per day* on *21 SRM v2 endpoints*/5 storage flavours with *different configurations*.
- The S2 test suite has been integrated in the WLCG certification process
- *S2* has been *used* as well *by the developers* to check their implementations and *distributed to OSG* for Tier-2 validation.
- Test families *continuously expanded* to cover new scenarios, new decisions taken at the protocol level, and check for discovered bugs or race conditions.
- Integration with WLCG high-level tools is done.
- The S2 test families will soon be part of SAM framework

SRM v2.2 Deployment Workshop Edinburgh, UK



Tests executed

- Results published on a web page. Latest and history available:
 - https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/SRMDev
- Test results and issues are *discussed on srm-tester and gssd lists*
 - <u>https://hpcrdm.lbl.gov/mailman/listinfo/sr</u>
 <u>mtester</u>
 - <u>https://mmm.cern.ch/public/archive-list/s/storage-classes-wg</u>





The S2 result web-pages: Basic tests

🙂 Sun	mar	y of S	52 SR/	∧ v2.:	2 basi	e tests	- Moz	illa Fi	refox													. 🗗	
<u>File</u> <u>E</u> c	lit ⊻	<u>/</u> iew	History	Boo	kmarks	s <u>T</u> ook	s <u>H</u> elp	1															0
						Sum	nary of	S2 SRN	/I v2.2 b	asic tes	t - Thu	rsday 30) Augus	t 2007	11:46j	om CE	ST						
SRM	vI ion	CERN	CNAF	CERN C2.1	BNL2		UKED dCache	<u>FZK</u> dCache	IN2P3			FNAL dCache	UCSD dCache	CERN DPM	UKED DPM	UKGL DPM	LAL	LBNL BeStMan	CNAF StoRM	CNAF StoRM2	UKBR StoRM	IFIC StoRM	
1000					<u>a carsic</u>	a catalo	<u>u cum</u>	<u>a cause</u>	W	LCG Mo	U SRM	/2.2 meth	ods	<u></u>	<u></u>				D WALLI				
Ping		Out	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	E
<u>PtP</u>		Out Los	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log					
<u>StOfPut</u>		Out Los	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Los	Out Log					
PutDone		Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log	
PtG		Out Los	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log	
<u>StOfGet</u>		Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log	
<u>BoL</u>		Out Los	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Leg</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log	
StOfBoL	-	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log					
<u>AbortR</u>		Out Los	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log	
AbortF		Out Los	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Los	Out Log	
RelFiles		Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	<u>Out Log</u>	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	
GetReqS	um	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	
<u>GetReq</u> T	loks	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	
GetTrPr	ots	Out Los	Out Log	Out Los	Out Log	Ont Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Ont Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	
<u>Ls</u>		Out	Out T	Out	Out Log	Out Los	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out Log	Out	Out	Out	Out	Out Log	Out	Out Log	Out	Out	
						00		0.0	-				, ,										

