

Meson vacuum phenomenology: which scalar mesons are members of the meson nonet?

Péter Kovács (Wigner RCP)

Zimányi School12, December 3 - 7, Budapest

In collaboration with Gy. Wolf (Wigner RCP),
F. Giacosa, D. Parganlija, D. Rischke (Uni. Frankfurt)

- Motivation, scalar mesons
- QCDs chiral symmetry, effective models
- Axial(vector) meson extended linear σ -model
- Technical difficulty: particle mixing
- Tree-level masses, Decay widths
- Parametrization
- Particle identification
- Conclusions

Scalar mesons

	Mass (MeV)	width (MeV)	decays
$a_0(980)$	(980 ± 20)	50 – 100	$\pi\pi$ dominant
$a_0(1450)$	(1474 ± 19)	(265 ± 13)	$\pi\eta, \pi\eta', K\bar{K}$
$K_0^*(800) = \kappa$	(676 ± 40)	(548 ± 24)	$K\pi$
$K_0^*(1430)$	(1425 ± 50)	(270 ± 80)	$K\pi$ dominant
$f_0(600) = \sigma$	400 – 1200	600 – 1000	$\pi\pi$ dominant
$f_0(980)$	(980 ± 10)	40 – 100	$\pi\pi$ dominant
$f_0(1370)$	1200 – 1500	200 – 500	$\pi\pi \approx 250, K\bar{K} \approx 150$
$f_0(1500)$	(1505 ± 6)	(109 ± 7)	$\pi\pi \approx 38, K\bar{K} \approx 9.4$
$f_0(1710)$	(1720 ± 6)	(135 ± 8)	$\pi\pi \approx 30, K\bar{K} \approx 71$

scalar nonet: $a_0, K_0, 2 f_0 \rightarrow$ pseudoscalar nonet: π, K, η, η'

Possible scalar states: $\bar{q}q, \bar{q}q\bar{q}q$, meson-meson molecules, glueballs

multiquark states: $f_0(980), a_0(980), f_0(600), K_0^*(800)$???

meson-meson bound state ($K\bar{K}$): $f_0(980)$???

glueballs: $f_0(1500), f_0(1710)$???

Chiral symmetry

If the quark masses are zero (chiral limit) \implies QCD invariant under the following global transformation (**chiral symmetry**):

$$U(3)_L \times U(3)_R \simeq U(3)_V \times U(3)_A = SU(3)_V \times SU(3)_A \times U(1)_V \times U(1)_A$$

$U(1)_V$ term \longrightarrow baryon number conservation

$U(1)_A$ term \longrightarrow broken through axial anomaly

$SU(3)_A$ term \longrightarrow broken down by any quark mass

$SU(3)_V$ term \longrightarrow broken down to $SU(2)_V$ if $m_u = m_d \neq m_s$ (**isospin symmetry**)
 \longrightarrow totally broken if $m_u \neq m_d \neq m_s$ (**realized in nature**)

Since QCD is very hard to solve \longrightarrow **low energy effective models** can be set up
 \longrightarrow **reflecting the global symmetries of QCD** \longrightarrow **degrees of freedom:**
observable particles instead of quarks and gluons

Linear realization of the symmetry \longrightarrow linear sigma model
(nonlinear representation \longrightarrow chiral perturbation theory (ChPT))

