Light (Hyper-)Nuclei production at the LHC measured with ALICE

Nicole Martin for the ALICE Collaboration

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

XXIV QUARK MATTER DARMSTADT 2014

EMMI

GSI

ALICE

H-QM Helmholtz Research School Quark Matter Studies

Introduction

Thermal model:

Key parameter at LHC energies: chemical freeze-out temperature T_{chem}

Strong sensitivity of abundance of nuclei to choice of T_{chem} due to:

1. large mass m
2. exponential dependence of the yield ~ $\exp(-m/T_{chem})$



A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, J. Stachel, and H. Stoecker, Phys. Lett. B697, 203 (2011), 1010.2995

Introduction

Coalescence model:

Nuclei are formed by protons and neutrons which are nearby and have similar velocities (after kinetic freeze-out)

Nuclei produced at chemical freeze-out
➜ can break apart
➜ created again by final-state coalescence



FIG. 1. Schematic for the production of a deuteron in the final state of a relativistic collision between two heavy nuclei.

J. I. Kapusta, Phys.Rev. C21, 1301 (1980)

Analysis strategy



Particle identification





Low momenta:
Nuclei are identified using the d*E*/d*x* measurement in the Time Projection Chamber

Higher momenta:
Velocity measurement with the Time of Flight detector is used to calculate the m^2 distribution

Efficiency correction



The measured raw yields have to be corrected for efficiency and acceptance.

Nuclei and hypernuclei **measurements**



Deuteron



^{3}He

Hypertriton



Deuterons and ^{3}He in Pb-Pb





➜ Spectra are fitted with blast-wave functions in different centrality bins and show radial flow

Deuterons in p-Pb







➜ Spectra become harder with increasing multiplicity

Deuteron to proton ratio



Rise with multiplicity

No further increase in Pb-Pb collisions within errors

Coalescence parameter B_2







$$B_2 = \frac{E_d \frac{d^3N_d}{dp_d^3}}{\left(E_p \frac{d^3N_p}{dp_p^3}\right)^2}$$

First order prediction of coalescence model:

B_2 independent of p_T

➜ Observed in p-Pb and peripheral Pb-Pb

Coalescence parameter B_2







$$B_2 = \frac{3\pi^{3/2}\,\langle C_d \rangle}{2 m_T R_\perp^2(m_T) R_{||}(m_T)}$$

In second order: B_2 scales like the HBT radii

➜ Decrease with centrality in Pb-Pb is understood as an increase in the source volume

R. Scheibl and U. Heinz, Phys.Rev. C59, 1585 (1999)

Coalescence parameter B_2







In second order: B_2 scales like the HBT radii

➜ p_T-slope which develops in central Pb-Pb reflects the k_T-dependence of the homogeneity volume in HBT

$$B_2 = \frac{3\pi^{3/2}\langle C_d\rangle}{2m_T R_\perp^2(m_T) R_{||}(m_T)}$$

R. Scheibl and U. Heinz, Phys.Rev. C59, 1585 (1999)

Hypertriton





m(Hypertriton) = 2.991 ± 0.002 GeV/c^2

investigated decay channel:
Hypertriton → ^{3}He + π^-



Hypertriton



d*N*/d*y* in good agreement with thermal model prediction from Andronic *et al.* for T = 156 MeV



dN/dy comparison



$\mu_B = 0$

see also poster by R. Preghenella

Searches
for weakly decaying exotic bound states

ΛΛ

Λn

Exotica - Introduction

H-dibaryon:

First predicted by Jaffe in a bag model calculation
(Jaffe, PRL 38, 617 (1977))



Recent lattice calculations suggest bound state or a resonance close to the Ξp threshold

Λn bound state:







H-dibaryon

Expected H-dibaryons ($H \rightarrow \Lambda p \pi^-$):



$$N_{H^0} = \underbrace{1.38 \cdot 10^7}_{events} \cdot \underbrace{0.0385}_{eff.} \cdot \underbrace{0.64}_{BR(\Lambda)} \cdot \underbrace{3.1 \cdot 10^{-3}}_{dN/dy} \cdot \underbrace{2}_{dy} \approx 2110$$

BR(H-dibaryon)

strongly bound H: $2110 \cdot 0.1 = 211$ lightly bound H: $2110 \cdot 0.64 = 1350$

H-dibaryon

Expected H-dibaryons ($H \rightarrow \Lambda p \pi^-$):



$$N_{H^0} = \underbrace{1.38 \cdot 10^7}_{events} \cdot \underbrace{0.0385}_{eff.} \cdot \underbrace{0.64}_{BR(\Lambda)} \cdot \underbrace{3.1 \cdot 10^{-3}}_{dN/dy} \cdot \underbrace{2}_{dy} \approx 2110$$

