

KYU<mark>NG</mark>PO<mark>OK NAT|ONAL UN|VERS|</mark>TY



# W & Z boson Production @ CMS

#### **SangEun Lee** Kyoungpook National University on behalf of the CMS Collaboration

EPS HEP 2013, Stockholm, Sweden

EPS2013

#### CMS Data taking

#### CMS Integrated Luminosity, pp

- Total 29.4/fb delivered to CMS detector
- 7 TeV analysis

#### New result from Muon Q Asymm. 4.7/fb full data 2011

- DY differential: 4.5(Mu), 4.8(Ele)/fb full 2011
   data
- Electron Q Asymm. 840/pb data 2011
- Low Pile Up (Av. 3 bunch crossing) 8 TeV Special run:
  - 19/pb in the beginning of 2012 data taking
  - ➡ W/Z cross section
  - DY (muon) pT differential cross section



#### Measurement of the Muon Charge Asymmetry in inclusive W production at 7 TeV

#### Motivation

- Overall excess of W+ over W- due to the presence of two valence u-quarks in the proton
- Muon Charge Asymmetry on eta
- High precision than boson
- Full 2011 7 TeV dataset amount to 4.7/fb
- Measurement with 20 M W bosons
- high precision than previous measurement

![](_page_3_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Electron Charge Asymmetry

- ➡ PRL 109 (2012) 111806
- ➡ 840/pb at 7 TeV
- → pT >35 GeV/c, 11 Bins of |η|<2.4
  </p>
- very precise (4-5%) to theory predictions

![](_page_3_Figure_13.jpeg)

#### Muon Q Analysis

- For better resolution for MET distributions, several correction techniques are applied
- Muon momentum scale correction:
  - Due to misalignment and mis-modeling of magnetic field
  - → The correction is derived from <1/pT> in  $(\eta, \phi, Q)$  using Z events
  - Effect on: acceptance region, missing transverse energy (MET)
  - Corrections applied to data and MC
- Drell-Yan sample Normalization correction
  - Mass dependent k-factor derived to match data normalization in DY control region

![](_page_4_Figure_9.jpeg)

#### MET corrections

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Signal Extraction

#### Fitting MET distributions

- Binned Maximum likelihood for 11 bins, |η|
   <2.4</li>
- MC templates used
- Floating: Number of W and QCD events
- ➡ Fixing:
  - Ratio of QCD+ and QCD- to control region (CR) value
  - DY and ttbar normalization (+corrections to CR )
  - W->TauNu normalized to W->MuNu
- True charge asymmetry applying the charge dependent efficiency ratio (r<sup>W+/W-</sup>)
  - Muon efficiency from Tag-and-Probe technique with Z control sample

$$A^{true} = A^{raw} - \frac{1 - (A^{raw})^2}{2} \left( r^{W+/W-} - 1 \right)$$

![](_page_6_Figure_12.jpeg)

Green: statistical uncertainty in the shape of MC MET Yellow band: total uncertainty

#### CMS-PAS-SMP-12-021

#### Muon Charge Asymmetry

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Good agreement with CT10, NNPDF and HERA, worse with MSTW
- New MSTW shows significant improvement
  - Flexible input parameterisation
  - Deuteron correction
- Data uncertainty is much smaller than the PDF one

- Measurement precision 0.2-0.4 % per bin
- Dominant systematics:
  - Efficiency ratio ε+/ε-
  - QCD Normalization
  - Muon Scale (for pT>35 analysis)

![](_page_7_Figure_12.jpeg)

#### **Comparison to Electron channel**

- In good agreement
- Electron and current muon results are largely independent (common systematics are negligible)
  - Complementary input for global
     PDF analysis
- Can make significant contribution to global PDF analysis

![](_page_8_Figure_5.jpeg)

