

How to perform collider studies

Part I

Ben O'Leary

Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg

German-Egyptian School of Particle Physics,
Zewail City of Science and Technology, Giza,
February 25th, 2013



How to perform collider studies

Part II:

Comparing simulations to experiment

Collider observables

LHEF

LHCO

Kinematic cuts

- Definitions of kinematic quantities

- Preselection

- Atlas Higgs search example

Summary

Checklist

- ▶ Do you know all the channels leading to the signal?
 - ▶ If in doubt, put it in.
- ▶ Do you know the normalizations of the channels, and how many events in each channel to simulate to achieve the required accuracy?
- ▶ Do you want to make any trade-offs of accuracy for speed?
- ▶ Do you know the limitations of your tools?

What observables do we calculate
from our MC data?

Colliders measure cross-sections

- ▶ Experiments quote *numbers of events* for given *delivered luminosities*.
- ▶ Number/luminosity = cross-section.
- ▶ Basic observable is σ after detector effects and kinematic cuts.
- ▶ Binned σ can be used to derive differential cross-sections.

Detector effects

- ▶ Real detectors do not have perfect acceptance.
 - ▶ Angular coverage restricted by beam pipe, support structures.
 - ▶ Identification and energy measurement of particles not perfect!
- ▶ These issues require a lot of knowledge of the specifics of the detector.
- ▶ Accurately simulating the detector is complicated, slow, and requires non-public knowledge (ATLFAST).
- ▶ There are public simulators that get a lot right: *e.g.* Delphes and PGS

Model \rightarrow MC truth \rightarrow reconstructed objects

Typical sequence is:

- ▶ Prepare model for MC (*e.g.* use SARAH to prepare WHIZARD).
- ▶ Generate events, written to a file as the *MC truth*.
- ▶ Simulate detector response to MC truth, written to a file as *reconstructed events*.
- ▶ Reconstructed events are use to calculate binned σ

Monte Carlo truth:
Les Houches Event Format files

- ▶ Les Houches Event Format (LHEF) is a standard written by many generators, such as WHIZARD.
- ▶ LHEF is pseudo-XML detailing initial- and final-state particles, and usually intermediate decaying particles.

```

<event>
10 0 0.21E-6 0.11E+3 0.79E-1 0.13E+0
    2 -1 0 0 502 0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 0.16E+4 0.16E+4 0.00E+0 0. -1.
    1 -1 0 0 501 0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 -0.90E+2 0.90E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
    25 2 1 2 0 0 0.27E+2 0.78E+2 0.21E+3 0.25E+3 0.12E+3 0. 0.
    24 2 3 3 0 0 0.34E+1 0.61E+2 0.13E+3 0.16E+3 0.76E+2 0. 0.
    2 1 1 2 501 0 -0.40E+1 0.33E+2 -0.60E+2 0.69E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
    1 1 1 2 502 0 -0.23E+2 -0.11E+3 0.13E+4 0.13E+4 0.00E+0 0. -1.
-11 1 4 4 0 0 0.18E+2 0.18E+2 0.24E+1 0.26E+2 0.00E+0 0. 1.
    12 1 4 4 0 0 -0.13E+2 0.42E+2 0.13E+3 0.14E+3 0.00E+0 0. -1.
-14 1 3 3 0 0 -0.19E+1 0.20E+2 0.41E+2 0.46E+2 0.00E+0 0. 1.
    13 1 3 3 0 0 0.25E+2 -0.36E+1 0.29E+2 0.39E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
</event>

