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$H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 4\ell$

- Following discovery of particle, measurement of its properties important in the determination of its nature

- $H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 4\ell$ channel, where $\ell = e, \mu$, is sensitive to SM Higgs production
  - Good mass resolution and S/B. However low branching fraction makes analysis challenging

- Complete dataset from first LHC run used (ATLAS-CONF-2013-013) to study mass, rates, couplings and spin-parity

- Results presented here, with emphasis on the measurement of the coupling properties
ATLAS Detector Performance

- Excellent performance of LHC and ATLAS detector has made Higgs discovery possible

- 4.6 \( fb^{-1} \) and 20.7 \( fb^{-1} \) of data collected in 2011 and 2012 respectively

- Peak stable luminosity \( 7.73 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \)

- Operating with levels of pileup exceeding design specifications

- Data-taking efficiency high and stable
Event Selection

- Two same-flavour/opposite-sign dilepton pairs
- $p_T^{1,2,3,4} = 20, 15, 10, 7(6)$ GeV for $e(\mu)$
- Four sub-channels: $4e, 2e2\mu, 2\mu2e, 4\mu$
- $50 \text{ GeV} < m_{12} < 106 \text{ GeV}$
- $m_{\text{low}}(m_{4\ell}) < m_{34} < 115 \text{ GeV}$, where $m_{\text{low}} = 12 \text{ GeV}$ for (for $m_{4\ell} < 140 \text{ GeV}$) and $m_{\text{low}} = 50 \text{ GeV}$ for (for $m_{4\ell} > 190 \text{ GeV}$)
- Tracking and Calorimeter Isolation
- Impact Parameter Significance - $d_0/\sigma_{d_0}$
- $Z$ mass constraint applied to leading dilepton
  - See talk by Andrew Daniells
- FSR correction to invariant mass for di-muon $m_{12}$ candidates
  - See poster by Kerry Parker
Production Signature Categories

Obtain sensitivity to different production modes by categorizing the candidate 4\ell events

VBF-like category:
- At least 2 jets
  - $p_T > 25 \ (30) \ \text{GeV}$ for $|\eta| < 2.5 \ (4.5)$
- $\Delta \eta_{jj} > 3.0$
- $m_{jj} > 350 \ \text{GeV}$

VH-like category:
- Additional lepton
- $p_T > 8 \ \text{GeV}$
- Isolation and I.P. significance

Remaining events are assigned to the ggF-like category
Background Estimation

Main background is $ZZ^{(*)}$ production
- Monte Carlo (MC) simulation, scaled to theoretical cross section and constrained during fit to the data

Reducible backgrounds:
- $Zb\bar{b}$, $Z$+light jets, $t\bar{t}$
- Suppressed by isolation, $d_0$ cuts
- Estimated using data-driven methods

Main background in each sub-channel determined by flavour of subleading lepton pair:
- $\ell\ell + \mu^+\mu^- : Zb\bar{b}$, $Z$+light jets, $t\bar{t}$
- $\ell\ell + e^+e^- : Z$+ jets

General approach:
- Define background-enriched/signal-depleted control regions (CR)
- Extrapolate to signal region using transfer factors (calculated using MC, verified using data)
Background Estimation

$\ell\ell + \mu^+\mu^-:$
- $Z$+jets, $t\bar{t}$ contributions estimated simultaneously by fitting $m_{12}$ distribution
- Use CR where isolation and $d_0$ requirements relaxed for subleading lepton pair and one of these fails $d_0$ or isolation cut

$\ell\ell + e^+e^-:$
- Background estimated using CR where electron identification relaxed for subleading pair
- Background composition studied by classifying electron candidates as electron-like or fake-like using reconstruction-based discriminating variables

- Agreement good in relaxed CR where isolation and $d_0$ requirements are relaxed for subleading pair
  - Reducible backgrounds are normalised to data-driven estimates
Results - Mass Distributions

After full selection, an excess of events over background is observed in the region around $m_{4\ell} = 125$ GeV

The distribution of events is consistent with expectation for an SM Higgs Boson
The observed minimum $p_0$ is at $m_H = 124.3$ GeV and corresponds to a significance of $6.6\sigma$.

