



Viscous corrections to photon emission in heavy-ion collisions

Chun Shen The Ohio State University

In collaboration with Ulrich Heinz, Charles Gale, Gabriel Denicol, Jia Liu, and Jean-Francois Paquet

May 19, 2014 Quark Matter Darmstadt

arXiv: 1308.2111, 1308.2440, 1403.7558

Little Bang



Photons from Heavy-ion Collisions

time = 0.6 fm/c

Pb+Pb @ 2.76 A TeV LHC



State-of-the-art hydrodynamic modeling



State-of-the-art hydrodynamic modeling



Thermal photon emission rates can be calculated by $E_q \frac{dR}{d^3 q} = \frac{d^3 p_1}{2E_1 (2?)^3} \frac{d^3 p_2}{2E_2 (2?)^3} \frac{d^3 p_3}{2E_3 (2?)^3} \frac{1}{2(2?)^3} |M|^2$

 $\rightarrow f_1(p_1^{\mu})f_2(p_2^{\mu})(1 \pm f_3(p_3^{\mu}))(2\hat{r})^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - q)$ With

$$f(p^{\mu}) = f_0(E) + f_0(E)(1 \pm f_0(E)) \frac{\hat{p}_{\mu}\hat{p}_{\alpha}}{2(e+p)} \chi^* \frac{p}{T}$$

We can expand photon emission rates around the thermal equilibrium:

$$q\frac{dR}{d^3q} = \Gamma_0 + \frac{\pi^{\mu\nu}\hat{q}_{\mu}\hat{q}_{\nu}}{2(e+p)}a_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\alpha\beta},$$

$$a_{\mu\nu} = \frac{3}{2(u\cdot\hat{q})^4}\hat{q}_{\mu}\hat{q}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{(u\cdot\hat{q})^2}u_{\mu}u_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2(u\cdot\hat{q})^2}g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{2(u\cdot\hat{q})^3}(\hat{q}_{\mu}u_{\nu} + \hat{q}_{\nu}u_{\mu}).$$
[5(15)]

Thermal photon emission rates can be calculated by $E_q \frac{dR}{d^3 q} = \frac{d^3 p_1}{2E_1 (2\hat{r})^3} \frac{d^3 p_2}{2E_2 (2\hat{r})^3} \frac{d^3 p_3}{2E_3 (2\hat{r})^3} \frac{1}{2(2\hat{r})^3} |M|^2$

 $\rightarrow f_1(p_1^{\mu}) f_2(p_2^{\mu}) (1 \pm f_3(p_3^{\mu})) (2\hat{i})^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - q)$ With

 $f(p^{\mu}) = \begin{pmatrix} p_{\alpha} p_{$ We can expanded in fluid local rest frame and the thermal equilibrium: $q\frac{dR}{d^3a} = \Gamma_0 + \frac{\pi^{\mu\nu}\hat{q}_{\mu}\hat{q}_{\nu}}{2(e+\pi)}a_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\alpha\beta},$ $a_{\mu\nu} = \frac{3}{2(u \cdot \hat{q})^4} \hat{q}_{\mu} \hat{q}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{(u \cdot \hat{q})^2} u_{\mu} u_{\nu} + \frac{calculated in lab frame}{2(u \cdot \hat{q})}$

5(15)











- Shear viscous suppression of photon v₂ is dominated by the viscous corrections to the photon emission rate
- Photon elliptic flow is sensitive to the larger shear stress tensor at early times



- Shear viscous suppression of photon v₂ is dominated by the viscous corrections to the photon emission rate
- Photon elliptic flow is sensitive to the larger shear stress tensor at early times

Definition of event by-event $v_n^{\gamma,dir}$

$$v_n^{\text{dir}}(p_T) = \frac{R^{\gamma}(p_T)v_n^{\text{incl}}(p_T) - v_n^{\text{bg}}(p_T)}{R^{\gamma}(p_T) - 1} \qquad R^{\gamma} = \frac{N^{\gamma incl}}{N^{\gamma bg}}$$

exact for a single event





Definition of event-by-event $v_n^{\gamma,dir}$

$$v_n^{\text{dir}}(p_T) = \frac{R^{\gamma}(p_T)v_n^{\text{incl}}(p_T) - v_n^{\text{bg}}(p_T)}{R^{\gamma}(p_T) - 1}$$

exact for a single event

But for multiple events,

Experiment:
$$\frac{R^{\gamma}(p_T)h_n^{\text{incl}}(p_T)i - h_n^{\text{bg}}(p_T)i}{R^{\gamma}(p_T) - 1}$$

$$\overline{R}^{\gamma}(p_{T}) = \frac{hdN^{\gamma incl}/dyp_{T}dp_{T}i}{hdN^{\gamma bg}/dyp_{T}dp_{T}i}$$