```

An LHEF event XML element. (All decimals were truncated to fit on the slide.)

```

<event>
10 0 0.21E-6 0.11E+3 0.79E-1 0.13E+0
 2 -1 0 0 502 0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 0.16E+4 0.16E+4 0.00E+0 0. -1.
 1 -1 0 0 501 0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 -0.90E+2 0.90E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
25 2 1 2 0 0 0.27E+2 0.78E+2 0.21E+3 0.25E+3 0.12E+3 0. 0.
24 2 3 3 0 0 0.34E+1 0.61E+2 0.13E+3 0.16E+3 0.76E+2 0. 0.
 2 1 1 2 501 0 -0.40E+1 0.33E+2 -0.60E+2 0.69E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
 1 1 1 2 502 0 -0.23E+2 -0.11E+3 0.13E+4 0.13E+4 0.00E+0 0. -1.
-11 1 4 4 0 0 0.18E+2 0.18E+2 0.24E+1 0.26E+2 0.00E+0 0. 1.
 12 1 4 4 0 0 -0.13E+2 0.42E+2 0.13E+3 0.14E+3 0.00E+0 0. -1.
-14 1 3 3 0 0 -0.19E+1 0.20E+2 0.41E+2 0.46E+2 0.00E+0 0. 1.
 13 1 3 3 0 0 0.25E+2 -0.36E+1 0.29E+2 0.39E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
</event>
    
```

The first line of each element is the header, and this header provides the following information:

- ▶ 10 particles in the event.
- ▶ Process ID = 0
- ▶ The event weight is 2.1×10^{-6} .
- ▶ 110 GeV was the scale of the event.
- ▶ α_{QED} used was 0.079.
- ▶ α_{QED} used was 0.13.

```

<event>
10 0 0.21E-6 0.11E+3 0.79E-1 0.13E+0
2 -1 0 0 502 0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 0.16E+4 0.16E+4 0.00E+0 0. -1
1 -1 0 0 501 0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 -0.90E+2 0.90E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1
25 2 1 2 0 0 0.27E+2 0.78E+2 0.21E+3 0.25E+3 0.12E+3 0. 0
24 2 3 3 0 0 0.34E+1 0.61E+2 0.13E+3 0.16E+3 0.76E+2 0. 0
2 1 1 2 501 0 -0.40E+1 0.33E+2 -0.60E+2 0.69E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1
1 1 1 2 502 0 -0.23E+2 -0.11E+3 0.13E+4 0.13E+4 0.00E+0 0. -1
-11 1 4 4 0 0 0.18E+2 0.18E+2 0.24E+1 0.26E+2 0.00E+0 0. 1
12 1 4 4 0 0 -0.13E+2 0.42E+2 0.13E+3 0.14E+3 0.00E+0 0. -1
-14 1 3 3 0 0 -0.19E+1 0.20E+2 0.41E+2 0.46E+2 0.00E+0 0. 1
13 1 3 3 0 0 0.25E+2 -0.36E+1 0.29E+2 0.39E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1
</event>

```

Incoming up quark with no mother particles, color code 502 , no anticolor

```

<event>
10 0 0.21E-6 0.11E+3 0.79E-1 0.13E+0
2 -1 0 0 502 0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 0.16E+4 0.16E+4 0.00E+0 0. -1.
1 -1 0 0 501 0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 -0.90E+2 0.90E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
25 2 1 2 0 0 0.27E+2 0.78E+2 0.21E+3 0.25E+3 0.12E+3 0. 0.
24 2 3 3 0 0 0.34E+1 0.61E+2 0.13E+3 0.16E+3 0.76E+2 0. 0.
2 1 1 2 501 0 -0.40E+1 0.33E+2 -0.60E+2 0.69E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
1 1 1 2 502 0 -0.23E+2 -0.11E+3 0.13E+4 0.13E+4 0.00E+0 0. -1.
-11 1 4 4 0 0 0.18E+2 0.18E+2 0.24E+1 0.26E+2 0.00E+0 0. 1.
12 1 4 4 0 0 -0.13E+2 0.42E+2 0.13E+3 0.14E+3 0.00E+0 0. -1.
-14 1 3 3 0 0 -0.19E+1 0.20E+2 0.41E+2 0.46E+2 0.00E+0 0. 1.
13 1 3 3 0 0 0.25E+2 -0.36E+1 0.29E+2 0.39E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
</event>

```

This u quark has a 4-momentum given by:

- ▶ $p_x = 0$ GeV
- ▶ $p_y = 0$ GeV
- ▶ $p_z = 1600$ GeV
- ▶ $E = 1600$ GeV

Its mass is explicitly given by $m = 0$ GeV (in case the particle had been off-shell).