CMS-PAS-SMP-12-021

#### Measurements of Differential and Double Differential Drell-Yan Cross Sections in PP collisions at 7 TeV

# $\sigma = \frac{N}{A \cdot \epsilon \cdot \rho \cdot L}$

## Analysis

- Muon Channel
  - → **Muon** in fiducial volume: pT >14, 9 GeV/c,  $|\eta| < 2.4$
  - Dominant backgrounds are estimated in data-driven ways,
     Top (e-μ), QCD (ABCD), others from MC
  - Muon momentum scale & resolution applied to data and MC
- Electron Channel
  - → **Electron** in fiducial volume: pT > 20, 10 GeV/c,  $|\eta| < 2.5$
  - All Electron channel background from data-driven ways:
     QCD and W+Jets from fake rate, others from e-μ
  - Electron energy scale applied to Et and smearing to MC
- Efficiency correction using MC
  - Take into account the difference between data and MC using tag-and-probe method
- Correction for detector resolution and FSR effects:
  - Unfolding with matrix inversion technique

![](_page_10_Figure_15.jpeg)

#### $d\sigma/dM$ Results

- With full phase and within detector accep., at pre(post)-FSR
  - 40 mass bins (15-1500 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>) taking advantage of the CMS detector
  - Test significant NNLO contribution below 40 GeV
- Combined (BLUE) DY invariant mass spectrum in full phase space
- Cross section normalized to the Z peak (60<Inv.M<120 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)
  - Cancel/reduce systematic uncertainties
- Statistical uncertainty: <2%, systematic uncertainty: <12% up to 200 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- Dimuon and dielectron are compatible within uncertainties:  $\chi^2$ /ndof = 1.1
- Good agreement with FEWZ NNLO expectation of CT10 PDF

![](_page_11_Figure_10.jpeg)

FEWZ calculation with stat+PDF (blue) , + EWK correction uncer. (green)

# $d\sigma^2/dMdY$

- Within the detector acceptance to reduce the model dependence using 6×24=132 mass-rapidity bins
- Interesting for PDF constraints, especially d quark and antiquark
- Good agreement with most of PDFs but deviations shown at low and high mass regions

![](_page_12_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### W and Z Cross Section at 8 TeV

#### Introduction

- Update to previous measurement with 36/pb at 7 TeV
- Using special run with low pileup(3) amount to 18.7±0.9/pb
  - Un-prescaled trigger with low pT thr.
  - Suitable MET resolution for data-driven QCD estimation
- Fiducial volume: pT > 25 GeV, |η|<2.1(mu), 2.5(e)</li>
- Using Tag-and-Probe in Z sample for efficiency
- Electron energy, Muon momentum scale corrections
- Recoil method to correct for the MET
- $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ (ee) boson yield extraction:
  - 4 categories from each muon (electron) ID
  - Add events from categories
- W boson extraction: template fitting
  - QCD template from QCD dominant region
- Acceptance: POWHEG NLO MC

![](_page_14_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_16.jpeg)

#### Results

- Theory uncertainty interpreted as a 68% CL
- Z cross section is limited to a dilepton mass range of 60 to 120 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- Measurements are consistent between the electron and muon channels, and in agreement with NNLO cross section calculations
- Inclusive electron, muon, and combined cross section
- Theoretical prediction w/ FEWZ MSTW NNLO PDF

Stat. (back) +Syst. (red) + lum (green)

CMS-PAS-SMP-13-003

![](_page_15_Figure_7.jpeg)

### Results (cont'd)

- W vs. Z x-sec.: ellipses illustrate the 68% coverage for total uncertainties
- W<sup>+</sup> vs. W<sup>-</sup> x-sec in agreement to theory within 1σ
- Cross section comparison to previous results from CMS and other experiment
  - Good agreement with theory predictions at NNLO for both at 7 and 8 TeV

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_6.jpeg)

Measurement of the Transverse Momentum distribution of Z bosons decaying to dimuons in pp collisions at sqrt(s) = 8 TeV

