

High-field superconducting magnets (LHC) and prospects for higher-energy hadron colliders (post LHC machine)

LHC (Large Hadron Collider)

14 TeV proton-proton accelerator-collider built in the LEP tunnel

Lead-Lead (Lead-proton) collisions

- 1983 : First studies for the LHC project
- 1988 : First magnet model (feasibility)
- : Approval of the LHC by the CERN 1994 Council
- 1996-1999: Series production industrialisation
- 1998 : Declaration of Public Utility & Start of civil engineering
- 1998-2000 : Placement of the main production contracts
- : Start of the LHC installation 2004
- 2005-2007 : **Magnets Installation in the** tunnel
- 2006-2008: Hardware commissioning
- 2008-2009: Beam commissioning and repair



Physics exploitation 2009-2030 **EPS HEP 2013** Frédérick BORDRY 20th July 2013



LHC, the construction timeline: Nb-Ti magnet maturation



Prototype and industrialisation





Twin-aperture dipole and quadrupole

Field reproducibility/precision $\sim 10^{\text{-3}}$ Field homogeneity $\sim 10^{\text{-4}}$





100% cold tests at CERN (up to ultimate field)



1232 main dipoles and 400 main quadrupoles

Cold magnetic performance measured on 20% of the magnets (correlation between warm and cold measurements)



Operational margin of the 8.3 T superconducting magnet

Applied Magnetic Field [T]

CÈRN





2010-2012: LHC integrated luminosity

CMS Integrated Luminosity, pp

ERN

20th July 2013



LS1: LHC schedule









The European Strategy for Particle Physics Update 2013

c) Europe's top priority should be the **exploitation of the full potential of the LHC**, including the high-luminosity upgrade of the machine and detectors with a view to collecting **ten times more data than in the initial design, by around 2030**. This upgrade programme will also provide further exciting opportunities for the study of flavour physics and the quark-gluon plasma.

HL-LHC from a study to a PROJECT 300 fb⁻¹ → 3000 fb⁻¹ including LHC injectors upgrade LIU (Linac 4, Booster 2GeV, PS and SPS upgrade)



"Exploitation of the full potential of the LHC"



"Europe's top priority should be the exploitation of the full potential of the LHC, including the high-luminosity upgrade of the machine and detectors with a view to collecting ten times more data than in the initial design, by around 2030."

Hardware for the Upgrade

- New high field insertion quadrupoles
- Upgraded cryogenic system for IP1 and IP5
- Upgrade of the intensity in the Injector Chain
- Crab Cavities to take advantage of the small beta*
 - Single Event Upsets
 - SC links to allow power converters to be moved to surface





Where to intervene: > 1.2 km of LHC





The « new » material : Nb₃Sn

- Recent 23.4 T (1 GHz) NMR Magnet for spectroscopy in Nb₃Sn (and Nb-Ti).
- 15-20 tons/year for NMR and HF solenoids. Experimental MRI is taking off
- ITER: 500 tons in 2010-2015! It is comparable to LHC!
- HEP ITD (Internal Tin Diffusion):
 - High Jc., 3xJc ITER
 - Large filament (50 µm), large coupling current...
 - Cost is 5 times LHC Nb-Ti



0.7 mm, 108/127 stack RRP from Oxford OST



1 mm, 192 tubes PIT from Bruker EAS



LS2: 2018, collimators and 11T Dipole



CERN

20th July 2013

Nb₃Sn 11T Dipole R&D







EPS HEP 2013 Frédérick BORDRY 20th July 2013

辈 Fermilab





Courtesy of D. Mitchell, FNAL and M. Karppinen, CERN



MBHSP02 Performance

Test completed in April 2013 Bmax= 11.7 T – 97.5% of design field B=12T (78% of SSL at 1.9 K)

Issues to be addressed

- Long training
- Conductor degradation
- Negative ramp rate dependence at dI/dt<20 A/s and 4.5 K





EPS HEP 2013 Frédérick BORDRY 20th July 2013 Tests at Fermilab, reported by courtesy of M. Karppinen, CERN

Squeezing the beams: High Field SC Magnets

13 T, 140 mm aperture Quads for the inner triplet LHC: 8 T, 70 mm.

More focus strength, β^* as low as 15 cm (55 cm in LHC). In some scheme even β^* down to 7.5 cm are considered

Dipoles for beam recombination/separation capable of 6-8 T with 150-180 mm aperture (LHC: 1.8 T, 70 mm)







LARP (US LHC program) Magnets

















LARP HQ performance





The test of HQ02 is ongoing, but we see very promising results !