This is the displacement of its decay from its production, but it's stable. Finally, $2 \times$ helicity is given as -1 .

```

<event>
10 0 0.21E-6 0.11E+3 0.79E-1 0.13E+0
2 -1 0 0 502 0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 0.16E+4 0.16E+4 0.00E+0 0. -1
1 -1 0 0 501 0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 -0.90E+2 0.90E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1
25 2 2 2 0 0 0.27E+2 0.78E+2 0.21E+3 0.25E+3 0.12E+3 0. 0
24 2 3 3 0 0 0.34E+1 0.61E+2 0.13E+3 0.16E+3 0.76E+2 0. 0
2 1 1 2 501 0 -0.40E+1 0.33E+2 -0.60E+2 0.69E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1
1 1 1 2 502 0 -0.23E+2 -0.11E+3 0.13E+4 0.13E+4 0.00E+0 0. -1
-11 1 4 4 0 0 0.18E+2 0.18E+2 0.24E+1 0.26E+2 0.00E+0 0. 1
12 1 4 4 0 0 -0.13E+2 0.42E+2 0.13E+3 0.14E+3 0.00E+0 0. -1
-14 1 3 3 0 0 -0.19E+2 0.20E+2 0.41E+2 0.46E+2 0.00E+0 0. 1
13 1 3 3 0 0 0.25E+2 -0.36E+1 0.29E+2 0.39E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1
</event>
    
```

Incoming down quark with a different color .

```

<event>
10 0 0.21E-6 0.11E+3 0.79E-1 0.13E+0
2 -1 0 0 502 0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 0.16E+4 0.16E+4 0.00E+0 0. -1.
1 -1 0 0 501 0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 -0.90E+2 0.90E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
25 2 1 2 0 0 -0.27E+2 0.78E+2 0.21E+3 0.25E+3 0.12E+3 0. 0.
24 2 3 3 0 0 0.34E+1 0.61E+2 0.13E+3 0.16E+3 0.76E+2 0. 0.
2 1 1 2 501 0 -0.40E+1 0.33E+2 -0.60E+2 0.69E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
1 1 1 2 502 0 -0.23E+2 -0.11E+3 0.13E+4 0.13E+4 0.00E+0 0. -1.
-11 1 4 4 0 0 0.18E+2 0.18E+2 0.24E+1 0.26E+2 0.00E+0 0. 1.
12 1 4 4 0 0 -0.13E+2 0.42E+2 0.13E+3 0.14E+3 0.00E+0 0. -1.
-14 1 3 3 0 0 -0.19E+1 0.20E+2 0.41E+2 0.46E+2 0.00E+0 0. 1.
13 1 3 3 0 0 0.25E+2 -0.36E+1 0.29E+2 0.39E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
</event>

```

Intermediate Higgs boson which came from a vertex with incoming particle 1 and particle 2 (and the Higgs boson is colorless).

(Particle 1 is the particle of the 1st line, even though this particle is an up quark, with code 2!)