 $R^{\gamma} = \frac{N^{\gamma incl}}{N^{\gamma bg}}$

Definition of event-by-event $V_n^{\gamma,dir}$

$$v_n^{\text{dir}}(p_T) = \frac{R^{\gamma}(p_T)v_n^{\text{incl}}(p_T) - v_n^{\text{bg}}(p_T)}{R^{\gamma}(p_T) - 1}$$

exact for a single event

But for multiple events,

Experiment:
$$\frac{R^{\gamma}(p_T)h_n^{\text{incl}}(p_T)i - h_n^{\text{bg}}(p_T)i}{R^{\gamma}(p_T) - 1}$$

$$\overline{R}^{\gamma}(p_{T}) = \frac{hdN^{\gamma incl}/dyp_{T}dp_{T}i}{hdN^{\gamma bg}/dyp_{T}dp_{T}i}$$

Theory:
$$hv_n^{\text{dir}}(p_T)i = \frac{R^{\gamma}(p_T)v_n^{\text{incl}}(p_T) - v_n^{\text{bg}}(p_T)}{R^{\gamma}(p_T) - 1}$$



 $R^{\gamma} = \frac{N^{\gamma incl}}{N^{\gamma bg}}$

Definition of event-by-event $v_n^{\gamma,dir}$

$$v_n^{\text{dir}}(p_T) = \frac{R^{\gamma}(p_T)v_n^{\text{incl}}(p_T) - v_n^{\text{bg}}(p_T)}{R^{\gamma}(p_T) - 1} \qquad R^{\gamma} :$$

exact for a single event

But for multiple events,

Experiment: $\frac{R^{\gamma}(p_{T})hv_{n}^{\text{incl}}(p_{T})i - hv_{n}^{\text{bg}}(p_{T})i}{R^{\gamma}(p_{T}) - 1}$ $\overline{R^{\gamma}(p_{T})} = \frac{hdN^{\gamma \text{incl}}/dyp_{T}dp_{T}i}{hdN^{\gamma \text{bg}}/dyp_{T}dp_{T}i}$ $\underbrace{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} hv_{n}^{\text{dir}}(p_{T})i}_{R^{\gamma}(p_{T})} = \frac{R^{\gamma}(p_{T})v_{n}^{\text{incl}}(p_{T}) - v_{n}^{\text{bg}}(p_{T})}{R^{\gamma}(p_{T}) - 1}$



 $N^{\gamma incl}$

Νγbg

Definition of event-by-event $v_n^{\gamma, \text{dir}}$



Definition of event-by-event $v_n^{\gamma, \text{dir}}$



Definition of event-by-event $V_n^{\gamma,dir}$

$$v_n^{\text{dir}}(p_T) = \frac{R^{\gamma}(p_T)v_n^{\text{incl}}(p_T) - v_n^{\text{bg}}(p_T)}{R^{\gamma}(p_T) - 1} \qquad R^{\gamma} = \frac{N^{\gamma \text{incl}}}{N^{\gamma \text{bg}}}$$
exact for a single event

But for **multiple** events,

"extraction safe"

$$v_n^{\gamma} \{ SP \}(p_T) = \frac{-\frac{dN^{\gamma}}{dyp_T dp_T}(p_T) v_n^{\gamma}(p_T) v_n^{ch} \cos(n(\frac{\gamma}{n}(p_T) - \frac{ch}{n}))}{\sum_{\substack{\substack{l \\ \frac{dN^{\gamma}}{dyp_T dp_T}}}}}.$$

$$v_n^{\text{dir}}\{\text{SP}\}(p_T) = \frac{R^{\gamma}(p_T)hv_n^{\text{incl}}\{\text{SP}\}(p_T)i - hv_n^{\text{bg}}\{\text{SP}\}(p_T)i}{R^{\gamma}(p_T) - 1}$$
theory
experiment
Poster:!
J-F. Paquet, G21
B(15)





Definition of event-by-event $v_n^{\gamma, \text{dir}}$



Fluctuation effects on photon elliptic flow





Fluctuation effects on photon elliptic flow



Initial fluctuations increase photons' elliptic flow

Fluctuation effects of photon elliptic flow



- Initial fluctuations increase photons' elliptic flow
- The additional photon multiplicity weighting biases e-b-e v₂ towards central collisions, resulting in ~10-20% smaller v₂ compared to smooth hydro

Event-by-Event FullViscous Photon vn



 The anisotropic flows of photons show similar centrality dependence as hadron vn



Event-by-Event FullViscous Photon vn



- The anisotropic flows of photons show similar centrality dependence as hadron vn
- The ratio v_2/v_3 increases with the shear viscosity
- The centrality dependence of this ratio is stronger for the MCKLN model, driven by ⁻²