Setting up International collaboration

with national laboratories but also involving industrial firms



Baseline layout of HL-LHC IR region



R2E: Removal of Power Converter (200kA-5 kV SC cable, 100 m height)



SC Link prototype test

New feed-box for supercritical helium (10 g/s) variable temperature (5 K \dots > 77K) and high current (13 kA) Flexible cryostat to host various cable types and materials, up to 20 m length





"to propose an ambitious **post-LHC accelerator project at CERN** by the time of the next Strategy update"

d) CERN should undertake design studies for accelerator projects in a global context, with emphasis on proton-proton and electron-positron high-energy frontier machines. These design studies should be coupled to a vigorous accelerator R&D programme, including high-field magnets and high-gradient accelerating structures, in collaboration with national institutes, laboratories and universities worldwide. HGA



Applied Field (T)





And also R&D on Proton-Driven Plasma Wakefield Acceleration (AWAKE Expt at CERN)



Malta Workshop: HE-LHC @ 33 TeV c.o.m. 14-16 October 2010

highj

60 x (mm)

TT

20

Nb-Ti

100

120



Material	N. turns	Coil fraction	Peak field	J _{overall} (A/mm ²)
Nb-Ti	41	27%	8	380
Nb3Sn (high Jc)	55	37%	13	380
Nb3Sn (Low Jc)	30	20%	15	190
HTS	24	16%	20.5	380





Magnet design (20 T): very challenging but not impossible.

300 mm inter-beam Multiple powering in the same magnet (and more sectioning for energy)

Work for 4 years to assess HTS for 2X20T to open the way to 16.5 T/beam. Otherwise limit field to 15.5

T for 2x13 TeV

Higher INJ energy is desirable (2xSPS)

ng the beam screen at 60 K. ks to dumping time. C. Reaching 2x10³⁴ appears reasonable. beam handling for INJ & beam dump: hake twice more room for LHC kickers.

The « new » materials -2 : HTS Bi-2212

- Round wire, isotropous and suitable to cabling!
- HEP only users (good < 20K and for compact cable)
- Big issue: very low strain resistance, brittle
- Production ~ 0,
- cost ~ 2-5 times Nb3Sn (Ag stabilized)

DOE program 2009-11 in USA let to a factor 2 gain. We need another 50% and more uniformity, eliminating porosity and leakage

> Porosity is still evident in densified wires



J. Jiang et al 2011



Program Eucard2 on HTS

EuCARD2: Develop 10 kA class HTS accelerator cable using Bi-2212 and YBCO. Test stability, magnetization, and strain tolerance



WP10: a 5 T, 40 mm bore HTS dipole



From materials to applications



Superconductors as seen by the eye of a physicist

The grand challenge of today is to find the room temperature superconductors



From materials to applications



Superconductors as seen by the eye of an engineer

The grand challenge of today is to develop the technology of high-field superconductors



Summary – LTS (NbTi ; Nb₃Sn)

NbTi mature but limited to 9T Is Nb₃Sn mature ? Yes, and no performance of Nb₃Sn wires has seen a great boost in the past decade (factor 3 in J_c w/r to ITER)

However, Nb_3Sn magnets were never built nor operated in accelerators. Manufacturing, quench, training, protection, strain tolerance, field quality are the focus today to make this new technology a reality

Solid and aggressive R&D in HFM (High Field Magnet) for accelerators must be intensified



Summary - HTS

Can HTS displace LTS ? Not today

Much needs to be done to bring this technology to a point where it can be sold as "mature" Materials have potential that can be exploited **OPHT for BSCCO-2212** Thicker layer for YBCO tapes The Holy Grail of a round YBCO wire Production quantities, homogeneity and cost need to evolve Step-up application demands, from self-field (SC-link is an ideal test-bed) to high-field accelerator magnets (feasibility)



From materials to applications



Superconductors as seen by the eye of a manager The grand challenge of today is availability of long lengths of reasonably priced commercial materials