(Likewise, the 2nd line is for particle 2, even though it begins with the number 1, which is denoting that it is a down quark!)


```

<event>
10 0 0.21E-6 0.11E+3 0.79E-1 0.13E+0
2 1 0 0 502 0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 0.16E+4 0.16E+4 0.00E+0 0. -1.
1 -1 0 0 501 0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 -0.90E+2 0.90E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
25 2 1 2 0 0 0.27E+2 0.78E+2 0.21E+3 0.25E+3 0.12E+3 0. 0.
24 2 3 3 0 0 0.34E+1 0.61E+2 0.13E+3 0.16E+3 0.76E+2 0. 0.
2 1 1 2 501 0 -0.40E+1 0.33E+2 -0.60E+2 0.69E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
1 1 1 2 502 0 -0.23E+2 -0.11E+3 0.13E+4 0.13E+4 0.00E+0 0. -1.
11 1 4 4 0 0 0.18E+2 0.18E+2 0.24E+1 0.26E+2 0.00E+0 0. 1.
12 1 4 4 0 0 -0.13E+2 0.42E+2 0.13E+3 0.14E+3 0.00E+0 0. -1.
-14 1 3 3 0 0 -0.19E+1 0.20E+2 0.41E+2 0.46E+2 0.00E+0 0. 1.
13 1 3 3 0 0 0.25E+2 -0.36E+1 0.29E+2 0.39E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
</event>

```

Not only **particle 3** , but also **particle 5** and **particle 6** (which both go to the **final state**) came from the interaction of **particle 2** and **particle 1** .

```

<event>
10 0 0.21E-6 0.11E+3 0.79E-1 0.13E+0
 2 -1 0 0 502 0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 0.16E+4 0.16E+4 0.00E+0 0. -1.
 1 -1 0 0 501 0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 -0.90E+2 0.90E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
25 2 1 2 0 0 0.27E+2 0.78E+2 0.21E+3 0.25E+3 0.12E+3 0. 0.
24 2 3 3 0 0 0.34E+1 0.61E+2 0.13E+3 0.16E+3 0.76E+2 0. 0.
 2 1 1 2 501 0 -0.40E+1 0.33E+2 -0.60E+2 0.69E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
 1 1 1 2 502 0 -0.23E+2 -0.11E+3 0.13E+4 0.13E+4 0.00E+0 0. -1.
-11 1 4 4 0 0 0.18E+2 0.18E+2 0.24E+1 0.26E+2 0.00E+0 0. 1.
12 1 4 4 0 0 -0.13E+2 0.42E+2 0.13E+3 0.14E+3 0.00E+0 0. -1.
-14 1 3 3 0 0 -0.19E+1 0.20E+2 0.41E+2 0.46E+2 0.00E+0 0. 1.
13 1 3 3 0 0 0.25E+2 -0.36E+1 0.29E+2 0.39E+2 0.00E+0 0. -1.
</event>

```

Particle 4 is an intermediate W^+ boson which came from a vertex with just particle 3 incoming (*i.e.* from the decay of the Higgs boson).

The decay of the Higgs boson also resulted in a final-state *anti-(muon) neutrino* ($\bar{\nu}_\mu$) and *muon* (μ^-)

The W^+ decays to a final-state anti-electron (e^+) and a final-state (electron) neutrino ν_e .

SM particle codes

down ($d^{-1/3}$)	1	electron (e^-)	11	gluon	21
up ($u^{+2/3}$)	2	ν_e	12	photon	22
strange ($s^{-1/3}$)	3	muon (μ^-)	13	Z boson	23
charm ($c^{+2/3}$)	4	ν_μ	14	W^+ boson	24
bottom ($b^{-1/3}$)	5	tau lepton (τ^-)	15	Higgs boson	25
top ($t^{+2/3}$)	6	ν_τ	16		

and negative codes for charge-conjugates

(*e.g.* -24 for W^- , there is no -21, -22, -23, or -25)

+ many codes for mesons and hadrons, + many extra codes for SUSY particles, + many other codes with no organization for other models

... + proposal for consistent particle code scheme in [arXiv:1206.4563](https://arxiv.org/abs/1206.4563).

LHEF summary

LHEF details MC truth:

- ▶ All initial-state and final-state, and, depending on the calculator, usually most intermediate particles given.
- ▶ Each particle is specified with its nature and 4-momentum.
- ▶ Very useful to check what processes might be important to features of the signal!
- ▶ *Not* what is measured by the experiment!

Reconstructed events: LHC Olympics files

- ▶ LHC Olympics format (LHCO) is a (not-so-widespread) standard for writing reconstructed events, but is at least readable and portable, unlike HEPMC...
- ▶ Both widespread “generic LHC detector” simulators PGS and Delphes support output in LHCO.