Vector meson extended linear sigma model

(based on: chiral symmetry + dilatation symmetry)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{vec}} = & \text{Tr}[(D_\mu \Phi)^\dagger (D_\mu \Phi)] - m_0^2 \text{Tr}(\Phi^\dagger \Phi) - \lambda_1 [\text{Tr}(\Phi^\dagger \Phi)]^2 - \lambda_2 \text{Tr}(\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}(L_{\mu\nu}^2 + R_{\mu\nu}^2) + \text{Tr} \left[\left(\frac{m_1^2}{2} + \Delta \right) (L_\mu^2 + R_\mu^2) \right] + \text{Tr}[\hat{\epsilon}(\Phi + \Phi^\dagger)] \\ & + c_1 (\det \Phi - \det \Phi^\dagger)^2 + i \frac{g_2}{2} (\text{Tr}\{L_{\mu\nu}[L^\mu, L^\nu]\} + \text{Tr}\{R_{\mu\nu}[R^\mu, R^\nu]\}) \\ & + \frac{h_1}{2} \text{Tr}(\Phi^\dagger \Phi) \text{Tr}(L_\mu^2 + R_\mu^2) + h_2 \text{Tr}[(L_\mu \Phi)^2 + (\Phi R_\mu)^2] + 2h_3 \text{Tr}(L_\mu \Phi R^\mu \Phi^\dagger). \\ & + g_3 [\text{Tr}(L_\mu L_\nu L^\mu L^\nu) + \text{Tr}(R_\mu R_\nu R^\mu R^\nu)] + g_4 [\text{Tr}(L_\mu L^\mu L_\nu L^\nu) + \text{Tr}(R_\mu R^\mu R_\nu R^\nu)] \\ & + g_5 \text{Tr}(L_\mu L^\mu) \text{Tr}(R_\nu R^\nu) + g_6 [\text{Tr}(L_\mu L^\mu) \text{Tr}(L_\nu L^\nu) + \text{Tr}(R_\mu R^\mu) \text{Tr}(R_\nu R^\nu)],\end{aligned}$$

where

$$D^\mu \Phi = \partial^\mu \Phi - ig_1 (L^\mu \Phi - \Phi R^\mu) - ieA^\mu [T_3, \Phi]$$

$$\Phi = \sum_{i=0}^8 (\sigma_i + i\pi_i) T_i$$

$$R^\mu = \sum_{i=0}^8 (\rho_i^\mu - b_i^\mu) T_i$$

$$L^\mu = \sum_{i=0}^8 (\rho_i^\mu + b_i^\mu) T_i$$

$$L^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu L^\nu - ieA^\mu [T_3, L^\nu] - \{\partial^\nu L^\mu - ieA^\nu [T_3, L^\mu]\}$$

$$R^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu R^\nu - ieA^\mu [T_3, R^\nu] - \{\partial^\nu R^\mu - ieA^\nu [T_3, R^\mu]\}$$

$$\hat{\varepsilon} = \sum_{i=0}^8 \varepsilon_i T_i \quad U(3) \text{ generators: } T_0 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \mathbf{1}, T_i = \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \quad i = 1 \dots 8$$

determinant breaks $U_A(1)$ symmetry

explicit symmetry breaking: external fields $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_8 \neq 0 \iff m_u = m_d \neq 0, m_s \neq 0$ or

$\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_8 \neq 0 \iff m_u \neq m_d \neq 0, m_s \neq 0$

non strange – strange base:

$$\varphi_N = \sqrt{2/3} \varphi_0 + \sqrt{1/3} \varphi_8,$$

$$\varphi_S = \sqrt{1/3} \varphi_0 - \sqrt{2/3} \varphi_8, \quad \varphi \in (\sigma, \pi, \varepsilon)$$

broken symmetry: non-zero condensates $\langle \sigma_N \rangle, \langle \sigma_S \rangle \longleftrightarrow \phi_N, \phi_S$

Pseudoscalar and Scalar Meson nonets

$$\Phi_{PS} = \sum_{i=0}^8 \pi_i T_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\eta_{N+\pi^0}}{\sqrt{2}} & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & \frac{\eta_{N-\pi^0}}{\sqrt{2}} & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & \eta_S \end{pmatrix} (\sim \bar{q}_i \gamma_5 q_j)$$

$$\Phi_S = \sum_{i=0}^8 \sigma_i T_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sigma_{N+a_0^0}}{\sqrt{2}} & a_0^+ & K_S^+ \\ a_0^- & \frac{\sigma_{N-a_0^0}}{\sqrt{2}} & K_S^0 \\ K_S^- & \bar{K}_S^0 & \sigma_S \end{pmatrix} (\sim \bar{q}_i q_j)$$