10(15)

Event-by-Event Full Viscous Photon vn



Comparisons with exp. data

RHIC 0-20%

LHC 0-40%



 Current calculations still underestimate the experimental data by a factor of 3

Comparisons with exp. data

RHIC 0-20%

LHC 0-40%



- Current calculations still underestimate the experimental data by a factor of 3
- Thermal yield is also missing in the azimuthally integrated photon spectra at low p_T

arXiv: 1308.2111 12(15)

EM decays of short lived resonances (I)

Thanks to Ralf Rapp and EMMI RRTF Contributions from the short-lived resonances:

			11		
reaction	branching ratio	reaction	branching ratio	$\Delta(1232) \rightarrow N + \gamma$	0.6%
$\rho^0 \to \pi^+ + \pi^- + \gamma$	1%	$N(1440) \rightarrow p + \gamma$	$4.15 * 10^{-4}$	$\Delta(1600) \rightarrow N + \gamma$	$1.8 * 10^{-4}$
$b_1(1235) \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} + \gamma$	$1.6 * 10^{-3}$	$N(1440) \rightarrow n + \gamma$	$3 * 10^{-4}$	$\Delta(1620) \rightarrow N + \gamma$	$6.5 * 10^{-4}$
$h_1(1170) \rightarrow \pi^0 + \gamma$	$1.7 * 10^{-3}$	$N(1520) \rightarrow p + \gamma$	$4.15 * 10^{-3}$	$\Delta(1700) \rightarrow N + \gamma$	$4.1 * 10^{-3}$
$a_1(1260) \rightarrow \pi^0 + \gamma$	$1.7 * 10^{-3}$	$N(1520) \rightarrow n + \gamma$	$4.15 * 10^{-3}$	$\Delta(1905) \rightarrow N + \gamma$	$2.4 * 10^{-4}$
$f_1(1285) \rightarrow g_0 + \gamma$	5.5%	$N(1530) \rightarrow p + \gamma$	$2.25 * 10^{-3}$	$\Delta(1910) \rightarrow N + \gamma$	$1 * 10^{-4}$
$f_1(1200) \to p_0^+ + q_1^-$	2.68 + 10-3	$N(1530) \rightarrow n + \gamma$	$2.25 * 10^{-3}$	$\Delta(1920) \rightarrow N + \gamma$	$2 * 10^{-3}$
$a_2(1320) \rightarrow \pi^- + \gamma$	2.08 * 10	$N(1650) \rightarrow p + \gamma$	$1.2 * 10^{-3}$	$\Delta(1950) \rightarrow N + \gamma$	$1.05 * 10^{-3}$
$K^{\star}(892) \rightarrow K^{0} + \gamma$	$2.4 * 10^{-3}$	$N(1650) \rightarrow n + \gamma$	$8.5 * 10^{-4}$		
$K^{\star}(892) \rightarrow K^{\pm} + \gamma$	$1 * 10^{-3}$	$N(1675) \rightarrow p + \gamma$	$1 * 10^{-4}$		
$K_1(1270) \rightarrow K^0 + \gamma$	$8.4 * 10^{-4}$	$N(1675) ightarrow n + \gamma$	$7.5 * 10^{-4}$	reaction	branching ratio
$K_1(1400) \to K^0 + \gamma$	$1.6 * 10^{-3}$	$N(1680) \rightarrow p + \gamma$	$2.65 * 10^{-3}$	$\Lambda(1405) \rightarrow \Lambda + \gamma$	$5.4 * 10^{-4}$
$K_2^\star(1430) \to K^+ + \gamma$	$2.4 * 10^{-3}$	$N(1680) \rightarrow n + \gamma$	$3.35 * 10^{-4}$	$\Lambda(1405) ightarrow \Sigma^0 + \gamma$	$2*10^{-4}$
$K_2^\star(1430) \to K^0 + \gamma$	$9 * 10^{-4}$	$N(1700) \rightarrow p + \gamma$	$3 * 10^{-4}$	$\Lambda(1520)\to\Lambda+\gamma$	$8.5*10^{-3}$
	fûr te	$N(1700) \rightarrow n + \gamma$	$1.2 * 10^{-3}$	$\Lambda(1520) \rightarrow \Sigma^0 + \gamma$	2%
		$N(1710) \rightarrow p + \gamma$	$4.1 * 10^{-4}$	$\Sigma^0(1385) o \Lambda + \gamma$	1.25%
		$N(1710) \rightarrow n + \gamma$	$1 * 10^{-4}$	$\Xi(1530) \rightarrow \Xi + \gamma$	4%
		$N(1720) \rightarrow p + \gamma$	$1.5 * 10^{-3}$		

 $N(1720) \rightarrow n + \gamma$

 $8*10^{-5}$

13(15)

