

Introduction to HiggsBounds

Tutorial and exercises

for the

German-Egyptian School of Particle Physics

24–28 February 2013

Zewail City of Science and Technology (Cairo)

T. Stefaniak*

Bethe Center for Theoretical Physics and Physikalisches Institut,
Universität Bonn, Nußallee 12, 53115 Bonn, Germany

Abstract

This tutorial introduces the use of `HiggsBounds` as a tool to study collider exclusion limits on Higgs sectors beyond the Standard Model. The reader becomes familiar with the SLHA and data files `HiggsBounds` input as well as the usage of the `HiggsBounds` subroutines. The first exercise studies the Higgs sector of the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM), where the provided example parameter points exhibit a Higgs boson with a mass ~ 126 GeV. The second exercise elaborates upon the collider constraints on a SM-like Higgs boson with an additional decay mode to invisible particles.

*Email: tim@th.physik.uni-bonn.de

Introduction

HiggsBounds is a high energy physics software tool that combines theoretical model predictions (provided by the user) with experimental data on Higgs exclusion to provide collider limits on theories with extended Higgs sectors. The program works for theories with an extended number (< 10) of neutral and charged Higgs bosons where the narrow width approximation can be applied to separate predictions for Higgs production and decay. The current version of the program is **HiggsBounds-3.8.1**, which contains exclusion limits from LEP, the TEVATRON, and LHC7. An extension to include LHC8 results is on-going work and will be released shortly.

The program is freely available and can be downloaded from the webpage:

<http://higgsbounds.hepforge.org>

There is also a mailing list to which you can subscribe in order to receive information about updates:

<http://www.hepforge.org/lists/listinfo/higgsbounds-announce>

For more complete information about **HiggsBounds** — and how to use it — please consult the original manual [1].

Installation and Preparations

Download the **HiggsBounds-3.8.1** package from the website. Extract the `tar.gz` file via

```
tar xvzf HiggsBounds-3.8.1.tar.gz
```

This creates the directory **HiggsBounds-3.8.1** with the subdirectory **HiggsBounds**. Go to this subdirectory and open the file `configure` with your favorite text editor. Check the compiler settings. If you use `gfortran` make sure you have version 4.2 or higher installed. Then run on the command line

```
./configure  
make
```

and verify that this produces the executable **HiggsBounds** as well as the file `libHB.a`. Before proceeding with the exercises, you need to download the tutorial package from

<http://thp.uni-bonn.de/th/People/tim/HB/Cairo-tutorial.tar.gz>

and unpack it in the main **HiggsBounds-3.8.1** directory. This creates a new directory called `tutorial`, where you find the files needed for the exercises.

Exercise 1: The MSSM with a Higgs boson at ~ 126 GeV

In this exercise we want to look at the constraints on the Higgs sector of the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) with real parameters.

Testing two SLHA files

In this first part, two parameter points provided as SLHA files are tested with `HiggsBounds`. The points chosen are of particular interest because they both feature one Higgs boson with mass $M_H \sim 126$ GeV, which is close to the signal discovered by ATLAS [2] and CMS [3] in July 2012. However, in the MSSM the Higgs decay rates into some channels can be enhanced or suppressed compared to the SM. Also, the MSSM features not one, but five, Higgs bosons. As a result there is a possibility that these models are excluded by Higgs searches. Let's find out!

You find the files specifying the two parameter points in the directory:

```
tutorial/SLHA_examples/
```

The spectra (given in the SLHA format) have been calculated with the program `FeynHiggs-2.9.0` [4]. The end of these two files consists of two special SLHA blocks,

```
HiggsBoundsInputHiggsCouplingsBosons
```

```
HiggsBoundsInputHiggsCouplingsFermions
```

which are needed by `HiggsBounds`.¹ These blocks contain the squared effective couplings (normalized to the SM values) to bosons and third generation fermions, respectively. They are needed to evaluate the production rates of the Higgs bosons at LEP, TEVATRON and LHC within `HiggsBounds`. In contrast, the branching ratios of the Higgs bosons are taken directly from the SLHA file.

Have a look at the two spectrum files and answer the following questions (for each case separately):

1. What are the masses of the three neutral Higgs bosons? What are their dominant and subdominant decay modes? Do you expect the collider phenomenology for any of the Higgs bosons to be similar to that of a SM Higgs boson at the same mass (similar production and decay rates)?