SRM v2.2 Deployment Workshop Edinburgh, UK



The S2 result web-pages: Use-case tests

🖲 Summary of S2 SRM v2. 2 use-case tests - Mozilla Firefox																						
<u>File Edit View</u>	Histo	ory	<u>B</u> ook	mark	s <u>T</u> o	ools	Help															45
	Summary of \$2 \$RM v2.2 use-case test - Thursday 30 August 2007 09:32pm CEST														_							
SELItest	CTER C2	CHAP C2	CEER	BRL2 dCache	DEST dCache		<u>FIE</u> dCache	HR2P3 dCache	HDGF dCache	SARA dCache	FRAL dCache	UCSD dCache	CEED DPM	UTTD DPM	DPM	LAL	LBRL Best Man	CHAF StaEM	CRAF StoEM2	UEBR.	IFIC StaFM	
CheckGarba ge SpaceCollector	Dertag	Dertag	Datas	Clark Lan	Clarks a	Dar Leg	Clar La p	The Lap	Dertag	Not Lag	Ording	Carter	Dertag	The Lap	Dertag	Der Lag	Dettag	Ord Lag	OutLag	Der Lag	Que	
Chech Size	Clar La p	Ord La g	<u>En la p</u>	Hart Lap	Not Lap	Out Lag	Class Tax p	Clar La g	Clar La p	Ciri La p	Har Lap	Carlas p	Carl Lag	Clar La g	Cor La p	Clur La p	$\underline{\mathrm{Der}}\mathrm{Leg}$	Had Lap	Her Lap	Ord Log	Outleg	
Entonel File Life Time	Der Lag	Ord Lag	<u>Ent</u> ing	Carl La p	<u>Est</u> ing	Ou Leg	Carl La p	Clar La g	Clar La p	Cort. a p	Hat Lap	Carlas p	Carl Lag	Clar La g	Dar Lag	DetLag	Dettag	Dat Lap	Charles a	Outlag	OttLag	
File Name:00	Der Lag	Ord Lag	Datas	Der Lag	Datas	Ott Leg	Cher La p	Derlag	Der Log	DetLag	Der Lag	Dertag	Cher Lang	Derlag	Der Log	DetLag	Barlay	Dettag	Dir Leg	Ord Log	Dutter	
File Homer01	Charles of	Chert T. e. or	Carl Large	Mark Law p	Carl Long	Check Const	The Log	Cher La p	Charles y	Card Loop	Line Los y	First and	The Log	Charles g	Charles p	Charles y	Charles p	Mark Law p	Charles y	Cast Land	Cost Law	
Getilta the PartialEn	DerLos	Dulas	Dellas	Dation	Dellas	OwLee	Derlag	DerLan	Der Len	Derlag	Derlage	Derlage	Dellas	DerLan	Derlag	Detter	Dation	Dates	Detter	Orther	Ord Las	
Go tilta the Partia Blo	DerLag	DeLes	Detter	Datas	Datas	OwLey	Dates	Dation	Dation	Datas	Dattas	Dates	Dates	Dation	DerLag	Dation	Datas	Datas	Dation	Outles	OwLey	
L:DinComsOfficet	DerLag	Dertag	Dertag	Derlag	Dertag	Darlag	Dertag	Darlag	Darlag	Burlag	Der Lag	Dertag	Dertag	Darlag	Dertag	Barlag	Dettag	Derlag	Darlag	Outlag	Circlan,	
L:DinDowil	Dertag	Dertag	Dettag	Dertag	Dertag	Derlag	Dertag	Bartag	Darlag	Burlag	Dertag	Dertag	Detay	Dation	Dertag	Barlay	Dettag	Detter	Dation	Burlay	Ord Lag	
L:DirFull	Der Lag	Ord Lag	Der Lag	Der Lag	Der Lag	Ott Leg	Cher La p	Outlag	Cler Log	Derlag	Der Lag	Ording	Cher Lang	Cler Lag	Cher Log	DerLag	Mar Lay	DerLag	<u>Der Lag</u>	OrtLag	Outler	
Le FullDe to 1	DerLag	Ord Lag	Datas	Der Lag	Der Lag	Ott Leg	Der Lag	DerLag	<u>Der Log</u>	DerLag	<u>Der Lag</u>	<u>Der La p</u>	Der Lag	DerLag	Der Log	DerLag	North P	DerLag	<u>Der Lag</u>	OrtLag	Outler	
Le NonExistent	Der Lag	Der Lap	Do Leg	Der Lag	Dat Lag	Cher Log	Cortes p	Derlag	Cher Log	Det Lag	Der Lag	Der Lag	Corting Corting	Derlag	Der Log	DerLag	Darlag	Der Lag	Circles 9	Der Log	Circles a	_
La Iopilm Milanoo	1000 1-0 8	Card Lang	Contrast Trasta	Carl La p	Carl La g	Card Log	1.102 1.0 8	City Lag	Card Log	1202 128 B	Ling Ling	Line La p	1.000 1.000	City Lag	Carl Lag	Cher Lag	Charles P.	Charles and	Card Lang	Con Log	1242 L.S. 8	=
MblinHeichart	The Tax	The Local	The Local	The Local	the second second	The Local	The Local	Card and	The Local	the second	Cost Taxa	The Taxa	The Local	Card and	The Local	The Local	Contractory	The Local	The Local	Carl La g	territoria de la composición de la comp	
MuBanaPat	Derlag	OrtLas	Certaa	The Law	Ter Lea	Orthes	Detter	Detter	DetTax	Certan	Conta a	Ter Lea	Detter	Detter	Detter	Certag	Tert Low	The Law	Detter	Certan	Out La g	
MuDinBeingPutlatel	DerLag	DerLag	Detter	Datas	Datter	OwLer	DerLee	Delas	DerLog	Datas	DarLas	DerLee	DerLee	Derlag	DerLee	DetLog	Datter	Datas	Delas	DerLog	OwLog	
