



UH

DER FORSCHUNG | DER LEHRE | DER BILDUNG





## Overview

## The top-quark and new physics

- high mass of the top quark is still intriguing
- special rôle of the top in new physics models
- Tevatron forward-backward asymmetry still unresolved issue

## **Top quark resonances in BSM Models**

- extended gauge sectors: Z',W' and G' bosons
- top-colour condensates
- warped extra dimensions: Kaluza-Klein excitations
- compositeness



## $\Rightarrow$ rich final states, numerous channels





Jvents/20 GeV



# **Analysis Methods**

### strategies for different mass regimes

- Iow mass resonances (< I TeV)</p>
  - resolved final state objects
  - many jets, isolated leptons
  - solve combinatorics for resonance mass reconstruction, using known masses as constraints
- high mass resonances (> I TeV)
  - merged final state objects
  - less jets, non-isolated leptons
  - special requirements: top tagging,
    b-tagging in dense environments
  - unambigious selection of top quark helps in the reconstruction of the resonance mass







# **Top Tagging in CMS**

### Top- and W-tagging in allhadronic final states

- substructure information to identify jets from fully-merged top quark decays
- CMS top tagger: use invariant mass of subjets
  - robust algorithm (pile-up, calibration,...)
  - good efficiency (~40% at high P<sub>T</sub>) with small mistag rate (3-7%, depending on P<sub>T</sub>)
- efficiency and mistag rate measurements in lepton+jets samples







## **Analyses Overview**

## Analyses of the full 2012 dataset at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV

- narrow t+b resonances in lepton+jets (W' search) [CMS PAS B2G-12-010]
- resonances decaying to t+jet in lepton+jets (t\* search) [CMS PAS B2G-12-014]
- resonances in the tĒ invariant mass spectrum in lepton+jets (resolved and boosted Z' searches) [CMS PAS B2G-12-006]
- resonances in the tī invariant mass spectrum in all-hadronic channel (boosted Z' search) [CMS PAS B2G-12-005]







## t+b Resonances

## search for production of $W' \rightarrow t+b$

- consider left- and right-handed W's
  - W'<sub>L</sub>: interference with SM W production
  - W'\_R: leptonic decay involves  $V_R$  with unknown mass: different branching ratios depending on  $M_{VR}$
- semi-leptonic top analysis: one isolated lepton (e, μ) and 2 jets with P<sub>T</sub> > 120 and 40 GeV, one b-tagged
- top-quark hypothesis: best W reconstruction (P<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> + lepton) and jets
- M(tb): combine top with remaining highest P<sub>T</sub> jet
- limits: M(W'<sub>R</sub>) > 2.03 TeV (2.09 TeV expected) at 95% C.L.







 $t^* \rightarrow t + g$ 

### pair production of excited top quarks

- rich final state:  $t\bar{t} + \ge 2$  jets
  - analysis performed in lepton+jets channel
  - select isolated lepton  $+ \ge 6$  jets
  - at least on b-tagged jet
- mass reconstruction: using the constraint
  M = m(lube) = m(cebe)
  - $M_{t+g} = m(I \vee bg) = m(qqbg)$
- background: obtained from a fit to the obtained mass spectrum, signal distribution taken from simulation
- no excess observed: excluded spin-3/2 t\* resonances below 790 GeV at 95% C.L.









# tt Resonances (Low Mass)

### Threshold lepton+jet analysis

- selection: isolated lepton and four or more jets with R=0.5, one or more b-tagged jets
  - reconstruct neutrino from missing transverse momentum
  - tī-system: take solution which minimizes  $\chi^2 = \chi^2_{lep} + \chi^2_{had} + \chi^2_{W,had} + \chi^2_{pt}$
- ▶ four categories:  $e/\mu$  channels,  $N_{btag} = 1$  or  $\ge 2$
- fit m<sub>tt</sub> spectrum to data, parametrisation validated with simulated tt events
- templates for various signal hypothesis, superposition of Gaussian kernels
- fits performed simultaneously for all four categories
- absence of signal validated with pseudoexperiments