0		1	3635							
1	1	0.122	0.776	25.06	0.00	1.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
2	2	0.995	6.141	26.40	0.11	-1.0	4.0	0.08	0.0	0.0
3	3	-1.340	1.542	31.88	0.00	3.0	0.0	19.36	0.0	0.0
4	4	3.143	4.497	119.02	9.49	0.0	0.0	1.56	0.0	0.0
5	6	0.000	1.817	67.14	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
0		2	3969							
1	0	-0.393	2.686	48.64	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.02	0.0	0.0
2	0	0.424	0.518	82.84	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
3	4	1.161	4.124	91.13	2.59	5.0	0.0	2.19	0.0	0.0
4	4	-2.090	0.455	26.28	2.99	5.0	0.0	45.65	0.0	0.0
5	6	0.000	2.348	2.18	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0

2 example LHC0 events.

0	1	3635									
1	1	0.122	0.776	25.06	0.00	1.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	
2	2	0.995	6.141	26.40	0.11	-1.0	4.0	0.08	0.0	0.0	
3	3	-1.340	1.542	31.88	0.00	3.0	0.0	19.36	0.0	0.0	
4	4	3.143	4.497	119.02	9.49	0.0	0.0	1.56	0.0	0.0	
5	6	0.000	1.817	67.14	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	
0	2	3969									
1	0	-0.393	2.686	48.64	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.02	0.0	0.0	
2	0	0.424	0.518	82.84	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	
3	4	1.161	4.124	91.13	2.59	5.0	0.0	2.19	0.0	0.0	
4	4	-2.090	0.455	26.28	2.99	5.0	0.0	45.65	0.0	0.0	
5	6	0.000	2.348	2.18	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	

Lines beginning with 0 are the header lines for each event, and provide the event number and trigger code .

0		1	3635								
1	1	0.122	0.776	25.06	0.00	1.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	
2	2	0.995	6.141	26.40	0.11	-1.0	4.0	0.08	0.0	0.0	
3	3	-1.340	1.542	31.88	0.00	3.0	0.0	19.36	0.0	0.0	
4	4	3.143	4.497	119.02	9.49	0.0	0.0	1.56	0.0	0.0	
5	6	0.000	1.817	67.14	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	
0		1	3969								
1	0	-0.393	2.686	48.64	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.02	0.0	0.0	
2	0	0.424	0.518	82.84	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	
3	4	1.161	4.124	91.13	2.59	5.0	0.0	2.19	0.0	0.0	
4	4	-2.090	0.455	26.28	2.99	5.0	0.0	45.65	0.0	0.0	
5	6	0.000	2.348	2.18	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	

Particle 1 of this event is a reconstructed photon with

- ▶ pseudorapidity = -0.393
- ▶ azimuthal angle = 2.686
- ▶ transverse momentum = 48.64 GeV
- ▶ invariant mass = 0.0 GeV

These will be discussed in a few slides.

0	1	3635										
1	1	0.122	0.776	25.06	0.00	1.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	2	0.995	6.141	26.40	0.11	-1.0	4.0	0.08	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	3	-1.340	1.542	31.88	0.00	3.0	0.0	19.36	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	4	3.143	4.497	119.02	9.49	0.0	0.0	1.56	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	6	0.000	1.817	67.14	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0	1	3969										
1	0	-0.393	2.686	48.64	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.02	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	0	0.424	0.518	82.84	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	4	1.161	4.124	91.13	2.59	5.0	0.0	2.19	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	4	-2.090	0.455	26.28	2.99	5.0	0.0	45.65	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	6	0.000	2.348	2.18	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Reconstructed particles of this event:

Particle 1 is an electron from 1 positive charged track $\Rightarrow e^+$.

Particle 2 is a muon from 1 negative charged track $\Rightarrow \mu^-$.