The best fit mass value is $m_H = 124.3^{+0.6}_{-0.5}(\text{stat})^{+0.5}_{-0.3}(\text{stat})$ GeV.

At this mass, the signal strength $\mu = \sigma/\sigma_{SM}$ is $\mu = 1.7^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$.

See also talks by Karoline Selbach and Andrew Daniells.
Results - Categories

In full mass range: 8 candidates in VBF-like category, 1 in VH-like category

- In VBF-like category one event is observed in range $120 \text{ GeV} < m_{4\ell} < 130 \text{ GeV}$ with mass $m_{4\ell} = 123.5 \text{ GeV}$

- For $m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}$, $0.71 \pm 0.10$ events are expected in this range
  - The S/B in this region is around 5.

- One observed candidate in the VH-like category, with a mass of $270.3 \text{ GeV}$
  - $0.9 \pm 0.3 \text{ ZZ}^*$ events expected
Couplings

Exploiting categorisation, extend signal strength measurement to measure factors for specific production modes

- Group Fermionic($ggF, ttH$) and Bosonic($VBF, VH$) production mechanisms

$$\mu_{ggF+ttH} \times B/\mathcal{B}_{SM} = 1.8^{+0.8}_{-0.5}$$
$$\mu_{VBF+VH} \times B/\mathcal{B}_{SM} = 1.2^{+3.8}_{-1.4}$$

- By measuring the ratio, $\mu_{VBF+VH}/\mu_{ggF+ttH}$, the dependence on the branching ratio cancels out

$$\mu_{VBF+VH}/\mu_{ggF+ttH} = 0.7^{+2.4}_{-1.3}$$
Couplings

Following the prescription used in ATLAS-CONF-2012-127, a benchmark model is probed where:

- All fermions are modified by a single factor $k_F$
- All massive bosons are modified by a single factor $k_V$
- No non-SM contributions to the Higgs total decay width
- The ratio $\lambda_{FV} = k_F / k_V$

---

**Graph:**

- ATLAS Preliminary
- $H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 4l$
- $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV: $\int L \, dt = 4.6 \, fb^{-1}$
- $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV: $\int L \, dt = 20.7 \, fb^{-1}$
- $\lambda_{FV} > 0.57$ @ 68% CL
Summary

• With a dataset corresponding to 4.6 fb$^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and 20.7 fb$^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, an excess of events above background is observed in the H$\rightarrow$ZZ$^{(*)} \rightarrow 4\ell$ channel with a local significance corresponding to 6.6$\sigma$

• The overall S/B for the analysis is 1.4
  ○ Reducible backgrounds from data-driven methods

• The excess is interpreted as the discovery of an SM-like Higgs Boson
  ○ The best fit mass is $m_H = 124.3^{+0.6}_{-0.5} (\text{stat})^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$ GeV.
  ○ At this mass, the signal strength is $\mu = 1.7^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$
  ○ At 125.5 GeV, the signal strength is $\mu = 1.5^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$

• For the first time in this channel, the events have been categorised to probe the couplings of the new particle
  ○ A VBF-like candidate has been found at 123.5 GeV
  ○ The signal strength for the different production modes, grouped as Fermionic($ggF$, $ttH$) and Bosonic($VBF$, $VH$), have been measured
    • $\mu_{ggF+ttH} \times B/B_{SM} = 1.8^{+0.8}_{-0.5}$
    • $\mu_{VBF+VH} \times B/B_{SM} = 1.2^{+3.8}_{-1.4}$
Additional material
FSR-recovery

In $4\ell$ candidates where the leading dilepton is muonic, the effect on the invariant mass due to the Final State Radiation (FSR) of photons is corrected for

- Di-muon $m_{12}$ candidates with $66$ GeV $< m_{12} < 89$ GeV are corrected for FSR by including in the invariant mass any reconstructed photon with $E_T > 1$ GeV lying close ($\Delta R < 0.08$ to $\Delta R < 0.08$ depending on $E_T^\gamma$) to one of the muon tracks.
- From MC, around 4% of $H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 4\mu$ events are expected to be corrected. The correction is expected to have 70% efficiency and 85% purity.
- Of the 225 observed $4\mu$ events, the correction is applied to 7. One of these is in the mass range $120$ GeV $< m_{4\ell} < 130$ GeV.