### Z pT differential cross-section

- Transverse momentum qT of dimuon system has important role
  - Non perturbative prediction of soft gluon emission in low qT
  - Perturbative QCD prediction for hard gluon radiation in the initial state in the high qT range
- Low PU data sample of pp collisions at 8 TeV in 2012, similar PU condition to 2010 analysis
- Similar methods used as DY dσ/dM
  - e-μ method, fake muon ratio from QCD
- Resolution & FSR Unfolding with inverse matrix technique
- Several Pythia tunes tested for the underlying events in the low qT

![](_page_18_Figure_9.jpeg)

19

#### CMS-PAS-SMP-12-025

#### W & Z production Summary

- Presented
  - The first release of W Muon Charge Asymmetry at 7 TeV with 4.7/fb full data 2011 with high precision results 0.2-0.4 % per bin
  - ➡ W Electron Charge Asymmetry at 7TeV with 840/pb partial data 2011
  - DY mass, mass and rapidity differential cross section at 7 TeV with 4.5(Mu), 4.8(Ele)/fb full 2011 data
  - ➡ W/Z cross section at 8 TeV with 19/pb
  - ➡ DY (muon) pT differential cross section at 8 TeV with 19/pb
- With high precision, in good agreement to theory prediction within error.
  - high precision results contribute to theory calculations
- We are planning to get better results at 8 TeV data with more data 20/fb taken in 2012, cf. 5/ fb in 2011

#### **Back-UP Slides**

# Measurement of the Electron Charge Asymmetry in Inclusive W Production in pp Collision at sqrt(s) = 7 TeV

### Analysis

- 840/pb partially collected in 2011
- Electron pT >35 GeV/c, 11 bin of |η|
   < 2.4</li>
- Electron with same charges with three different algorithm to suppress systematic from mi-charge measurement

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

- corrections on Hadronic Recoil, energy scale and resolution
- Signal Extraction by Ext. binned Max. Likelihood fit with templates floating N(QCD) and N(Sig +EWK)
- QCD template from Anti-Selection of Data
- Charge misid(w) measured in data from same-sign/opposite-sign Z boson yield
- Relative efficiency from Tag-and-Probe using Z sample

$$\mathcal{A}_R = rac{1}{1-2\omega}rac{\mathcal{A}_M\left(R+1
ight)-\left(R-1
ight)}{\left(R+1
ight)-\mathcal{A}_M\left(R-1
ight)} \simeq rac{1}{1-2\omega}\left(\mathcal{A}_M - rac{\left(R-1
ight)\left(1-\mathcal{A}_M^2
ight)}{2}
ight)$$

#### Results

- 11 bins result with factor 2 precision than previous 6 bins, error with stat.+syst
- PDF uncertainty using PDF reweighting technique corresponding 68% CL
- 4 PDFs interfaced to MCFM (NLO)
  - data are in good agreement with HeraPDF, CTEQ, and NNPDF while MSTW is systematically lower
- Very precise (4-5%) theory predictions
- Systematic errors from Efficiency ratio, Signal MET shape (CTEQ6.6), recoil corrections
- PU insensitive for using of data-driven MET templates

![](_page_23_Figure_8.jpeg)

$10^{-3}$	Signal	Energy	Charge	Efficiency		
10	Yield	Scale and Res.	MisId.	Ratio		
$0.0 <  \eta  < 0.2$	1.8	0.6	0.0	4.5		
$0.2 <  \eta  < 0.4$	2.5	0.6	0.0	4.4		
$0.4 <  \eta  < 0.6$	2.7	0.3	0.0	4.4		
$0.6 <  \eta  < 0.8$	2.5	0.3	0.0	4.4		
$0.8 <  \eta  < 1.0$	1.9	0.6	0.1	4.4		
$1.0 <  \eta  < 1.2$	2.4	1.0	0.1	4.9		
$1.2 <  \eta  < 1.4$	2.6	0.8	0.1	5.4		
$1.6 <  \eta  < 1.8$	3.1	0.8	0.1	9.2		
$1.8 <  \eta  < 2.0$	2.0	1.6	0.2	8.7		
$2.0 <  \eta  < 2.2$	2.0	2.6	0.3	10.0		
$2.2 <  \eta  < 2.4$	2.9	2.4	0.3	12.5		