EM decays of short-lived resonances (II)

Thanks to Ralf Rapp and EMMI RRTF

Contributions from the short-lived resonances:



Pre-equilibr um flow (I)

Contributions from pre-equilibrium flow and $\hat{r}^{\mu e}$:





Pre-equilibrium flow (II)

Contributions from pre-equilibrium flow and $\hat{r}^{\mu \circ}$:



Free-streaming $f(\underline{s}, \underline{x}, p) = f(\underline{s}, \underline{x} - \hat{p}(\underline{s} - \underline{s}), p)$ $T^{\mu} \ll (\underline{s}, \underline{x}) = \frac{d^{\beta}p}{E} p^{\mu} p^{\ll} f(\underline{s}, \underline{x}, p)$

 $T^{\mu \prec} U_{\varnothing} = e u^{\mu} \longrightarrow = e u^{\mu} u^{\prec} (P + \uparrow) \Delta^{\mu \prec} + ; \mu^{\omega}$

Pre-equilibrium flow (III)

Contributions from pre-equilibrium flow and $\pi^{\mu\nu}$:



Small but significant effects in the right direction

Pre-equilibrium flow (III)

Contributions from pre-equilibrium flow and $\pi^{\mu\nu}$:



Small but significant effects in the right direction

Poster: J-F. Paquet, G21 14(15)

Conclusions

 We studied photon spectra and their anisotropic flows v_n from eventby-event viscous hydrodynamic medium

$$v_n^{\gamma} \{ \text{SP} \}(p_T) = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{dN^{\gamma}}{dyp_T dp_T}(p_T) v_n^{\gamma}(p_T) v_n^{\text{ch}} \cos(n(\frac{\gamma}{n}(p_T) - \frac{\text{ch}}{n})) \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{dN^{\gamma}}{dyp_T dp_T}(p_T) & v_n^{\text{ch}} \{ 2 \} \end{bmatrix}}.$$

- Shear viscosity suppresses photon v_n. Dominant suppression comes not from flow, but from the viscous correction to the production rates.
- Elliptic and triangular flow of photons are more sensitive than hadrons to shear stress at early times and to initial state fluctuations.
- Short-lived resonance decays and pre-equilibrium flow cause measurable increase of direct photon anisotropic flow.
- Still, experimental data appear to require significantly more photon rate from the late evolution stage than in implemented in the model

arXiv: 1308.2111, 1308.2440, 1403.7558 https://github.com/chunshen1987/iEBE.git 15(15)

Conclusions

 We studied photon spectra and their anisotropic flows v_n from eventby-event viscous hydrodynamic medium

$$v_n^{\gamma} \{ \text{SP} \}(p_T) = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{dN^{\gamma}}{dyp_T dp_T}(p_T) v_n^{\gamma}(p_T) v_n^{\text{ch}} \cos(n(\begin{array}{c} \gamma \\ n \end{array}(p_T) - \begin{array}{c} \frac{ch}{n} \end{array})) \end{bmatrix}}{E}.$$

- Shear viscosity suppresses photon v_n. Dominant suppression comes not from flow, but from the viscous correction to the production rates.
- Elliptic and triangular flow of photons are more sensitive than hadrons to shear stress at early times and to initial state fluctuations.
- Short-lived resonance decays and pre-equilibrium fl measurable increase of direct photon anisotropic flow.

 Still, experimental data appear to require significantly more photon rate from the late evolution stage than in implemented in the model

arXiv: 1308.2111, 1308.2440, 1403.7558 https://github.com/chunshen1987/iEBE.git 15(15)

Back up













Photon Rates (GP 2 to 2 processes only)

Equilibrium rates:



- For small g, results from diagrammatic approach agree well with kinetic approach and AMY
- For g = 2.0, diagrammatic approach gives 25% larger results compared to kinetic approach; difference are due to cut-off dependence.

Photon Rates (GP 2 to 2 processes only)

Viscous corrections:



- For small g, diagrammatic approach agrees with kinetic approach
- For g = 2, the deviations at small k/T may originate from different higher order $O(g^2 T)$ contributions

Photon Emission Mates QGP vs HG



 p_{T} dependence compared to HG rates

Photon Emission Rates QGP vs HG



Photon Emission Rates QGP vs HG



Pre-equilibrium flow effects on hadrons



Dashed: with initial flow Solid: without initial flow

Emission vs. emperature



- High pT photons are mostly emitted from high temperature region
- Peak photon production around T = 165-200 MeV due to large hydrodynamic space-time volume

Thermal Photon Spectra



- With all available thermal emission sources, our current calculations still underestimate measured direct photon spectra at low p_T at both RHIC and LHC energies
- Additional emission sources need to be included to improve the agreement between theory and data

State-or-the-ant hydrodynamic