Detailed studies about the reconstruction of FSR photons can be found in ATLAS-CONF-2012-143.
Jet selection Requirements

Jets are reconstructed from topological clusters using the anti-$k_t$ algorithm with a distance parameter $R = 0.4$

- Jets are required to have $p_T > 25$ (30) GeV for $|\eta| < 2.5$ (4.5)
- Jets which overlap with electrons within $\Delta R < 0.2$ are removed
- To reduce the background due to pileup jets, jets within the inner detector acceptance ($|\eta| < 2.47$) are required to have $>50\%$ of the sum of the scalar $p_T$ of their associated tracks coming from the primary vertex.
Summary of reducible background expectations

Summary of the estimated numbers of $Z + \text{jets}$ and $t\bar{t}$ background events for the $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV data after the full selection. Sub-leading same sign full analysis event counts are given only for $m_{4\ell} < 160$ GeV to avoid contamination from $ZZ(*)$ with an incorrect charge measurement. The “†” indicates the estimates used for the background normalisation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Estimate at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV</th>
<th>Estimate at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$m_{12}$ fit: $Z + \text{jets}$ contribution</td>
<td>$2.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.6^\dagger$</td>
<td>$0.22 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{12}$ fit: $t\bar{t}$ contribution</td>
<td>$0.14 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.03^\dagger$</td>
<td>$0.03 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$tt$ from $e\mu + \mu\mu$</td>
<td>$0.10 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.004$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{12}$ fit: $Z + \text{jets}$ contribution</td>
<td>$2.5 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.6^\dagger$</td>
<td>$0.19 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.02^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{12}$ fit: $t\bar{t}$ contribution</td>
<td>$0.10 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02^\dagger$</td>
<td>$0.03 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$tt$ from $e\mu + \mu\mu$</td>
<td>$0.12 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.005$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ell\ell + e^\pm e^\mp$ relaxed cuts</td>
<td>$5.2 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5^\dagger$</td>
<td>$1.8 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ell\ell + e^\pm e^\mp$ inverted cuts</td>
<td>$3.9 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.6$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3\ell + \ell$ (same-sign)</td>
<td>$4.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>$2.8 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-leading same sign full analysis events</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ell\ell + e^\pm e^\mp$ relaxed cuts</td>
<td>$3.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.4^\dagger$</td>
<td>$1.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ell\ell + e^\pm e^\mp$ inverted cuts</td>
<td>$3.6 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.6$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3\ell + \ell$ (same-sign)</td>
<td>$4.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>$2.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-leading same sign full analysis events</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The expected numbers of events in each category for each signal production mechanism at $m_H =$125 GeV and the ZZ(*) background, for $m_{4\ell} > 100$ GeV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>category</th>
<th>$gg \to H, q\bar{q}/gg \to t\bar{t}H$</th>
<th>$qq' \to Hqq'$</th>
<th>$q\bar{q} \to W/ZH$</th>
<th>ZZ(*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ggF-like</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>320.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VBF-like</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VH-like</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>process</th>
<th>$gg \to H, q\bar{q}/gg \to t\bar{t}H$</th>
<th>$qq' \to Hqq'$</th>
<th>$q\bar{q} \to W/ZH$</th>
<th>ZZ(*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>theory cross section</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>underlying event</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jet energy scale</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Systematic uncertainties on the yields contributing to the VBF-like category expressed in percent on the yields due to each contribution. The uncertainty in the ggF contamination due to the QCD scale is included in the theory cross section uncertainty.
Expected and Observed Events