Now, let's run `HiggsBounds` on the command-line. In the directory `SLHA_examples` you can execute `HiggsBounds` with

```
../../HiggsBounds/HiggsBounds LandH SLHA 3 1 SLHA_example1.in
```

¹`HiggsBounds` provides the example program `HBSLHAinputblocksfromFH` which runs `FeynHiggs` on an SLHA input file and appends these extra blocks. Furthermore, some MSSM spectrum generators already write these blocks automatically, e.g. `SPheno` [5].

which runs `HiggsBounds` with the option `whichanalyses=LandH` (*i.e.* it considers results from both lepton and hadron colliders) using the SLHA input file `SLHA_example1.in`. The numbers (3 and 1) are to specify that `HiggsBounds` should expect three neutral Higgs bosons and one charged Higgs boson.

After a successful run, `HiggsBounds` appends the new SLHA block

HiggsBoundsResults

to the input file and creates the textfile `Key.dat` with a list of all Higgs searches which have been considered. Run `HiggsBounds` on both parameter points and have a look at the `HiggsBoundsResults` block.

2. Are these parameter points experimentally excluded at 95% CL? Which Higgs searches are the most sensitive (and thus applied)? Which of the MSSM Higgs bosons do these searches constrain? What happens if we instead use the setting `whichanalyses=onlyL`?

In the parameter point `SLHA_example1.in`, the two neutral Higgs bosons H and A are almost mass degenerate around $M_H \simeq M_A \simeq 200$ GeV. An optional feature of `HiggsBounds` is to enable the superposition of the signal rates of those Higgs bosons which are close in mass. This makes sense when it is *a)* not possible to resolve two separate signal peaks experimentally, and *b)* interference effects can be neglected. Both these conditions are fulfilled here.

To activate this feature, open the file

S95tables.f90

in the `HiggsBounds` package and change the parameters `delta_Mh_LEP`, `delta_Mh_TEV` or `delta_Mh_LHC` to a non-zero value. `HiggsBounds` will then consider the superposition of neutral Higgs bosons which overlap within these values in LEP, TEVATRON or LHC Higgs searches, respectively, where a superposition is generally allowed. Note that after making changes to this file you have to recompile `HiggsBounds` with `make`.

3. Set `delta_Mh_LHC=10` GeV (keep the others at zero) and run `HiggsBounds` again for `SLHA_example1.in` (using the `LandH` setting). What is now the result?

Studying the $(m_A, \tan\beta)$ plane with data files input

In the second part of this exercise we want to run `HiggsBounds` on many points in the $(m_A, \tan\beta)$ parameter plane using the data files input format. You find the provided input files in the following directory:

`tutorial/mAtanb-example/`

The parameter points have been calculated with `FeynHiggs-2.9.4`. The fixed MSSM parameters are

$$M_{\text{SUSY}} = 1 \text{ TeV}, X_t = 2.4 \text{ TeV}, \mu = 1 \text{ TeV}, M_1 = 100 \text{ GeV}, M_2 = 200 \text{ GeV}, M_3 = 800 \text{ GeV}.$$

All necessary data files for the effective couplings input approximation are provided. From the directory `tutorial/mAtanb-example/` `HiggsBounds` can be run, for instance using lepton and hadron collider constraints and the effective coupling input, as

```
../../HiggsBounds/HiggsBounds LandH effC 3 1 heavyHiggs_
```

This creates the files `heavyHiggs_HiggsBoundsResults.dat` and `heavyHiggs_Key.dat`. They contain the results of the `HiggsBounds` run. In the file `heavyHiggs_HiggsBoundsResults.dat` the scan parameters M_A and $\tan\beta$ are denoted as `additional(1)` and `additional(2)`, respectively.

4. Run *HiggsBounds* (with settings *LandH* and *effC*) on the provided data files, first not allowing the combination of Higgs bosons (i.e. change back to `delta_Mh_LHC=0 GeV`). Have a look at the *HiggsBounds* output files. Which Higgs bosons can potentially be combined in which parameter regions? Which Higgs boson might be the best candidate for the LHC signal at 126 GeV?

5. Use the provided bash (gnuplot) script `plot.bat` to plot the excluded parameter regions, the most sensitive Higgs channel and *obsratio* from the *HiggsBounds* results. Furthermore, indicate the parameter region where your preferred Higgs boson candidate has a mass value of 126 ± 2 GeV by green dots in the exclusion plot.

6. Run *HiggsBounds* again on the data files, now allowing the combination of Higgs bosons (i.e. changing again to `delta_Mh_LHC=10 GeV`). Create the same plots as in the previous exercise for the new results and compare them with the previous results. Which parameter region with a preferred mass value $\sim 126 \pm 2$ GeV is left unexcluded? Why can we infer already from the Higgs channel sensitivity plot that the Higgs candidate has roughly SM-like couplings in this region?