Particle contents:

Pseudoscalars: $\pi(138)$, $K(495)$, $\eta(548)$, $\eta'(958)$

Scalars: $a_0(980 \text{ or } 1450)$, $K_0^*(800 \text{ or } 1430)$,

$(\sigma_N, \sigma_S) : 2 \text{ of } f_0(600, 980, 1370, 1500, 1710)$

Vector Meson nonets

$$V^\mu = \sum_{i=0}^8 \rho_i^\mu T_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\omega_{N+\rho^0}}{\sqrt{2}} & \rho^+ & K^{*+} \\ \rho^- & \frac{\omega_{N-\rho^0}}{\sqrt{2}} & K^{*0} \\ K^{*-} & \bar{K}^{*0} & \omega_S \end{pmatrix}^\mu$$

$$A_V^\mu = \sum_{i=0}^8 b_i^\mu T_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{f_{1N+a_1^0}}{\sqrt{2}} & a_1^+ & K_1^+ \\ a_1^- & \frac{f_{1N-a_1^0}}{\sqrt{2}} & K_1^0 \\ K_1^- & \bar{K}_1^0 & f_{1S} \end{pmatrix}^\mu$$

Particle contents:

Vector mesons: $\rho(770)$, $K^*(894)$, $\omega_N = \omega(782)$, $\omega_S = \phi(1020)$

Axial vectors: $a_1(1230)$, $K_1(1270)$, $f_{1N}(1280)$, $f_{1S}(1426)$

Characteristics of the model

Parameters of the Lagrangian at $T = 0$:

$$m_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, c_1, m_1, g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2, h_3, \delta_N, \delta_S, \Phi_N, \Phi_S$$

→ choose $\delta_N = 0$ → 13 unknown parameters

particles (mesons up to ~ 2 GeV):

- pseudoscalars: $\pi(138), K(495), \eta(548), \eta'(958)$
- vectormesons: $\rho(770), K^*(894), \omega(782), \Phi(1020)$
- axialvector-mesons: $a_1(1230), K_1(1270), f_1(1280), f_1(1426)$
- scalars: more physical states than we can describe:
 - 2 a_0 's ($a_0(980), a_0(1450)$), 2 K_S 's ($K_0^*(800), K_0^*(1430)$),
 - 5 f_0 's ($f_0(600), f_0(980), f_0(1370), f_0(1500), f_0(1710)$)

technical difficulty: mixing in the $N - S$ sector

Spontaneous symmetry breaking and particle mixing

SSB \longrightarrow through Higgs mechanism generates particle masses \longrightarrow since vacuum has zero quantum numbers \longrightarrow only $\sigma_0, \sigma_8, \sigma_3$ (equivalently $\sigma_N, \sigma_S, \sigma_3$) can have non-zero vev ($\sigma_3 \longrightarrow$ isospin violation \longrightarrow neglected)

note: pion/kaon condensates \longrightarrow even other σ 's have non-zero expectation values (\longrightarrow parity, charge violation)

shifting with vev in the Lagrangian: $\sigma_i \rightarrow \sigma_i + \phi_i$ (\longrightarrow mass generation)

- For (pseudo)scalars this shifting results in particle mixing in the $N - S$ sector \longrightarrow $\sigma_N/\pi_N, \sigma_S/\pi_S$ fields are not mass eigenstates \longrightarrow orthogonal transformations needed to resolve
- For (axial)vectors \longrightarrow mixing between different nonets \longrightarrow resolved by certain field shiftings

Mixing in the extended model

Making the $\sigma_{N/S} \rightarrow \sigma_{N/S} + \phi_{N/S}$ transformation in \mathcal{L}_{vec}