#### Muon Charge Asymm. Syst. Err

#### • Units in Percent

$ \eta $ bin	0.0-0.2	0.2-0.4	0.4-0.6	0.6-0.8	0.8-1.0	1.0-1.2	1.2-1.4	1.4-1.6	1.6-1.85	1.85-2.1	2.1-2.4
$p_{\rm T}>25~{ m GeV}$											
Stat. err.	0.096	0.098	0.094	0.093	0.098	0.099	0.099	0.099	0.093	0.094	0.106
Eff.	0.111	0.133	0.121	0.122	0.170	0.175	0.170	0.168	0.165	0.175	0.268
QCD +/-	0.120	0.113	0.110	0.105	0.102	0.103	0.097	0.104	0.108	0.094	0.183
QCD shape	0.070	0.065	0.065	0.067	0.068	0.069	0.078	0.082	0.092	0.083	0.087
Muon scale	0.045	0.050	0.050	0.049	0.051	0.054	0.054	0.058	0.054	0.054	0.055
PDF	0.028	0.026	0.023	0.025	0.018	0.020	0.027	0.031	0.042	0.050	0.069
Drell-Yan bkg.	0.002	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.000	0.007	0.001	0.013	0.019	0.038	0.046
$\not\!$	0.011	0.009	0.033	0.012	0.029	0.034	0.044	0.045	0.055	0.049	0.038
Recoil	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.003
PU	0.017	0.013	0.011	0.005	0.014	0.025	0.022	0.031	0.019	0.028	0.000
Luminosity	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.009	0.012	0.017	0.024	0.033	0.040
tī bkg.	0.012	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.011	0.011	0.010	0.009	0.008	0.007	0.005
$W \rightarrow \tau \nu$ bkg.	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.024
$W q_T$	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.008	0.011	0.008	0.009	0.006	0.003	0.000
Tot. sys.	0.189	0.197	0.190	0.186	0.221	0.229	0.227	0.233	0.239	0.241	0.355
Tot. err.	0.212	0.220	0.212	0.208	0.242	0.249	0.248	0.253	0.256	0.259	0.371
					$p_{\rm T} > 35$ C	GeV					
Stat.err.	0.116	0.119	0.114	0.114	0.124	0.121	0.123	0.123	0.118	0.123	0.141
Eff.	0.120	0.138	0.116	0.107	0.159	0.164	0.171	0.176	0.186	0.194	0.325
QCD +/-	0.151	0.138	0.135	0.128	0.133	0.118	0.116	0.122	0.137	0.120	0.168
QCD shape	0.030	0.025	0.017	0.023	0.024	0.022	0.018	0.017	0.031	0.031	0.037
Muon scale	0.122	0.135	0.134	0.141	0.146	0.154	0.162	0.170	0.161	0.172	0.189
PDF	0.008	0.008	0.007	0.011	0.012	0.010	0.017	0.022	0.031	0.040	0.058
Drell-Yan bkg.	0.010	0.009	0.009	0.003	0.006	0.010	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.020	0.040
$\not\!\!\!E_T \Phi$ modul.	0.002	0.009	0.010	0.003	0.008	0.028	0.037	0.035	0.022	0.022	0.001
Recoil	0.005	0.006	0.005	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.008
PU	0.015	0.003	0.005	0.018	0.019	0.002	0.007	0.003	0.013	0.014	0.032
Luminosity	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.004	0.010	0.016	0.025	0.039
tī bkg.	0.011	0.013	0.012	0.011	0.011	0.010	0.010	0.009	0.007	0.006	0.005
$W \rightarrow \tau \nu$ bkg.	0.013	0.012	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.011	0.012	0.011	0.011	0.011
$W q_T$	0.004	0.002	0.004	0.004	0.007	0.005	0.006	0.009	0.009	0.001	0.014
Tot. sys.	0.232	0.240	0.225	0.221	0.257	0.258	0.267	0.277	0.287	0.294	0.423
Tot. err.	0.260	0.268	0.252	0.248	0.285	0.285	0.294	0.303	0.311	0.318	0.446