MuDinBeingPufinte	Dertag	Orthe	Datas	Dattas	Datas	Outlag	Dettag	DerLog	Derlag	Detter	Dettag	Dertag	Dettag	DerLag	Dettag	DerLag	Darlag	Dattas	Derlag	DerLog	Outler	
MuDin	DerLag	DerLeg	Datas	Dertag	Datas	Derlag	Dertag	Derlag	Derlag	Dettag	DerLag	Dertag	Dertag	Derlag	Dertag	DerLag	Darlag	Dettag	Derlag	Derlag	Der Lag	
MvInto Dir	DerLag	Ord Lag	Dates:	Mar Lap	Not Lap	Outlag	Hart La p	Outer	DerLag	Datas	Not Lag	OwLag	Hart Lap	DerLag	Dartag	DerLag	Harlay.	Dat Lap	Harts a	Orthe	OutLag	
Hullome File	Clar La p	Cht La g	Dir Lag	Dat La p	1217 La p	Cht La g	Class La p	Clar La g	Clar La g	<mark>Det La p</mark>	Dat La p	Dar La p	Dat La p	Clar La g	Dar Lag	Club La g	Dation	Dat Lap	Dist La p	Cht La g	Chil Le g	
Over write Pin.	Der Lag	Ord Lag	<u>Datas</u>	Had Lap	Not Lag	Out Lag	Class La p	Clar Lag	Clar La p	Car Lag	Not La p	Dat Lap	Clar La p	Clar Lag	Dar Lag	Clar Lag	Northe p	Dat Lap	Har Lap	Ord Log	Outleg	
<u>Pn01</u>	Clar La p	Orthe	Do Lag	Der Lag	Derlag	Outer	Cher La p	Derlag	Clar Log	Dates	<u>Der Lag</u>	<u>Der Lag</u>	Cher La p	Derlag	DerLeg	Derlag	Harley.	Ord Lag	Out Lag	Orthe	Outler	
<u>Ph02</u>	Dertag	Ord Lag	Dat Lag	Dat Lap	Dat Lag	Outer	Carling Contracts	Cler Lag	Cher Log	Der Lag	Dat Lap	Dar Lap	Cont Lang	Clar Lag	Der Lag	DetLag	<u>Englag</u>	Dation	Der Lag	Ord Lag	OwLer	
PhD3	Car Loop	Che La p	Contraction of the local distance of the loc	Ling La p	1.000 Lat 10	Con La g	Land Lang	1.107 1.0 8	and the g	1.000 1.00 0	1.000 <u>1.00 p</u>		Land Lang	Carrier Carry	1.00 1.00	Carlor La g	CHURCH	CHE LA P	De Lig	Contrag	Con Log	
Property To Drobing URB				NAME AND D	Start Like		1997 - 1997 1999 - 1997 - 1997	terrar and p	and the part of the	and the p	teres and a	Carl and	and the p	teres and a	and the part of the	And the second	March 198					
PuGe 01	Dertas	DetLes	Day Loss	Carl Ly a	Dar Lea	Ortica	Cort Law	Ter Lynn	Carl Law	Ter Len	OutLes	Dertare	Detter	Carl Ly o	Derlage	Dat Long	Dation	Detter	Ter Len	Ortica	Ord Law	
PuGe 0Fib1	Dertan	DetLes	Datter	Datter	They have	Christ Law	Dation	Datters	Dertan	Ter Lon	Harles a	Derten	Detter	Datter	Derlag	Detter	Detter	Detter	Derlag	OutLas	Ord Las	
PuGe 0 File	Dertag	Orthe	Datas	Dar La p	Dar La p	Outler	Clar La p	DerLag	Dertag	Dertag	Dar La p	Dar La p	Dattag	DerLag	Dertag	DerLag	Dettag	Dattas	Dertag	DerLag	Outleg	
Puillearine	DerLag	Out La p	Dat Lag	Clar La p	Not Lap	Har Lap	Cortes	Mar La p	Clar La p	North a	Dat La p	Corte p	Cortag	Not Lag	ther Lap	Har Law	Dullar	Define	Harts a	Out La g	Ord Lag	
PullioOverwrite	Clar La p	Dettag	Cortag	OwLey	OwLag	OwLey	OwLey	OwLag	OwLey	Outer	Ord Lag	DeLer	Clar Lag	Darlag	Clar La p	Dar La g	Datas	Clar Lap	Clar Log	Nor Lag	OwLey	
Puillo Overwrite Indaef	DerLag	Ord Lag	Dertag	Day La p	Der Lag	Cht Leg	Der Lag	DerLag	North p	Dertag	Dar Lap	Dar La p	Cher La p	DerLag	Der Lag	DerLag	<u>Derlag</u>	Der Lag	<u>Der Lag</u>	Ord Lag	Outler	
ProOverwrite	Der Lag	Ord Lag	College	Out La g	Ord Log	Chet La g	Ord Lag	Chet La g	Chut La g	Ord Lag	OwLog	Ord Log	Class Lang	Clar La p	Dar Lag	DetLag	Dettag	Dat Lap	Har La p	Clar La p	OutLog	
PuOwawaie Issuef	Clar La p	Detas	Der Lag	Cher Lag	OwLey	OwLey	Clar Lag	Dar La g	Clar Log	No Lag	Dar Lag	Clar Lag	Clar Lag	Darlag	Der Lag	Dation	Dation	Cher Lag	Clar La g	Nur Lap	OwLer	
Putterno vod01	Line La p	Dular	Contra p	Charles a	Dat Lap	Dutor	Con La p	Dat La g	Charles p	Cher Lang	Dar La p	Cortag	Cortag	Dat La g	Cortag	Der Lag	Clarks and	Charles a	Charles y	Duting	Corte r	
Professione void 1	CARL LAND	Contract Contract	and the p	AND AN I	Carl Lab (and the p	1.107 1.0 B	CARD LAND		And an a	and an p	and the p	1.127 1.0 g	Carl Labor	Cast Lang	Carl La g	AND LOD P	AND IN R		Constraint of the second se	
Putita in: Partia IEx	DerLos	Deles	Detter	Dation	Derlos	OwLee	Dertee	Dar Lon	Der Lee	Derlag	Charles a	Derlage	Dellas	Derlars	Derlag	Owler	Dation	Dettes	Dation	Orther	Ord Law	