WP7 Machine Protection WP1 Project Management ...exploitation of the full pot and Technical Coordination WP8 Collider-Experiment Interface luminosity upgrade of the ma WP2 Accelerator Physics -and Performance WP9 Cryogenics =>High LWP3 Magnets **WP10** -for Insertion Regions Energy Deposition & Absorber WP4 **WP11** -Crab Cavities 11-T Dipole Two-in-One for DS 00 1980 1985 1990 1995 2000 **WP12** WP5 Vacuum Collimation **WP13 Beam Diagnostics** WP6 Cold Powering LEP Construct. **Physics** Upgr **WP14** Beam Transfer & Kickers **WP17** High-Energy LHC - Studies **WP15** Integration & (De-)installation LHC Design, R&D Co **WP18** FRESCA2 High-Field Magnets - R&D **WP16** Hardware Commissioning **High Luminosity LHC Projec Project** Construct. **Physics CERN** Director General **EC DG Research Director of Accelerators & Technology** & Innovation **CERN** Machine Advisory Committee **HL-LHC** Collaboration Board Parameter & Lay-out Committee HL-LHC **Project Coordination Office Coordination Group** Technical Committee **Steering Committee** Work Packages 37 CERN **CERN Responsibility** FP7 HiLumi LHC CÉRN WP 1-6 WP 7-18

"CERN should undertake design studies for accelerator projects in a global context, with emphasis on **proton-proton** and electron- positron **high-energy frontier machines.**"





80-100 km tunnel in Geneva area – VHE-LHC with possibility of e+-e- (TLEP) and p-e (VLHeC)

Geneva

saleve

CDR and cost review for the next ESU (including injectors)

16 T \Rightarrow 100 TeV in 100 km 20 T \Rightarrow 100 TeV in 80 km

LEGEND

LHC tunnel

HE_LHC 80km option potential shaft location

Conclusion

- LS1 (13-14 TeV) [2013-2
- LS2 (higher intensity)
- HL-LHC : R&D => cons



 Vigorous R&D and preparation for post-LHC machine (CDR and Cost-Schedule)
Higher energy hadrons (VHE LHC) 15 to 20 T

to be ready for the next European Strategy Update (multi-lateral collaboration approach)

Thanks for your attention





www.cern.ch

HE-LHC and VHE-LHC main parameters

parameter	LHC	HL-LHC	HE-LHC	VHE-LHC
c.m. energy [TeV]	14	14	33	100
circumference C [km]	26.7	26.7	26.7	80
dipole field [T]	8.33	8.33	20	20
dipole coil aperture [mm]	56	56	40	≤ 40
beam half aperture [cm]	~ 2	~ 2	1.3	≤ 1.3
injection energy [TeV]	0.45	0.45	>1.0	>3.0
no. of bunches n_b	2808	2808	2808	8420
bunch population N_b [10 ¹¹]	1.15	2.2	0.94	0.97
init. transv. norm. emit. $[\mu m]$	3.75	2.5	1.38	2.15
initial longitudinal emit. [eVs]	2.5	2.5	3.8	13.5
no. IPs contributing to tune shift	3	2	2	2
max. total beam-beam tune shift	0.01	0.015	0.01	0.01
beam circulating current $[A]$	0.584	1.12	0.478	0.492
rms bunch length [cm]	7.55	7.55	7.55	7.55
IP beta function [m]	0.55	0.15 (min.)	0.35	1.1
rms IP spot size $[\mu m]$	16.7	7.1 (min.)	5.2	6.7
full crossing angle $[\mu rad]$	285	590	185	72
stored beam energy [MJ]	362	694	701	6610



2nd Workshop Energy for Sustainable Science at Research Infrastructures

CERN, GENEVA, SWITZERLAND 23-25 OCTOBER 2013

ENERGY.SUSTAINABLESCIENCE2013@CERN.CH HTTP://CERN.CH/ENERGY.SUSTAINABLESCIENCE2013

MAIN THEMES

- Energy Management at Research Infrastructures
- Procurement and Financing of Energy
- Energy Efficiency at Research Infrastructures
- Energy Efficiency in Computing Centres
- Sustainable Campus Development and Management
- Energy Quality and Operation
- Green Technologies developed at Research Infrastructures

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Mike AshworthSTFCFrédérick BordryCERNFrank LehnerDESYCarlo RizzutoERFThomas ParkerESS