Particle 3 is a (hadronically-decaying) τ lepton from 3 positive charged tracks $\Rightarrow \tau^+$.

0		1	3635								
1	1	0.122	0.776	25.06	0.00	1.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	2	0.995	6.141	26.40	0.11	-1.0	4.0	0.08	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	3	-1.340	1.542	31.88	0.00	3.0	0.0	19.36	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	4	3.143	4.497	119.02	9.49	0.0	0.0	1.56	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	6	0.000	1.817	67.14	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0
0		1	3969								
1	0	-0.393	2.686	48.64	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.02	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	0	0.424	0.518	82.84	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	4	1.161	4.124	91.13	2.59	5.0	0.0	2.19	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	4	-2.090	0.455	26.28	2.99	5.0	0.0	45.65	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	6	0.000	2.348	2.18	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0

Particle 3 of this event is a reconstructed jet from 5 charged tracks, which deposited a calorimeter energy ratio of 2.19 times as much hadronic energy as electromagnetic energy.

This column is the *b*-tag of a jet (1.0 or 2.0 for a jet tagged as having a bottom quark), but for a muon, it gives the line number of the nearest jet to it.

0		1	3635							
1	1	0.122	0.776	25.06	0.00	1.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
2	2	0.995	6.141	26.40	0.11	-1.0	4.0	0.08	0.0	0.0
3	3	-1.340	1.542	31.88	0.00	3.0	0.0	19.36	0.0	0.0
4	4	3.143	4.497	119.02	9.49	0.0	0.0	1.56	0.0	0.0
5	6	0.000	1.817	67.14	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
0		1	3969							
1	0	-0.393	2.686	48.64	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.02	0.0	0.0
2	0	0.424	0.518	82.84	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
3	4	1.161	4.124	91.13	2.59	5.0	0.0	2.19	0.0	0.0
4	4	-2.090	0.455	26.28	2.99	5.0	0.0	45.65	0.0	0.0
5	6	0.000	2.348	2.18	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0

The last “particle” of each event is the *missing transverse momentum*. The *missing transverse momentum* (MET) is the negative of the vector sum of all the transverse momenta of the detected particles. Ideally it is the transverse component of the sum of the momenta of the invisible particles. Since momenta cannot be measured perfectly, there is always some MET even if there were no invisible particles.

0	1	3635								
1	1	0.122	0.776	25.06	0.00	1.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
2	2	0.995	6.141	26.40	0.11	-1.0	4.0	0.08	0.0	0.0
3	3	-1.340	1.542	31.88	0.00	3.0	0.0	19.36	0.0	0.0
4	4	3.143	4.497	119.02	9.49	0.0	0.0	1.56	0.0	0.0
5	6	0.000	1.817	67.14	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
0	2	3969								
1	0	-0.393	2.686	48.64	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.02	0.0	0.0
2	0	0.424	0.518	82.84	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
3	4	1.161	4.124	91.13	2.59	5.0	0.0	2.19	0.0	0.0
4	4	-2.090	0.455	26.28	2.99	5.0	0.0	45.65	0.0	0.0
5	6	0.000	2.348	2.18	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0

1st event taken from LHC0 file based on LHEF file with MC truth of only ever final state of 2 jets, 1 μ^- , 1 $\bar{\nu}_\mu$, 1 e^+ , 1 ν_e : μ^- , e^+ present, $\bar{\nu}$, ν present as MET, but 1 jet seems to have faked a τ^+ !

2nd event taken from LHC0 file based on LHEF file with MC truth of only ever final state of 2 jets, 2 photons, no invisible particles: all present, but non-zero MET.

How do we bin our MC data?

Event binning process

- ▶ Particles of event are *preselected*.
- ▶ Event is vetoed or accepted based on kinematics of preselected particles.
- ▶ Accepted event is binned based on kinematics of preselected particles.