SRM v2.2 Deployment Workshop Edinburgh, UK





😻 Summary of S2 SRM v2. 2 availability tests - Mozilla F	irefox 📃 🗖 🔀	😻 Summary of S2 SRM v2.2 cross tests - Mozill 📃								
<u>File E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew Hi <u>s</u> tory <u>B</u> ookmarks <u>T</u> ools <u>H</u> elp	0	Eile Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Help	1							
Summary of \$2 SRM v2 2 availability test - Briday 31 August 20	07 12:10am CEST		٩							
CTPH CHAR CTPH BHL2 DEXY UETD Fat HIDEF IDEF BHL2 UC2D CPFH UC2D CPFH <th< th=""><th>TU UIGL LAL LEHL CHAR CHAP UIER IFIC M DPM DPM Beschen StoFIM StoFIM StoFIM StoFIM TUP UP UP UP UP UP DOWNT DOWNT</th><th>Summary of S2 SRM v2.2 cross test - Wednesday 15 August 2 01:30am CEST</th><th>007</th></th<>	TU UIGL LAL LEHL CHAR CHAP UIER IFIC M DPM DPM Beschen StoFIM StoFIM StoFIM StoFIM TUP UP UP UP UP UP DOWNT DOWNT	Summary of S2 SRM v2.2 cross test - Wednesday 15 August 2 01:30am CEST	007							
Summary of S2 SRM v2.2 stress tests	Summary 🔳 🖿 🗙 File Edit <u>V</u> iew Hi <u>s</u> tory Booł	In these tests the smCopy function is exercised. This function should be implemented available Storage System by the end of the 3Q of 2007. dCache is required to implem function as of now. Therefore, it is OK to have red columns for all SRM endpoints end Cache. However, it is not OK to have red rows since this means that a file cannot be between SRMs with simple get and put operations.	ed by al lent this xcept fo e copied							
Summary of S2 SRM v2.2 stress test - Monday 25 June 2007	Summary of S2 SRM v2.2	SRM function CERN DESY FNAL CERN LBNL CI C2 dCache dCache DPM BeStMan St	NAF oRM							
03:02pm CEST	stress test - Monday 25 June 2007 03:10pm CEST	Copy Tests in PUSH mode								
SRM test CERN DPM	CERN	CopyTo CERNCASTOR Dut Log Out Log Out Log Out Log	Log							
GetParallel	SRM test DPM	CopyToFNALDCACHE Out Log Out Log Out Log Out Log Out Log Out	t Log							
424	GetParallel 123	CopyToDESYDCACHE Out Log Out Con Duit Log Out Log Out Log								
	4 <u>5</u> 6	CopyToLERIUDPM On the Date of								
Configuration parameters		CopyToLENCEM Dut Log Out Out Log Out Out Log Out Log								
<pre># Number of Threads Export N_THREAD3 50</pre>	Configuration parameters	Copy Tests in PULL mode								
# Number of (bulk) operations Export M_OP3 50	# Number of Threads Export N_THREADS 70	CopyFromCERNCASTOR Out Log Out Log Out Log Out Log Out Log	t Log							
<pre># Polling frequency Export SLEEP SOR 2 # sec (Status of Request)</pre>	<pre># Number of (bulk) operations Export N_OPS 70</pre>	CopyFromFNALDCACHE Cut Log Cut Out Cut Out Log	Dut							
# Looping Export LOOP 200	# Polling frequency Export SLEEP SOR 2	CopyFromDESYDCACHE Out Log Out Log Out Log Out Log Out Log	t Log							
	# Looping	CopyFromCERNDPM Clut Los Cont Los Clut Los Cont	<u>t Log</u>							
		CopyFromLBNLDRM Cut Los Cut Los Cut Los Cut Los Cut Los Cut Los	t Log							
		CopyFromSTORM Out Los Out Los Out Los Out Los Out Los Out Los	Log							

