

Constraining global initial geometry with directed flow

A.L.V.R.dos Reis¹, F.G.Gardim², <u>F. Grassi³</u>, J.-Y. Ollitrault⁴

UNINOVE, São Paulo, Brazil,

² Universidade Federal de Alfenas, Poços de Caldas, Brazil,

³ Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

⁴ Institut de Physique Théorique, CEA-Saclay, France





Introduction

- Data on v_n for n > 1 and v_1^{even} are well reproduced by event-by-event hydrodynamics \rightarrow this constraint fluctuations in the initial conditions (eg. [1-3])
- Here, data on v_1^{odd} are shown to provide constrains on the overall geometry of the initial conditions (tilt and skewness)

Which models for the I.C. have the right features?

- 1) Hirano-Tsuda initial conditions [9]: $E(x, y, \eta_s) = E_{max}W(x, y; b)H(\eta_s)$ with $W(x, y; b) \propto T_{+}T_{-}$ and $H(\eta_{s}) = \exp\left[-\frac{|\eta_{s} - \eta_{cms}| - \eta_{f}/2)^{2}}{2\sigma_{n}^{2}}\theta(|\eta_{s} - \eta_{cms}| - \eta_{f}/2)\right]$ These I.C. exhibit plateau+tilt and positive skewness:



- $v_1(\eta)$ has negative slope [4,5]. Slope decreases at higher energy
- $v_1(p_t)$ has turnover [6]
- $v_1(\eta)$ does not depend on A [6,7]
- $v_1(b)$ decreases linearly, using [6]

Obs: e-by-e code NeXSPheRIO reproduces main features.

Which features in the I.C. are crucial to reproduce v_1 data?

1) η and b dependence come from tilt:

Tilted ellipsoidal shape expected for initial interaction region due to the different path lengths of nucleons from projectile and target



Assume $s(x, y, \eta_s, \tau_0) = s_0 \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_x^2} - \frac{y^2}{2\sigma_y^2} - \frac{\eta_s^2}{2\sigma_{\eta_s}^2} + \theta \frac{x\eta_s}{\sigma_x \sigma_{\eta_s}}\right)$

Centrality σ_x

 σ_{u}



As expected, $v_1(\eta)$ has no negative slope (see also [10]) and $v_1(p_t)$ has no correct turnover:



2) Adil-Gyulassy initial conditions [11]: $s(x, y, \eta_s, \tau_0) = \frac{s_0}{2Y_{max}} \exp\left(\frac{-\eta_s^2}{\sigma_{\eta_s}^2}\right) \theta(Y_{max} - |\eta|) \left[\frac{dN_{part}^+}{dx\,dy}(Y_{max} - \eta) + \frac{dN_{part}^-}{dx\,dy}(Y_{max} + \eta)\right]$ with $\frac{dN_{part}^{\pm}}{dx\,dy} = T_{\pm}[1 - \exp(-\sigma_{in}\,T_{\mp})]$ These I.C. exhibit tilt and negative skewness:





Parameters:

2.78 2.92 0.04 0-6 % • σ_x , σ_y : from Woods-Saxon profiles 2.40 2.79 0.08 6-15 % • $\sigma_{\eta_s} = 2.3$: from [8] $\sigma_Y = \sqrt{\ln \sqrt{s}/(2m_p)}$ 15-25% 2.04 2.61 0.12 • θ : s is peaked at $\eta_{cms} \sim$ rapidity of the 2 colliding 25-35 % 1.76|2.44|0.16|tubes at $(x, y) \Rightarrow \theta = -\frac{\sigma_x T'}{\sigma T}$ 35-45 % 1.54 2.26 0.22 1.36 2.09 0.30 45-55 %

 $v_1(Y)$ can be estimated analytically for 1+1 expansion:

$$Y(\tau, \eta_s; x, y) = \eta_s - \left(c_s^2 \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau_0}\right) \frac{\partial \ln s}{\partial \eta_s}$$

$$v_1(Y) \sim \underbrace{c_s^4 \frac{1 + c_s^2}{1 - c_s^2}}_{eos} \underbrace{\tau_f \left\{ \ln \left(\frac{\tau_f}{\tau_0}\right) + \frac{1}{1 - c_s^2} \left[\left(\frac{\tau_0}{\tau_f}\right)^{1 - c_s^2} - 1 \right] \right\}}_{duration} \underbrace{\left(\frac{-\theta}{\sigma_{\eta_s}^3 \sigma_x} Y\right)}_{I.C.}$$

Agreement of analytical

formula with numerical

solution and data



- Negative slope and Y linearity: due to -Y term in $v_1(Y)$
- Slope decrease with \sqrt{s} : due to $\sigma_{\eta_s} = \sqrt{\ln \sqrt{s}/(2m_p)}$
- A dependence: contained in $\tau_f \theta / \sigma_x \sim \theta$. If θ does not depend on A, v_1 does not either.
- Increase with peripherical collision: due to $\tau_f \theta / \sigma_x \sim \theta$ and θ increase (cf. table)

As expected, $v_1(\eta)$ has negative slope, $v_1(p_t)$ has correct turnover, however $v_1(b)$ is not right:



- 3) Smooth NeXus initial conditions: These I.C. exhibit small plateau+tilt and negative skewness:
 - Au+Au, Condição inicial média



This explains why event-by-event NeXSpheRIO results in §Data have $v_1(\eta)$ with (small) negative slope and $v_1(p_t)$ with correct turnover. $v_1(b)$ is not right.

Conclusion

• I.C. with tilt (with correct b dependence) and negative skewness (for $\eta_s > 0$) allow to reproduce data



2) p_t dependence comes from negative skewness: Black curve is gaussian. Red curve has negative skewness

(for $\eta_s > 0$)



Negative skewness can be added to $s(x, y, \eta_s, \tau_0)$ (for $\eta_s > 0$): for $x < 0, \sigma_x \to \sigma_x$ for x > 0, $\sigma_x \to \sigma_x / \sqrt{1 + \lambda \theta |\eta_s| / \sigma_{\eta_s}}$

 $v_1(p_t)$ decreases linearly without skewness and has turnover with skewness:



- No totally satisfatory I.C. found so far (wrong *b* dependence)
- Role of equation of state needs checking

References

[1] M. Luzum and J.-Y.Ollitrault, Phys.rev.Lett.106, 102301 (2011) [2] F. G. Gardim, F. Grassi, Y. Hama, M. Luzum and J. Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. C 83, 064901 (2011) [3] F. G. Gardim, F. Grassi, M. Luzum and J. -Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 202302 (2012) [4] B.B.Back et al, PHOBOS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 012301 (2006) [5] G.Wang et al, for the STAR Collaboration, Nucl. Phys. A774, 515 (2006) [6] G.Wang et al, for the STAR Collaboration, J. Phys. G34, S1093 (2007) [7] B.L.Abelev et al, STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 252301 (2008) [8] I.G.Bearden et al, BRAHMS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 162301 (2005) [9] T. Hirano and K.Tsuda, Phys. Rev. C 66, 054905 (2002) [10] P.Bozek and I.Wyskiel, Phys.Rev.C81, 054902 (2010) [11] A.Adil and M.Gyulassy, Phys. Rev. C 72, 034907 (2005)