# tt Resonances (High Mass)

## **Boosted lepton+jet analysis**

- non-isolated lepton
  - special selection using  $\Delta R$ (lepton,jet) and  $p_T^{rel}$  to retain sensitivity in boosted region
- > 2 or more jets with  $P_T > 150$  and 50 GeV
- missing transverse momentum from neutrino, P<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> > 50 GeV
- reconstruct tt-system by assigning jets to the leptonic or hadronic top candidate
  - choose hypothesis with minimum  $\chi^2 = \chi^2_{\text{lep}} + \chi^2_{\text{had}}$
  - $\chi^2$  definition designed for boosted events
  - select events with  $\chi^2 < 10$
- split events according to number of b-tagged jets







N<sub>iets</sub>

# tt Resonances: Limits

#### **Combined low and high mass**

- extended coverage 0.5 3 TeV in m<sub>tt</sub> through combining two analyses
- threshold analysis higher sensitivity at < I TeV, boosted analysis takes over at ~I TeV
- narrow (wide) Z' models excluded at 95% CL for masses below 2.1 (2.7) TeV
- mass limit for KK gluons: 2.5 TeV
- upper limit of 0.03 pb on cross section × BR for masses > 2 TeV for narrow resonances







# tt Resonances: All-hadronic

## All-hadronic analysis

- 2-jet selection, CA jets with R=0.8
- reconstruction of tt-system in fully merged final-states
- sensitivity of I+I events one order of magnitude better than I+2 events
- main background: QCD
  - determined from data
  - cross check mistag rate with I+2-type events
- comparable limits to the lepton+jets analysis
- exclusion limits on narrow and wide Z' and KK gluons of up to 2.3 TeV







## Summary

# Searches for resonances decaying to top quarks

- exciting possibility to explore many new physics models
- very rich final states

## **Excellent performance of CMS**

- analyses being released using the full 8 TeV data
- no signals so far, but new limits in so far unexplored regions

## **Boosted topologies**

- higher mass regions accessible
- increasing importance







## **Additional Material**





## t+b Resonances



# Verification of the W+jets background

- use 0 b-tag sample, little signal contamination
- reconstructed M(t+jet) shape well described by simulation, small differences taken as systematic uncertainty



## **Top P**<sub>T</sub> spectrum

- original distribution not well described by simulation
- weights derived using a signaldepleted control region (N<sub>jets</sub> ≥ 4, N<sub>b-jets</sub> ≥ 2,400 < M(tb) < 750 GeV)</li>
- good agreement after reweighting



## t+b Resonances







## t+b Resonances



#### Contour plots of M(W') in the (a<sup>L</sup>, a<sup>R</sup>) plane

contours where the 95% C.L. limit equals the predicted cross section

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{V_{f_i f_j}}{2\sqrt{2}} g_w \overline{f}_i \gamma_\mu \left( a_{f_i f_j}^R (1 + \gamma^5) + a_{f_i f_j}^L (1 - \gamma^5) \right) W'^\mu f_j + \text{H.c.}$$





## t\* Uncertainties

Uncertainties on the background shape: uncertainties of the fit parameters a, b, c

$$f(x) = \frac{u}{1 + e^{\frac{x-b}{c}}},$$

Uncertainties on the expected signal:

| Source             | Muon Channel | Electron Channel |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Luminosity         | 4.4%         | 4.4%             |  |  |  |  |
| JES                | 2.3-3.9%     | 2.2-4.1%         |  |  |  |  |
| JER                | 0.1–0.6%     | 0.1–0.8%         |  |  |  |  |
| Trigger Efficiency | 1.0%         | 1.0%             |  |  |  |  |
| Lepton Efficiency  | 0.9–1.3%     | 0.04%            |  |  |  |  |
| b-tag SF           | 0.6–1.5%     | 0.8–1.4%         |  |  |  |  |
| Pileup             | 0.02–0.7%    | 0.02–0.4%        |  |  |  |  |
| PDF                | 0.3–1.9%     | 1.3-1.9%         |  |  |  |  |
| MC Statistics      | 1.9%         | 2.0%             |  |  |  |  |