Quadratic terms after shifting:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}^{quad} = & -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_a(\partial^2\delta_{ab} + (m_\sigma^2)_{ab})\sigma_b - \frac{1}{2}\pi_a(\partial^2\delta_{ab} + (m_\pi^2)_{ab})\pi_b \\
 & -\frac{1}{2}\rho_a^\mu [(-g_{\mu\nu}\partial^2 + \partial_\mu\partial_\nu)\delta_{ab} - g_{\mu\nu}(m_\rho^2)_{ab}] \rho_b^\nu \\
 & -\frac{1}{2}b_a^\mu [(-g_{\mu\nu}\partial^2 + \partial_\mu\partial_\nu)\delta_{ab} - g_{\mu\nu}(m_b^2)_{ab}] b_b^\nu \\
 & -\frac{1}{2}\rho_a^\mu (g_1 f_{abc} v_c \partial_\mu) \sigma_b - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_a (g_1 f_{abc} v_c \partial_\mu) \rho_b^\mu \\
 & -\frac{1}{2}b_a^\mu (g_1 d_{abc} v_c \partial_\mu) \pi_b + \frac{1}{2}\pi_a (g_1 d_{abc} v_c \partial_\mu) b_b^\mu
 \end{aligned}$$

Mixing in the $N - S$ sector for σ and $\pi \longrightarrow (m_\sigma^2)_{NS} \neq 0, (m_\pi^2)_{NS} \neq 0$

resolved by simple 2 dim. orthogonal transformations

Mixing between nonets $\longrightarrow \rho_a^\mu \leftrightarrow \sigma$ and $b_a^\mu \leftrightarrow \pi$

take a closer look \longrightarrow

Explicit form of nonet mixing crossterms:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -g_1\phi_N(f_{1N}^\mu\partial_\mu\eta_N + \vec{a}_1^\mu \cdot \partial_\mu\vec{\pi}) - \sqrt{2}g_1\phi_S f_{1S}^\mu\partial_\mu\eta_S - \left(\frac{g_1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_S + \frac{g_1}{2}\phi_N\right) \left(K_1^{\mu 0}\partial_\mu\bar{K}^0\right. \\
& \left.+ K_1^{\mu+}\partial_\mu K^- + \text{h.c.}\right) + \left(i\frac{g_1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_S - i\frac{g_1}{2}\phi_N\right) \left(\bar{K}^{*\mu 0}\partial_\mu K_S^0 + K^{*\mu-}\partial_\mu K_S^+\right) \\
& + \left(-i\frac{g_1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_S + i\frac{g_1}{2}\phi_N\right) \left(K^{*\mu 0}\partial_\mu\bar{K}_S^0 + K^{*\mu+}\partial_\mu K_S^-\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Resolved by the following field shifts:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{1N/S}^\mu &\longrightarrow f_{1N/S}^\mu + w_{f_{1N/S}}\partial^\mu\eta_{N/S}, \\
a_1^{\mu+,0} &\longrightarrow a_1^{\mu+,0} + w_{a_1}\partial^\mu\pi^{+,0}, (+\text{h.c.}) \\
K_1^{\mu+,0} &\longrightarrow K_1^{\mu+,0} + w_{K_1}\partial^\mu K^{+,0}, (+\text{h.c.}) \\
K^{*\mu+,0} &\longrightarrow K^{*\mu+,0} + w_{K^*}\partial^\mu K_S^{+,0} (+\text{h.c.})
\end{aligned}$$

Vanishing of the crossterms \longrightarrow determination of the w_i 's

After these shifts, π , η_N , η_S , K , and K_S are not canonically normalized \longrightarrow **field renormalization** \longrightarrow renormalization factors: $Z_\pi, Z_{\eta_N}, Z_{\eta_S}, Z_K, Z_{K_S}$