BR(H-dibaryon)

strongly bound H: $2110 \cdot 0.1 = 211$ lightly bound H: $2110 \cdot 0.64 = 1350$

No signal visible

From the non-observation we obtain as **upper limits**:

For a strongly bound (20 MeV) H:
➜ $dN/dy \leq 8.4 \cdot 10^{-4}$ (99% CL)

For a lightly bound (1 MeV) H:
➜ $dN/dy \leq 2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ (99% CL)



$\overline{\Lambda}\mathrm{n}$ bound state

Expected $\overline{\Lambda}\mathrm{n}$ bound states ($\overline{\Lambda}\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \bar{\mathrm{d}}\pi^+$):

$$N_{\overline{\Lambda}\mathrm{n}} = \underbrace{1.38 \cdot 10^7}_{\textit{events}} \cdot \underbrace{0.0255}_{\textit{eff.}} \cdot \underbrace{0.35}_{\textit{BR}} \cdot \underbrace{1.6 \cdot 10^{-2}}_{\mathrm{d}N/\mathrm{d}y} \cdot \underbrace{2}_{\mathrm{d}y} \approx 4000$$

$\overline{\Lambda}\mathrm{n}$ bound state

Expected $\overline{\Lambda}\mathrm{n}$ bound states ($\overline{\Lambda}\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \bar{\mathrm{d}}\pi^+$):

$$N_{\overline{\Lambda}\mathrm{n}} = \underbrace{1.38 \cdot 10^7}_{events} \cdot \underbrace{0.0255}_{eff.} \cdot \underbrace{0.35}_{BR} \cdot \underbrace{1.6 \cdot 10^{-2}}_{dN/dy} \cdot \underbrace{2}_{dy} \approx 4000$$

No signal visible

From the non-observation we obtain as **upper limit**:

➜ $dN/dy \leq 1.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ (99% CL)



Comparison to models

The $\overline{\Lambda n}$ bound state and the H-dibaryon are not observed

Different model predictions are of the same order

Upper limits for the two particles are set, at least a factor 10 below model predictions



➜ Existence of these particles with the assumed properties (BR, mass, lifetime) is questionable

Conclusion I

Spectral shapes of light nuclei production can be understood based on the coalescence picture.

Also the increase of the d/p-ratio with charged particle multiplicity is consistent with this picture.



Conclusion II

Loosely bound hypertriton is observed

Absolute yields (d*N*/d*y*) of light nuclei and hypertriton production in Pb-Pb collisions is in good agreement with thermal model calculation

Thermal model predictions using the temperature which fits the measured nuclei and hypertriton yields are above obtained exotica limits



➜ Existence of the $\overline{\Lambda}$n and H-dibaryon is doubtful

Rapidity definition in p-Pb



$\overrightarrow{y_{\mathrm{cms,NN}}} = -0.465$

Asymmetric energy/nucleon in the two beams
➜ cms moves with rapidity $y_{\mathrm{cms}} = -0.465$

multiplicity classes in p-Pb



central



peripheral

Definition of seven multiplicity classes:
➜ slices in VZERO-A (V0A) amplitude



Correlation between impact parameter and multiplicity is not as straight-forward as in Pb-Pb

Absorption correction



Anti-nuclei:
Additional correction for absorption

Secondary correction



Nuclei:
Additional correction for secondaries

Efficiencies exotica





Branching ratios exotica



Jürgen Schaffner-Bielich, private communication



Jürgen Schaffner-Bielich et al., PRL 84, 4305 (2000)

Blast-Wave exotica

p_T-shape of the Λn bound state and the H-dibaryon estimated from the extrapolation of Blast-Wave fits for π,K,p

Normalized to unity and convoluted with Acceptance x Efficiency to get a weighted Efficiency



Unknown p_T-shape is the main source of uncertainty: Therefore used different functions for the systematics (limiting cases: Blast-Wave of deuteron and ^{3}He)

Lifetime dependence exotica

Lifetime (s)	Decay length (cm)	Efficiency	Upper limit d*N*/d*y* 99% CL
$1.3 \cdot 10^{-10}$	3.95	0.0531	0.00061
$2.63 \cdot 10^{-10}$	7.89	0.0385	0.0084
$5.2 \cdot 10^{-10}$	15.8	0.0308	0.0011
$1.4 \cdot 10^{-9}$	42	0.0154	0.0017

$\Lambda\Lambda$

Lifetime (s)	Decay length (cm)	Efficiency	Upper limit d*N*/d*y* 99% CL
$1.3 \cdot 10^{-10}$	3.95	0.0220	0.001708
$2.63 \cdot 10^{-10}$	7.89	0.0255	0.001474
$5.2 \cdot 10^{-10}$	15.8	0.0320	0.001174
$1.4 \cdot 10^{-9}$	42	0.0440	0.000854

$\bar{\Lambda}\bar{n}$