## General Gauge Mediation

David Shih Strings `08

#### Based on:

Meade, Seiberg & DS, 0801.3278 Buican, Meade, Seiberg & DS, 0808.zzzz





- Viable spectrum
- Calculability
- Distinctive phenomenology

#### Motivation

- What are the most general predictions/ parameters of gauge mediation?
- Specially important question in the LHC era.
- To date many models of gauge mediation have been constructed.
- However, it has not been clear up to now which features of these models are general and which are specific.

# Ordinary gauge mediation

(Dine, Nelson, Nir, Shirman, ...

Spurion for hidden sector SUSY:

 $\langle X \rangle = M + \theta^2 F$ 

Messengers  $\phi$  in real representation of  $G_{SM}$  receive tree-level SUSY mass splittings.

 $W = \lambda X \phi^2$ 

Solution Loops of the messengers and SM gauge fields communicate SUST to the MSSM.



#### Predictions of (O)GM

- No FCNCs
- ⊘ Small A terms
- Gaugino unification
- Sfermion mass hierarchy
- Bino or slepton NLSP
   SP
   SP
- Positive sfermion masses

Always true. Follows from gener considerations.

True only in certain models

#### Beyond OGM

 $W = \lambda_{ij} X \phi_i \tilde{\phi}_j + m_{ij} \phi_i \tilde{\phi}_j + f X$  $\phi_i \in \mathbf{5}, \quad \tilde{\phi}_i \in \mathbf{\overline{5}}$ 

Large class of simple, renormalizable extensions of OGM.

(Cheung, Fitzpatrick, DS)

 By including doublet/triplet splitting in the messenger couplings, can already violate some of the standard predictions.

#### Beyond OGM

- Gravitino LSP
- Small A terms
- Sum rules

Ø ....

- · Gaugine unification
- @ Sfermion mass hierarchy
- @ Bine or slepton NLSP
- Positive sfermion masses

True only in certain models



## Hidden sector at g=0

- Start by analyzing the hidden sector at g=0.
   Assume for simplicity G=U(1).
- Global currents and their correlators are natural objects to study.
- Try to understand general properties of the theory before we know the underlying Lagrangian.

#### Current Supermultiplet

 Current sits in a real linear supermultiplet defined by:

 $\overline{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{J}(x, \theta, \overline{\theta}), \qquad D^2 \mathcal{J} = \overline{D}^2 \mathcal{J} = 0$ 

In components:

SUSY generalization of

 $\mathcal{J} = (J) + i \theta j - i \theta \bar{j} - \theta \sigma^{\mu} \bar{\theta} j_{\mu}$  $+\frac{1}{2}\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}j-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}\bar{\bar{\theta}}\bar{\bar{\theta}}\theta\sigma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\bar{j}-\frac{1}{4}\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\Box J$ 

ordinary U(1) current, satisfies

#### Current superfield

 $\mathcal{J} = J + i\theta j - i\bar{\theta}\bar{j} - \theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\theta}j_{\mu} + \dots$ 

Nonzero two-point functions constrained by Lorentz invariance, current conservation:

 $\langle J(x)J(0)\rangle = x^{-4}C_0(x^2M^2)$   $\langle j_{\alpha}(x)\bar{j}_{\dot{\alpha}}(0)\rangle = -i\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\mu} \left(x^{-4}C_{1/2}(x^2M^2)\right)$   $\langle j_{\mu}(x)j_{\nu}(0)\rangle = (\eta_{\mu\nu}\partial^2 - \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}) \left(x^{-4}C_1(x^2M^2)\right)$   $\langle j_{\alpha}(x)j_{\beta}(0)\rangle = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}x^{-5}B(x^2M^2)$ 

Complex

(Remember: M = scale of SUST in hidden sector)

## SUSY limit

If SUSY is unbroken, can show:

$$C_0(x) = C_{1/2}(x) = C_1(x), \qquad B(x) = 0$$

More generally, SUSY broken spontaneously, so at short distance must be restored:

 $\lim_{x \to 0} C_0(x), \ C_{1/2}(x), \ C_1(x) = c ; \quad \lim_{x \to 0} B(x) = 0$ 

@ FT to momentum space is log divergent:

 $\tilde{C}_0(p), \ \tilde{C}_{1/2}(p), \ \tilde{C}_1(p) \sim c \log \frac{\Lambda}{p} + finite \ ; \quad \tilde{B}(p) \sim finite$ 

## Coupling to visible sector

Weakly gauge G=U(1)

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = 2g \int d^4 \theta \mathcal{J} \mathcal{V} + \dots = g(JD - \lambda j - \bar{\lambda}\bar{j} - j^{\mu}V_{\mu}) + \dots$$

Integrate out hidden sector exactly.