The numbers of expected signal events for the $m_H=125$ GeV hypothesis and background events together with the numbers of observed events, in a window of $\pm 5$ GeV around 125 GeV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>total signal</th>
<th>signal</th>
<th>$ZZ^{(*)}$</th>
<th>$Z + \text{jets, } t\bar{t}$</th>
<th>S/B</th>
<th>expected</th>
<th>observed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>full mass range</td>
<td>$\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4\mu$</td>
<td>$6.8 \pm 0.8$</td>
<td>$6.3 \pm 0.8$</td>
<td>$2.8 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$0.55 \pm 0.15$</td>
<td>$1.9$</td>
<td>$9.6 \pm 1.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2\mu2e$</td>
<td>$3.4 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>$3.0 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$1.4 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$1.56 \pm 0.33$</td>
<td>$1.0$</td>
<td>$6.0 \pm 0.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2e2\mu$</td>
<td>$4.7 \pm 0.6$</td>
<td>$4.0 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>$2.1 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$0.55 \pm 0.17$</td>
<td>$1.5$</td>
<td>$6.6 \pm 0.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4e$</td>
<td>$3.3 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>$2.6 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$1.2 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$1.11 \pm 0.28$</td>
<td>$1.1$</td>
<td>$4.9 \pm 0.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>$18.2 \pm 2.4$</td>
<td>$15.9 \pm 2.1$</td>
<td>$7.4 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$3.74 \pm 0.93$</td>
<td>$1.4$</td>
<td>$27.1 \pm 3.4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Couplings

**ATLAS Preliminary**

$H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 4l$

$\sqrt{s}=7$ TeV: $\int L dt = 4.6$ fb$^{-1}$

$\sqrt{s}=8$ TeV: $\int L dt = 20.7$ fb$^{-1}$

$\mu_{ggF+ttH} = 1.8^{+0.8}_{-0.5} \sigma/\sigma_{SM}$

**ATLAS Preliminary**

$H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 4l$

$\sqrt{s}=7$ TeV: $\int L dt = 4.6$ fb$^{-1}$

$\sqrt{s}=8$ TeV: $\int L dt = 20.7$ fb$^{-1}$

$\mu_{VBF+VH} = 1.2^{+3.8}_{-1.4} \sigma/\sigma_{SM}$

1D likelihood scans of $\mu_{VBF+VH}$ and $\mu_{ggF+ttH}$
Spin/CP

• In $X \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 4\ell$ decays the masses of the $Z$ bosons and the production and decay angles are sensitive to the spin and parity of the decaying particle.

• Using events in the range $115 \text{ GeV} < m_H < 130 \text{ GeV}$, different spin-parity hypotheses are tested.

• Two different approaches are used to construct a discriminant between the different hypotheses:
  ○ A Boosted Decision Tree (BDT) in a multivariate analysis
  ○ $J^P$-MELA, which uses the theoretical differential decay rates for the sensitive observables to construct a matrix element based likelihood ratio

• Hypotheses are tested using the ratio of profile likelihoods as a test statistic.

• In each case the $0^+$ hypothesis is preferred.
Spin/CP

Distributions in data and MC of spin parity sensitive observables in the mass range 115 GeV < m_{4\ell} < 130 GeV after the full selection.
Spin/CP

- The distributions in data and MC for the BDT and $J^P$-MELA discriminants for the $0^+$ hypothesis vs $0^-$ in the mass range $115 \text{ GeV} < m_{4\ell} < 130 \text{ GeV}$.
Spin/CP

**ATLAS** Preliminary

$H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 4l$

$\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV: $Ldt = 4.6$ fb$^{-1}$

$\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV: $Ldt = 20.7$ fb$^{-1}$

**BDT analysis**

- **Data**
- Signal hypothesis

$H_{m} = 125$ GeV

$J_{H_{0}}^{P} = 0^{+}$

$J_{H_{1}}^{P} = 0^{-}$

**ATLAS** Preliminary

$H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 4l$

$\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV: $Ldt = 4.6$ fb$^{-1}$

$\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV: $Ldt = 20.7$ fb$^{-1}$

**$J^{P}$ - MELA**

- **Data**
- Signal hypothesis

$H_{m} = 125$ GeV

$J_{H_{0}}^{P} = 0^{+}$

$J_{H_{1}}^{P} = 0^{-}$

- Distributions of the log-likelihood ratio generated with MC pseudo-experiments assuming the $0^{+}$ hypothesis and testing $0^{-}$

- The log-likelihood value observed in the data is indicated by the solid vertical line

- The shaded areas correspond to the observed $p_{0}$ values, representing the compatibility with the tested and assumed hypotheses