\mathcal{T} symmetry invariance tests in neutral meson decays

Adrian Bevan, Gianluca Ingugia, Michele Zoccali

Abstract:

The laws of quantum physics can be studied under the mathematical T operation that inverts the direction of time. Strong and electromagnetic forces are known to be invariant under temporal inversion, however the weak force is not. The BaBar experiment recently exploited the quantum -correlated production of neutral B mesons to show that \mathcal{T} is a broken symmetry for weak decays. Here we show that it is possible to perform a wide range of tests of quark flavour changing processes, described by the weak interaction, under the T symmetry in order to validate the Kobayashi-Maskawa mechanism and the Standard Model of particle physics, and note the existence of an exactly orthonormal CP filter basis.

Introduction: Last year BaBar published an experimental result showing ${\cal T}$ non -invariance in the decay of entangled B mesons into flavour filter and chamonium+K_{\rm LS} CP filter eigenstate decays [1]. The method used [2] and experimental results are briefly summarised, to set the context of a wider range of tests of the Koybayashi-Maskawa mechanism that can be performed under the $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ symmetry, by including studies of charm mesons, and moving from an approximately orthonormal $C\mathcal{P}$ conjugate basis pair of decays, to exactly orthonormal pairs. The asymmetry tested is

$$A_T = \frac{P(|i\rangle \to |f\rangle) - P(|f\rangle \to |i\rangle)}{P(|i\rangle \to |f\rangle) + P(|f\rangle \to |i\rangle}$$

i.e. one compares a process with the $\mathcal T$ inverted one.

The method [2] in brief: In order to test the \mathcal{T} symmetry independently of $C\mathcal{P}$ one has to use two different orthonormal filter bases with a entangled neutral meson-pair system

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(P_1^0 \overline{P}_2^0 - \overline{P}_1^0 P_2^0 \right)$$

For convenience one can use flavour and CP filters: • Flavour filters: flavour specific decays, e.g. $B^0 \rightarrow X I^{\pm}v$, where the lepton charge in this case tags the flavour of the neutral B meson, and the orthonormal basis consists of the B⁰ and B⁰ decay into the flavour specific states

• CP filters: Orthonormal basis consisting of a CP even and a CP odd decay mode. For example a neutral B meson decaying into J/ ψ K_L and J/ ψ K_S provides such a basis (approximately).



The BaBar result [1] in brief: A stark 14 sigma effect was observed. The measured observables ΔS_T^{\pm} are related to CKM angles, in this case the angle $\beta = \phi_1$



Are there exactly orthonormal CP filter bases?: The CP filter basis pair of decays that differ by a neutral K meson, a K_s (CP = -1) or K_L (CP = +1) are approximate, as can be seen when writing the kaon admixtures in terms of their quark content. This raises two auestions:

• Are there other (exact) CP filter bases that could of of interest for T symmetry invariance tests?

The answers to this question is yes. The key points are listed below:

· The transversity basis for the set of pseudoscalar decays to two vector mesons provides a natural way to experimentally distinguish between CP filter basis pair (+1 and -1 states) as there are three parts: CD .. .

$$CP - even tongutariat: A_L - A_0$$

$$CP - even transverse: A_{\parallel} = \frac{A_{+1} + A_{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$CP - odd \ transverse: A_{\perp} = \frac{A_{+1} - A_{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

 Experimentally it is possible to distinguish between these three amplitudes using an angular analysis of the final state, where each amplitude has a distinct signature as a function of the transversity angles. • A long list of possible B and D decays to VV final states can be thought of as viable

to study, including:

$$\begin{array}{lll} B & \rightarrow & \rho\rho, \phi\rho, \phi K^*, \omega K^*, D^*D^*, K^*K^* \\ D & \rightarrow & \rho\rho, \phi\rho \end{array}$$

Other modes to study: The focus so far has been to use the charmonium + K_{US} *CP* filter decays to test \mathcal{T} . However there are a number of other modes that can be studied in B and D decays using this methodology that include *CP* filter bases of the K_{US} type and of a VV decay type. Here we note a few B decay variants and what they measure:



Together these provide a set of tests of the following quark transitions under the ${\mathcal T}$ symmetry: b
ightarrow u, d, s, c (covering both tree and loop diagrams).

We estimate the following precisions attainable for these asymmetries – note there is insufficient experimental data to make sensible estimates for some decays.

CP filters	$\sigma(\Delta S^{\pm})[B Factory]$	$\sigma(\Delta S^{\pm})[Belle II]$	For these modes Belle II should
$\eta' K^0$	0.56	0.08	be able to test \mathcal{T} symmetry
ϕK^*	1.14	0.13	invariance and observe ${\mathcal T}$
ϕK^0	1.84	0.17	violation at the 5 sigma level for
ωK^0	1.95	0.22	SM effects.
א א א	2.0	0.29	

Similar measurements are possible at an asymmetric energy tau-charm factory. Ignoring penguin contributions one can test \mathcal{T} symmetry invariance mediated by the phase of charm mixing and through interference between mixing and

decay amplitudes



Conclusion:

Last year BaBar observed T violation using entangled pairs of B mesons decaying into pairs of flavour and CP filters, where the CP filters were based on tree level B decays to charmonium + K_{LS} final states. Here we note that a programme of similar measurements can be performed to study loop transitions, and a parallel set of measurements could be performed in charm decays at an asymmetric energy charm factory operating at the centre of mass energy of the $\psi(3770)$. In addition the K_{LS} CP filter basis is approximate, and one can utilise a transversity analysis of B and D decays to two vector particle final states to obtain an exactly orthonormal CP filter basis. Together the set of possible measurements at tree and loop level covers all kinematically accessible b and c quark flavour transitions in the SM, i.e. one can over-constrain the KM mechanism in terms of the antiunitary T operator by performing the measurements proposed here [3].

References:

- [1] J. P. Lees et al. [BABAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 211801 (2012).
- [2] M. C. Banuls and J. Bernabeu, Phys. Lett. B 464, 117 (1999); M. C. Banuls and J. Bernabeu, Nucl. Phys. B 590, 19 (2000).
 [3] A. Bevan, G. Inguglia, M. Zoccali, arXiv:1302.4191.