• Effective Lagrangian at  $\mathcal{O}(g^2)$ :

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{eff} = \frac{1}{2} g^2 \tilde{C}_0(p^2) D^2 - g^2 \tilde{C}_{1/2}(p^2) i\lambda \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} g^2 \tilde{C}_1(p^2) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^2 (M \tilde{B}_{1/2}(p^2) \lambda \lambda + c.c.)$$

## Beta function

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{eff} = \frac{1}{2} g^2 \tilde{C}_0(p^2) D^2 - g^2 \tilde{C}_{1/2}(p^2) i\lambda \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} g^2 \tilde{C}_1(p^2) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^2 (M \tilde{B}_{1/2}(p^2) \lambda \lambda + c.c.)$$

 Integrating out the hidden sector changes the U(1) beta function.

$$\tilde{C}_a \sim c \log \frac{\Lambda}{M} \Rightarrow \Delta b = -(2\pi)^4 c$$

c = hidden sector contrib. to beta function



### MSSM Soft Masses

#### Straightforward to generalize to $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ .

 $M_r = g_r^2 M \tilde{B}^{(r)}(0), \quad r = 1, 2, 3$ 

Three independent complex gaugino masses. So gaugino unification not guaranteed. GGM has SUSY CP problem?

#### MSSM Soft Masses

Straightforward to generalize to SU(3)xSU(2)xU(1).

$$m_{\tilde{f}}^2 = \sum_{r=1}^3 g_r^4 c_2(f;r) A_r$$

 $A_r \equiv -\int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{p^2} \Big( 3\tilde{C}_1^{(r)}(p^2/M^2) - 4\tilde{C}_{1/2}^{(r)}(p^2/M^2) + \tilde{C}_0^{(r)}(p^2/M^2) \Big)$ 

Sfermion masses not necessarily positive. Indeed, can find simple examples where they are negative. E.g. U(1)' D-term SUSY. (Nakayama et al,...)

Typical momentum in sfermion integral is O(M) -- can't be computed in low-energy theory.

## MSSM Soft Masses

Straightforward to generalize to SU(3)xSU(2)xU(1).  $m_{\tilde{f}}^2 = \sum_{r=1}^{3} g_r^4 c_2(f;r) A_r$ 

 $A_r \equiv -\overline{\int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{p^2} \left( 3\tilde{C}_1^{(r)}(p^2/M^2) - 4\tilde{C}_{1/2}^{(r)}(p^2/M^2) + \tilde{C}_0^{(r)}(p^2/M^2) \right)}$ 

- Sfermion masses must be finite -- constraints on two-point functions at  $\mathcal{O}(1/p^2)$  .
- 3 independent real parameters -- sfermion masses not tied to gauge couplings or to gaugino masses.

## Rewriting the soft masses

Buican, Meade, Seiberg, DS; to appear)

 $\langle Q^2 J(x) J(0) \rangle = x^{-5} B(x)$  $\langle Q^4 J(x) J(0) \rangle = \partial^2 \left( x^{-4} (3C_1(x) - 4C_{1/2}(x) + C_0(x)) \right)$ 

Ocheck: vanish when SUSY is unbroken.

GGM analogue of OGM relations (more precise connection? cf. Distler & Robbins; Intriligator & Sudano)

$$M_{\lambda} \sim F, \qquad m_{\tilde{f}}^2 \sim |F|^2$$

All the information contained within the OPE of J with itself. Can use this to prove convergence of sfermion mass integral.

#### Sum Rules

$$m_{\tilde{f}}^2 = \sum_{r=1}^3 g_r^4 c_2(f;r) A_r$$

The Five MSSM sfermion masses f=Q,U,D,L,E are given in terms of 3 parameters  $A_{r=1,2,3}$ 

So there must be 2 relations (per generation)

$$\operatorname{Tr} Ym^2 = \operatorname{Tr} (B - L)m^2 = 0$$

 Corrections: sum rules true at the scale M. (Small) corrections from RG and EWSB.

#### Summary

- We have constructed a framework for analyzing general models of gauge mediation: arbitrary hidden sectors coupled to the MSSM via SM gauge interactions.
- We used our framework to understand the general predictions of gauge mediation.
  - Parameter space: 3 complex parameters (gaugino masses) and 3 real parameters (sfermion masses)
- Our framework is suitable for analyzing strongly-coupled hidden sectors.
   (cf. Ooguri, Ookouchi, Park & Song)

## Outlook: Exploring GGM

- Can one build (simple) models which cover the entire parameter space of GGM? What is the minimal construction?
- Carpenter, Dine, Festuccia & Mason exhibit generalizations of OGM that have the right number of parameters (3+3)...

## Outlook

- Connections to string theory?
  - Currents and their correlators appear naturally in the AdS/CFT correspondence. Can we use AdS/CFT to study strongly-coupled hidden sectors?
- Main outstanding challenge for gauge mediation: mu/Bmu problem.
  - Can translate existing approaches into GGM framework, but can the framework teach us something new?



## Messenger Parity

 $\langle J \rangle = \zeta$ 

One point function of J can also contribute to the sfermion masses:



 $\delta m_{\tilde{f}}^2 = g_1^2 Y_f \zeta$ 

 Tree-level in effective theory. Corresponds to FI parameter.

The Can lead to tachyonic sleptons. Forbid with "messenger parity":  $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow -\mathcal{J}$