Tree-level masses

Pseudoscalar mass squares:

$$m_{\pi}^2 = Z_{\pi}^2 \left[m_0^2 + \Lambda_N \Phi_N^2 + \lambda_1 \Phi_S^2 \right]$$

$$m_K^2 = Z_K^2 \left[m_0^2 + \Lambda_N \Phi_N^2 - \frac{\lambda_2}{\sqrt{2}} \Phi_N \Phi_S + \Lambda_S \Phi_S^2 \right]$$

$$m_{\eta_N}^2 = Z_{\pi}^2 \left[m_0^2 + \Lambda_N \Phi_N^2 + \lambda_1 \Phi_S^2 + c_1 \Phi_N^2 \Phi_S^2 \right]$$

$$m_{\eta_S}^2 = Z_{\eta_S}^2 \left[m_0^2 + \lambda_1 \Phi_N^2 + \Lambda_s \Phi_S^2 + \frac{c_1}{4} \Phi_N^4 \right]$$

$$m_{\eta_{NS}}^2 = Z_{\pi} Z_{\pi_S} \frac{c_1}{2} \Phi_N^3 \Phi_S$$

Scalar mass squares:

$$m_{a_0}^2 = m_0^2 + \Lambda'_N \Phi_N^2 + \lambda_1 \Phi_S^2$$

$$m_{K_S}^2 = Z_{K_S}^2 \left[m_0^2 + \Lambda_N \Phi_N^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{\sqrt{2}} \Phi_N \Phi_S + \Lambda_S \Phi_S^2 \right]$$

$$m_{\sigma_N}^2 = m_0^2 + 3\Lambda_N \Phi_N^2 + \lambda_1 \Phi_S^2$$

$$m_{\sigma_S}^2 = m_0^2 + \lambda_1 \Phi_N^2 + 3\Lambda_s \Phi_S^2$$

$$m_{\sigma_{NS}}^2 = 2\lambda_1 \Phi_N \Phi_S$$

Mass square eigenvalues for σ and π in the $N - S$ sector

$$m_{f_0^H/f_0^L}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[m_{\sigma_N}^2 + m_{\sigma_S}^2 \pm \sqrt{(m_{\sigma_N}^2 - m_{\sigma_S}^2)^2 + 4m_{\sigma_{NS}}^2} \right]$$

$$m_{\eta'/\eta}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[m_{\eta_N}^2 + m_{\eta_S}^2 \pm \sqrt{(m_{\eta_N}^2 - m_{\eta_S}^2)^2 + 4m_{\eta_{NS}}^2} \right]$$

Vector mass squares:

$$m_{\rho}^2 = m_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}(h_1 + h_2 + h_3)\Phi_N^2 + \frac{h_1}{2}\Phi_S^2 + 2\delta_N$$

$$m_{K^*}^2 = m_1^2 + H_N\Phi_N^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Phi_N\Phi_S(h_3 - g_1^2) + H_S\Phi_S^2 + \delta_N + \delta_S$$

$$m_{\omega_N}^2 = m_{\rho}^2$$

$$m_{\omega_S}^2 = m_1^2 + \frac{h_1}{2}\Phi_N^2 + \left(\frac{h_1}{2} + h_2 + h_3 \right) \Phi_S^2 + 2\delta_S$$

Axialvector meson mass squares:

$$m_{a_1}^2 = m_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}(2g_1^2 + h_1 + h_2 - h_3)\Phi_N^2 + \frac{h_1}{2}\Phi_S^2 + 2\delta_N$$

$$m_{K_1}^2 = m_1^2 + H_N\Phi_N^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Phi_N\Phi_S(h_3 - g_1^2) + H_S\Phi_S^2 + \delta_N + \delta_S$$

$$m_{f_{1N}}^2 = m_{a_1}^2$$

$$m_{f_{1S}}^2 = m_1^2 + \frac{h_1}{2}\Phi_N^2 + \left(2g_1^2 + \frac{h_1}{2} + h_2 - h_3 \right) \Phi_S^2 + 2\delta_S$$