Definitions of kinematic quantities

Selection/veto at LHC usually based on

- ▶ Transverse momentum p_T : spatial momentum perpendicular to beam axis.
- ▶ Pseudorapidity η : $\eta = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|+p^z}{|\mathbf{p}|-p^z} \right)$.
- ▶ Separation in η, ϕ , where ϕ is the azimuthal angle of the momentum around the beam axis.

and Lorentz-invariant combinations of momenta, such as

- ▶ $m_{AB} = \sqrt{(p_A + p_B)^2}$.
- ▶ $m_{ABC} = \sqrt{(p_A + p_B + p_C)^2}$.
- ▶ and so forth...

Preselection

- ▶ Detectors usually have a threshold for effectiveness: they don't see particles that are too soft, usually.
- ▶ Detectors tuned for very soft particles would have a lot of noise.
- ▶ Detectors close to the beam thus at large η also suffer from lots of noise.
- ▶ Very soft particles and those close to the beam are usually just ignored.
- ▶ Event recording usually only triggers on certain combinations of “hard-enough” particles

- ▶ Typically soft e, μ , jets ignored if $p_T < 10$ GeV – 20 GeV, depending on analysis.
- ▶ Typically η must be less than ~ 2.5 for e, μ or up to ~ 5 for jets.
- ▶ e, μ usually ignored if part of a jet.

Atlas Higgs search example

ATLAS looked for $h \rightarrow W^+W^-$ in [arXiv:1206.0756](https://arxiv.org/abs/1206.0756). Some of the results just cannot be accounted for by a lone grad student with an MC generator, but plenty can be done with for example an **LHCO** file of simulated reconstructed events.

Atlas Higgs search example: preselection

- ▶ $|\eta_\mu| < 2.4$, $|\eta_e| < 2.47$, except $1.37 < |\eta| < 1.52$
 - ▶ *e.g.* an electron with $\eta = -3.1$ would be ignored for the purposes of looking for exactly $1e^+$ and $1e^-$ in the event.
- ▶ Leptons with $p_T < 15$ GeV are ignored.
- ▶ Jets with $|\eta| > 4.5$ or $p_T < 25$ GeV (or both) are ignored.
- ▶ Jets with $2.75 < |\eta| < 3.25$ and $p_T < 30$ GeV are ignored also.

Atlas Higgs search example: event selection

Once the leptons and jets have been preselected, the event is kept unless rejected by any of the following vetos:

- ▶ The hardest lepton must have $p_T > 25$ GeV.
- ▶ There must be exactly 1 preselected ℓ^+ and exactly 1 preselected ℓ^- .
- ▶ $m_{\mu\mu}, m_{ee} > 12$ GeV or $m_{e\mu} > 10$ GeV.
- ▶ $|m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z| > 15$ GeV if not $e\mu$.
- ▶ MET (also written \cancel{E}_T) > 45 GeV if not $e\mu$ or > 25 GeV if $e\mu$.
- ▶ $\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell} > 1.8$
- ▶ $m_{\ell\ell} > 50$ GeV unless there are 2 preselected jets, then $m_{\ell\ell} > 80$ GeV.

In code

Computer code can easily be written to read each event, and, for each event, to:

- ▶ Filter out any particles that do not pass the preselection cuts.
- ▶ Accept or reject the event based on the kinematics of the preselected particles.
- ▶ Record the cumulative sum of weights of the accepted events.

and the sum of the weights of the events can be compared to the total weight of the sample, and scaled to the total cross-section of the sample.

- ▶ You can get LHPC from [HepForge](#) to read events in LHEF and LHCO into C++ (also reads in SLHA files).

Summary

Summary

- ▶ LHC analysis typically requires MC.
- ▶ MC should be planned: appropriate programs should be chosen based on required features.
- ▶ Trade-offs of accuracy for speed and better statistics should be thought through.
- ▶ Parton level MC truth \neq detector-level reconstructed events.
- ▶ Usually final number is sum of cross-section weights of events that pass a full set of yes/no conditions on easily-calculated kinematic quantities.

That's all, folks.