### DY 1/ $\sigma$ d $\sigma$ /dM Syst. Err

unit (%)	Low mass		Peak r	egion	High mass		
Luminosity	2.2						
	mu	ele	mu	ele	mu	ele	
Energy Scale corr.		<2.5		<19		3-8	
Backgrounds	<4	<7	0.1	0.1	15	33	
Unfolding	<0.5	2-3	3	<13	0.5-3	3-6	
Efficiency scale	2	2	<1.5	<1	2	1.5	
FSR correction	0.5	<3	1.5	2-6	0.5	10-15	
Modeling(MC NNLO rew.)	10		<0.5		2-3		
PDF	2.5-3	2-3	2-2.5	2	0.5-1.5	0.5-3	

### DY 1/ $\sigma$ d<sup>2</sup> $\sigma$ /dMdY Systematic Err

- Backgrounds
  - ➡ 4-5% off the Z-peak, increasing up to 15% in the last mass bin
- Momentum scale correction and unfolding
  - ➡ within 1%
- Efficiency scale factors
  - ➡ 1.5-3%, up to 10% in the first mass bin
- FSR correction
  - → within 1%, 2-6% in the FSR region growing at high rapidity

#### W/Z cross section Uncertainties

- Theory Uncertainty
  - determined as function of W boson pT, following the strength of the strong coupling: Nonperturbative, Resummed, Perturbative
- Affect of higher order perturbative terms
  - Calculate FEWZ (NNLO) Acceptance
- Affect of NNLO+higher order resummed terms
  - Compare Acc. difference for Resbos vs. Powheg
  - Resbos is an NNLO+NNNLL calculation
- PDF uncertainties comparing NNPDF/MSTW/CTEQ: dominant theoretical uncertainty
- FSR uncertainties+O(α) EWK uncertainties
  - Compare Horace w/ and w/o O( $\alpha$ ) EWK corrections
  - Compare Horace FSR w/ Pythia FSR

Source	W <sup>+</sup>	W <sup>-</sup>	W	$W^{+}/W^{-}$	Ζ	W/Z
			<b>0 1</b> 0/	,	• • • • •	
Lepton reconstruction & identification	2.8%	2.5%	2.5%	3.8%	2.8%	3.8%
Momentum scale & resolution	0.4%	0.7%	0.5%	0.3%	-	0.5%
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ scale & resolution	0.8%	0.7%	0.8%	0.3%	-	0.8%
Background subtraction / modeling	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.4%	0.5%
Total experimental	3.0%	2.7%	2.7%	3.8%	2.8%	3.9%
Theoretical uncertainty	2.1%	2.6%	2.7%	1.5%	2.6%	2.0%
Lumi	4.4%	4.4%	4.4%	-	4.4%	-
Total	5.7%	5.8%	5.8%	4.1%	5.8%	4.4%

Courses	TA7+	TA7-	TAT	TA7+ / TA7-	7	147/7
Source		VV				VV/Z
Lepton reconstruction & identification	1.0%	0.9%	1.0%	1.2%	1.1%	1.5%
Momentum scale & resolution	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	-	0.3%
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ scale & resolution	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.1%	-	0.5%
Background subtraction / modeling	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.4%	0.4%
Total experimental	1.2%	1.1%	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%	1.7%
Theoretical uncertainty	2.0%	2.5%	2.2%	1.4%	1.9%	2.5%
Lumi	4.4%	4.4%	4.4%	-	4.4%	-
Total	5.0%	5.2%	5.1%	1.8%	4.9%	3.0%

#### DY pT differential xsection Error

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)