Further reading:

In this example we encountered the possibility of having the heavier *CP*-even Higgs boson of the MSSM with SM-like couplings and mass ~ 126 GeV. These interesting scenarios have been studied in Ref. [6]. The parameter plane studied in the second part of this exercise was investigated with `HiggsBounds-3.8.0` and a preliminary version of `HiggsSignals` in Ref. [7].

Exercise 2: Invisible Higgs decays

In this example of `HiggsBounds` application we are going to study the case of a single (SM-like) Higgs boson H with an invisible decay mode, such that $\text{BR}(H \rightarrow \text{invisible})$ is anywhere between 0 and 1. This could be realized *e.g.* in models with additional light states χ that cannot be detected experimentally when $H \rightarrow \chi\chi$ decays are open. The presence of the invisible decay leads to a modification of the total Higgs decay width according to

$$\Gamma_{\text{tot}}^H = \frac{\Gamma_{\text{SM}}^H}{1 - \text{BR}(H \rightarrow \text{invisible})}. \quad (1)$$

The size of the new branching ratio and its relation to the total width is in fact all the information necessary for `HiggsBounds` to reinterpret the existing limits from Higgs searches (usually performed for the SM Higgs) in models with such an invisible decay.

For this task we are going to use the program

```
tutorial/SMinv_example/HB_SMinv.F
```

which runs some `HiggsBounds` subroutines from the `HiggsBounds` library. It is compiled simply by giving the command (inside the `tutorial/SMinvisible` directory):

```
gfortran HB_SMinv.F -o HB_SMinv -L../HiggsBounds -lHB
```

Open the code in your favorite editor, look at the different parts, and try to understand what they do. Running this program (no arguments are required) produces several output files named `inv-XX-results.dat`, where `XX` refers to the size of $\text{BR}(H \rightarrow \text{invisible})$. These files contain tables of Higgs masses and the corresponding `HiggsBounds` output. A simple bash script running `gnuplot` to plot the output is available:

```
tutorial/SMinv_example/plot_SMinv.bat
```

Try to answer the questions below by changing the options, modifying and running the example program and plotting the results (possibly several times). Remember that you can use all the `HiggsBounds` output and the information in `Key.dat`.

1. *What mass range is excluded (at 95% confidence level) for a SM Higgs boson? How large can $\text{BR}(H \rightarrow \text{invisible})$ be without modifying significantly the excluded mass range?*
2. *For what mass ranges is the exclusion limit determined by the LEP (LHC) experiments? Does this depend on the branching ratio of Higgs to invisible?*
3. *Can you think of a reason for the stronger exclusion of a Higgs around $M_h = 90$ GeV observed with a sizable invisible branching ratio compared to the pure SM case (no invisible decay)?*

References

- [1] P. Bechtle, O. Brein, S. Heinemeyer, G. Weiglein and K. E. Williams, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **181**, 138 (2010) [arXiv:0811.4169 [hep-ph]]; P. Bechtle, O. Brein, S. Heinemeyer, G. Weiglein and K. E. Williams, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **182**, 2605 (2011) [arXiv:1102.1898 [hep-ph]]; See also <http://higgsbounds.hepforge.org>.
- [2] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], [arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex]].
- [3] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], [arXiv:1207.7235 [hep-ex]].
- [4] M. Frank, T. Hahn, S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik, H. Rzehak and G. Weiglein, *JHEP* **0702** (2007) 047 [hep-ph/0611326]; G. Degrandi, S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik, P. Slavich and G. Weiglein, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **28** (2003) 133 [hep-ph/0212020]; S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik and G. Weiglein, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **9** (1999) 343 [hep-ph/9812472]; S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik and G. Weiglein, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **124** (2000) 76 [hep-ph/9812320]. See also <http://www.feynhiggs.de/>.
- [5] W. Porod, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **153** (2003) 275 [hep-ph/0301101]; W. Porod and F. Staub, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **183** (2012) 2458 [arXiv:1104.1573 [hep-ph]]. See also <http://spheno.hepforge.org/>.
- [6] S. Heinemeyer, O. Stal and G. Weiglein, *Phys. Lett. B* **710** (2012) 201 [arXiv:1112.3026 [hep-ph]]; M. Drees, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 115018 [arXiv:1210.6507 [hep-ph]]; P. Bechtle, S. Heinemeyer, O. Stal, T. Stefaniak, G. Weiglein and L. Zeune, arXiv:1211.1955 [hep-ph].
- [7] P. Bechtle, O. Brein, S. Heinemeyer, O. Stal, T. Stefaniak, G. Weiglein and K. Williams, arXiv:1301.2345 [hep-ph].