Decay widths

For a $A \rightarrow BC$ decay process the decay width is:

$$\Gamma_{A \rightarrow BC} = \frac{k}{8\pi m_A^2} |\mathcal{M}_{A \rightarrow BC}|^2$$

k \longrightarrow three momentum of the produced particles in the restframe of A

$\mathcal{M}_{A \rightarrow BC}$ \longrightarrow transition matrix element

If A is a vector particle and $C = B^\dagger \implies$

$$|\mathcal{M}_{A \rightarrow BB^\dagger}|^2 = \frac{4}{3} k^2 V_\mu V^{\mu*}$$

V_μ \longrightarrow vertex function directly followed from the three-coupling terms of \mathcal{L}

If A is a vector particle, B scalar and $C = \gamma$ a photon \implies

$$|\mathcal{M}_{A \rightarrow B\gamma}|^2 = \frac{1}{3} \left(g^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{k_A^\alpha k_A^\beta}{m_A^2} \right) V_{\alpha\alpha'} V_{\beta}^{*\alpha'}$$

Some decay widths in the extended model

The $\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi$ decay width:

$$\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi} = \frac{m_\rho^5}{48\pi m_{a_1}^4} \left[1 - \left(\frac{2m_\pi}{m_\rho} \right)^2 \right]^{3/2} \left[g_1 Z_\pi^2 - \frac{g_2}{2} (Z_\pi^2 - 1) \right]^2$$

The experimental value from the PDG: $\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi}^{(\text{exp})} = (149.1 \pm 0.8) \text{ MeV}$

The $a_1 \rightarrow \pi\gamma$ decay width:

$$\Gamma_{a_1 \rightarrow \pi\gamma} = \frac{e^2 g_1^2 \Phi_N^2}{96\pi m_{a_1}} Z_\pi^2 \left[1 - \left(\frac{m_\pi}{m_{a_1}} \right)^2 \right]^3$$

The experimental value: $\Gamma_{a_1 \rightarrow \pi\gamma}^{(\text{exp})} = (0.640 \pm 0.246) \text{ MeV}$

Parametrization: general considerations

In order to make predictions \longrightarrow **unknown constants** of the model **must be determined**

\implies **choose** a set of (well known) **physical quantities/conditions** for fitting procedure

For instance:

- **P**artially **C**onserved **A**xial **C**urrent \longrightarrow fix the condensates (2 parameter)
- Particle masses (which can be compared with PDG ([K. Nakamura et al., J. Phys. G 37, 075021 \(2010\)](#)))
- Decay widths (which can be compared with PDG)

Finding a good parameter set \longrightarrow **non-trivial task** (usually there are lots of solutions, but non of them is perfect)

Parametrization in the extended model

13 unknown parameters \longrightarrow Determined by the **minimalization of the χ^2** :

$$\chi^2(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{i=1}^M \left[\frac{Q_i(x_1, \dots, x_N) - Q_i^{\text{exp}}}{\delta Q_i} \right]^2,$$

where $(x_1, \dots, x_N) = (m_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$, $Q_i(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ calculated from the model, while $Q_i^{\text{exp}} \pm \delta Q_i$ taken from the PDG

multiparametric minimalization \longrightarrow **MINUIT**

- PCAC \rightarrow 2 physical quantities: f_π, f_K
- Tree-level masses \rightarrow 14 physical quantities:
 $m_\pi, m_\eta, m_{\eta'}, m_K, m_\rho, m_\Phi, m_{K^*}, m_{a_1}, m_{f_1^H}, m_{K_1}, m_{a_0}, m_{K_S}, m_{f_0^L}, m_{f_0^H}$
- Decay widths \rightarrow 12 physical quantities:
 $\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi}, \Gamma_{\Phi \rightarrow KK}, \Gamma_{K^* \rightarrow K\pi}, \Gamma_{a_1 \rightarrow \pi\gamma}, \Gamma_{a_1 \rightarrow \rho\pi}, \Gamma_{f_1 \rightarrow KK^*}, \Gamma_{a_0}, \Gamma_{K_S \rightarrow K\pi},$
 $\Gamma_{f_0^L \rightarrow \pi\pi}, \Gamma_{f_0^L \rightarrow KK}, \Gamma_{f_0^H \rightarrow \pi\pi}, \Gamma_{f_0^H \rightarrow KK}$

The question: which a_0, K_0^* and f_0 s belong to the scalar nonet?