SRM v2.2 Deployment Workshop Edinburgh, UK



Basic: Period 10/11/2006 - 30/06/2007

Test results



SRM v2.2 Deployment Workshop Edinburgh, UK





- Other testing groups to:
 - Check the status of the high-level SRM v2 clients such as GFAL, lcgutils, FTS
 - Transparent access to storage independent of the protocol in use (SRM v1 vs SRM v2).
 - Experiment data access patterns.
 - Correct handling of VO specific proxies with VOMS groups/roles.
 - Compliance to user requirements and functionalities needed
 - Availability of required bindings (C++, Python, Perl, etc.) and support for mandatory platforms (SL4 32-bit mode, 64-bit mode later).
- Outcomes:
 - User documentation.
 - User education and training.
 - Preparation to the integration of experiment frameworks with the SRM v2 environment.



GLUE Schema

- The WLCG information system publishes details about grid services in a format that is specified by the GLUE schema.
- GLUE 1.3 includes information needed for SRM v2.2, such as the space information.
 - <u>http://glueschema.forge.cnaf.infn.it/Spec/V13</u>
- The modelling and testing exercises have helped in the specifications of GLUE 1.3 for Storage Services.
- The current experience has also been taken as a base for the design of the new GLUE 2.0 model for Storage.





Grid Storage System Deployment (GSSD)

- <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LCG/GSSD</u>
- Mailing list: storage-class-wg@cern.ch
- Mandate:
 - Coordinating the deployment of SRM v2.2 in WLCG with sites, experiments, and developers.
 - Establishing a migration plan from SRM v1 to SRM v2 to ensure smooth operations during the transition.
 - Coordinating the provision of the necessary information by the Storage Providers in order to publish and monitor the status of storage resources, guaranteeing the availability of the requested resources and their correct usage.
 - Ensure transparency of data access and the functionalities required by the experiments.
 - Organize support for storage in WLCG
 - Provide a forum where storage issues are discussed and solved





Conclusions

- The SRM specification definition and implementation process has evolved in a *world-wide collaboration effort* with developers, independent testers, experiments and site administrators.
- Much <u>clearer</u> description of SRM <u>specifications</u>.
- Well <u>established</u> and agreed <u>methodology</u> to verify the status of the implementations and validate sites.
- <u>Clear plan</u> to make sure that experiment requirements are covered.
- The modelling and testing exercises have helped in the specifications of Storage Services in the *Grid Information System*.
- The GSSD working group is in charge of the <u>deployment plan</u> for SRM v2.2 in production on the WLCG infrastructure, to be ready for LHC operations.