# tt Resonances (Low Mass)



tt-resonances: zoom-in of the obtained limits in the low-mass regime by the threshold analysis





# tt Resonances (High Mass)



Measurement of the reconstructed mass of the t $\bar{t}$ -system in four categories: e,  $\mu$ , N<sub>b-tag</sub> = 0, N<sub>b-tag</sub>  $\geq$  I



# tt Resonances (High Mass)



Reconstructed mass of the leptonic (left) and hadronic (right) top quark decay, after the full selection





# tt Resonances: all-hadronic



#### Top-tagging efficiency measurement:

- semi-leptonic control sample with one b-tagged jet
- determine efficiency and subjet scale factor for data and simulation
- good agreement found, ratio between data and simulation is
  0.926 ± 0.039, applied as scale factor to tt and signal MCs





# tt Resonances: all-hadronic



#### **Top-tagging mistag-rate measurement:**

- dijet events with same topology as in the analysis selection
- Invert minimum-pairwise mass requirement on one jet, resulting in a signal-depleted control region
- mistag rate measured on the other jet after application of top-tag requirement and subtraction of small contribution from tt events





## tt Resonances: all-hadronic

#### **Systematic uncertainties:**

|                          | Process            | tī                   | NTMJ            | RS KK gluon        |                  |                |   |                |
|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|---|----------------|
|                          | Mass (TeV/ $c^2$ ) |                      |                 | 1                  | 1.5              | 2              | 2.5                                     | 3              |
| Systematic Source        | Variation          | Effect of Systematic |                 |                    |                  |                |   |                |
| Trigger Efficiency       | 2                  | 2                    |                 | 2                  | 2                | 2              | 2                                       | 2              |
| Jet Energy Scale         | $\sim\pm5$         | $+11 \\ -15$         |                 | $+15 \\ -22$       | $^{+1.3}_{-3.5}$ | $-4.5 \\ -0.1$ | $-4.9 \\ -0.1$                          | $-3.0 \\ -1.1$ |
| Jet Energy Resolution    | $f(\eta)$          |                      |                 | $  -1.0 \\ -0.3  $ | -0.4 + 0.2       | -0.2 + 0.3     | $\begin{array}{c}-0.4\\+0.4\end{array}$ | -0.4 + 0.3     |
| Luminosity               | $\pm 4.4$          | 4.4                  |                 | 4.4                | 4.4              | 4.4            | 4.4                                     | 4.4            |
| Top Tagging Scale Factor | $85.7\pm7.8$       | 8.4                  |                 | 8.4                | 8.4              | 8.4            | 8.4                                     | 8.4            |
| NTMJ Determination       | See Text           |                      | 4.9             |                    |                  |                |   |                |
| NTMJ Closure Test        | See Text           |                      | $+10.8 \\ -8.7$ |                    |                  |                |   |                |
| tt Cross Section         | $\pm 50$           | 50                   |                 |                    |                  |                |   |                |

#### **Enhancement** analysis:

| Process          | Events                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| SM tī            | $507\pm269$                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Top Multijet | $6602\pm723$                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Background | $7109\pm771$                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observed Data    | 6887                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| tt Efficiency    | $(3.4 \pm 1.7) \cdot 10^{-4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

with 
$$S = \frac{\int_{M_{t\bar{t}}>1 \text{TeV}/c^2} \frac{d\sigma_{SM+NP}}{dM_{t\bar{t}}} dM_{t\bar{t}}}}{\int_{M_{t\bar{t}}>1 \text{TeV}/c^2} \frac{d\sigma_{SM}}{dM_{t\bar{t}}} dM_{t\bar{t}}}}.$$

derive constraints on a general enhancement in the invariant  $m_{t\bar{t}}$  spectrum: S < 1.79 at 95% C.L.