Particle identification, results

In the first step f_0 mesons were left out \rightarrow their properties are very uncertain (Different analyses give different results)

First run \rightarrow which pairs of a_0, K_0^* give acceptable fits

Then we continue by studying which pair of f_0 's can be described better

13 parameters to fit 28 measured quantities

For η we have never found a very good fit (\rightarrow due to: very small error of m_η + functional connection to m_K)

The best solution is:

$a_0(1450), K_0^*(1430)$ and $f_0(1370), f_0(1710)$

$f_0(600)$ and $f_0(980)$ might be tetraquarks

$(f_0(1370), f_0(1500), f_0(1710))$: mixing of glueball and the 2 states above

$\chi^2 = 49.09 \rightarrow$ best solution

$K_S \rightarrow K_0^*(1430)$

$a_0 \rightarrow$ just between the two a_0 's

$f_0^L \rightarrow f_0(600)$

$f_0^H \rightarrow f_0(1370)$

Qty	PDG [GeV]	Fit [GeV]	χ^2
f_π	0.0922 ± 0.0009	0.0918	0.1910
f_K	0.1100 ± 0.0011	0.1106	0.2920
m_π	0.1380 ± 0.0026	0.1385	0.0357
m_η	0.5479 ± 0.0055	0.5298	10.9518
$m_{\eta'}$	0.9578 ± 0.0096	0.9674	1.0175
m_K	0.4956 ± 0.0050	0.5056	4.0506
m_ρ	0.7755 ± 0.0078	0.7708	0.3690
m_Φ	1.0195 ± 0.0102	1.0134	0.3525
m_{K^*}	0.8938 ± 0.0089	0.9019	0.8195
m_{a_1}	1.2300 ± 0.0400	1.1636	2.7543
$m_{f_1^H}$	1.4264 ± 0.0143	1.4088	1.5242
m_{K_1}	1.2720 ± 0.0127	1.2909	2.2136
m_{a_0}	1.4740 ± 0.0737	1.2007	13.7494
m_{K_S}	1.4250 ± 0.0713	1.3128	2.4806
$m_{f_0^L}$	0.6000 ± 0.2000	0.8940	2.1612
$m_{f_0^H}$	1.3700 ± 0.1500	1.3642	0.0015
$\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi}$	0.149100 ± 0.007455	0.156776	1.060158
$\Gamma_{\Phi \rightarrow KK}$	0.001770 ± 0.000089	0.001684	0.944766
$\Gamma_{K^* \rightarrow K\pi}$	0.046200 ± 0.002310	0.045459	0.103017
$\Gamma_{a_1 \rightarrow \pi\gamma}$	0.000640 ± 0.000250	0.000605	0.019423
$\Gamma_{a_1 \rightarrow \rho\pi}$	0.425000 ± 0.175000	0.551275	0.520668
$\Gamma_{f_1 \rightarrow KK^*}$	0.043900 ± 0.002195	0.043896	0.000003
Γ_{a_0}	0.265000 ± 0.013250	0.267903	0.047995
$\Gamma_{K_S \rightarrow K\pi}$	0.270000 ± 0.080000	0.348810	0.970466
$\Gamma_{f_0^L \rightarrow \pi\pi}$	0.800000 ± 0.200000	0.451370	3.038596
$\Gamma_{f_0^L \rightarrow KK}$	0.000000 ± 0.100000	0.000000	0.000000
$\Gamma_{f_0^H \rightarrow \pi\pi}$	0.250000 ± 0.100000	0.278108	0.079004
$\Gamma_{f_0^H \rightarrow KK}$	0.150000 ± 0.100000	0.258269	1.172223

$\chi^2 = 59.66 \rightarrow$ second best solution

$K_S \rightarrow K_0^*(1430)$

$a_0 \rightarrow a_0(1450)$

$f_0^L \rightarrow f_0(1370)$

$f_0^H \rightarrow f_0(1710)$

In this solution there are no identification problems \rightarrow physically the best solution

Qty	PDG [GeV]	Fit [GeV]	χ^2
f_π	0.0922 ± 0.0009	0.0925	0.1173
f_K	0.1100 ± 0.0011	0.1096	0.1103
m_π	0.1380 ± 0.0026	0.1390	0.1432
m_η	0.5479 ± 0.0055	0.5265	15.2159
$m_{\eta'}$	0.9578 ± 0.0096	0.9677	1.0668
m_K	0.4956 ± 0.0050	0.5039	2.8260
m_ρ	0.7755 ± 0.0078	0.7672	1.1528
m_Φ	1.0195 ± 0.0102	1.0140	0.2880
m_{K^*}	0.8938 ± 0.0089	0.8999	0.4698
m_{a_1}	1.2300 ± 0.0400	1.1789	1.6338
$m_{f_1^H}$	1.4264 ± 0.0143	1.4051	2.2211
m_{K_1}	1.2720 ± 0.0127	1.2964	3.6703
m_{a_0}	1.4740 ± 0.0737	1.4417	0.1920
m_{K_S}	1.4250 ± 0.0713	1.5365	2.4511
$m_{f_0^L}$	1.3700 ± 0.1500	1.2141	1.0802
$m_{f_0^H}$	1.7200 ± 0.0860	1.5841	2.4960
$\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi}$	0.149100 ± 0.007455	0.166519	5.459288
$\Gamma_{\Phi \rightarrow KK}$	0.001770 ± 0.000089	0.001544	6.518627
$\Gamma_{K^* \rightarrow K\pi}$	0.046200 ± 0.002310	0.044303	0.674290
$\Gamma_{a_1 \rightarrow \pi\gamma}$	0.000640 ± 0.000250	0.000650	0.001727
$\Gamma_{a_1 \rightarrow \rho\pi}$	0.425000 ± 0.175000	0.736729	3.173052
$\Gamma_{f_1 \rightarrow KK^*}$	0.043900 ± 0.002195	0.043789	0.002548
Γ_{a_0}	0.265000 ± 0.013250	0.253140	0.801181
$\Gamma_{K_S \rightarrow K\pi}$	0.270000 ± 0.080000	0.350839	1.021096
$\Gamma_{f_0^L \rightarrow \pi\pi}$	0.250000 ± 0.100000	0.122365	1.629078
$\Gamma_{f_0^L \rightarrow KK}$	0.150000 ± 0.100000	0.125730	0.058903
$\Gamma_{f_0^H \rightarrow \pi\pi}$	0.029700 ± 0.006500	0.031280	0.059121
$\Gamma_{f_0^H \rightarrow KK}$	0.071400 ± 0.029100	0.141566	5.813976

Conclusions and outlook

- With multiparametric χ^2 minimalization, the meson assignment to a $q\bar{q}$ state can be constrained
- According to the model the $a_0(q\bar{q})$ must be assigned to $a_0(1450)$, while the $K_S(q\bar{q})$ to $K_0^*(1430)$
- It seems that most probably the two f_0 's are both above 1 GeV, namely they should be assigned to $f_0(1370)$ and $f_0(1710)$
- In the case when one of the f_0 is below 1 GeV, the only possibility is $f_0^L = f_0(600)$. However in this case the assignment of a_0 becomes problematic.

details in: [arXiv:1208.0585](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.0585)