Diffractive pp scattering at the LHC



Pierre Van Mechelen University of Antwerp

Standard Model @ LHC Freiburg, April 9-12, 2013



What is diffraction?

Proton excitation via quasi-elastic scattering, followed by dissociation

Classification



What is diffraction?

Proton excitation via quasi-elastic scattering, followed by dissociation

Kinematics

• proton energy loss $\xi \rightarrow$ diffractive mass *M*:

 $M^2 = \xi s \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad 2 \ln \sqrt{s}/m = 2 \ln M/m + \ln 1/\xi$

with m = hadronic mass scale (e.g. proton mass)

• rapidity span of soft hadronic system with mass M: $\Delta y = 2 \ln M/m$

• rapidity gap size:
$$\Delta y_{
m gap} = \ln 1/\xi$$



Why measure diffraction?

Diffraction accounts for 25-30% of the total cross section at the LHC

• diffractive dissociation must be well understood for a good description of the additional inelastic pp interactions (pile-up) which accompany most events

Diffraction is also interesting in its own right!

- diffraction goes beyond simplistic factorization and a probabilistic approach of parton densities
- diffraction is inherently linked to collective behavior of partons
- AGK rules: same physics plays in
 - multi-parton interactions
 - saturation of parton densities
 - diffractive scattering



Soft diffraction

Good and Walker formalism for low mass diffraction

 proton is superposition of diffractive eigenstates that are absorbed differentially by the target

$$egin{aligned} &|p
angle = \sum_k |\psi_k
angle = |p_0
angle + |p^*
angle + \ldots & ext{Im}\,T|p
angle = ext{Im}\,T\sum_k |\psi_k
angle = \sum_k t_k |\psi_k
angle
eq |p
angle \ &\sigma_{ ext{el}} = |\langle p|\, ext{Im}\,T\,|p
angle|^2 = \langle t
angle^2 & \sigma_{ ext{diff}} = \sum_k |\langle \psi_k|\, ext{Im}\,T\,|p
angle|^2 - \sigma_{ ext{el}} = \langle t^2
angle - \langle t
angle^2 \end{aligned}$$

Triple-Regge formalism for high mass diffraction

 $\bullet\,$ at large $\sqrt{s},$ Pomeron exchange is expected to dominate

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt \, dM_X^2} = G_{3\mathbb{P}}(0) \, s^{2\alpha_{\mathbb{P}}(t)-2} \left(\frac{1}{M_X^2}\right)^{\alpha_{\mathbb{P}}(0)+2\alpha'_{\mathbb{P}}t} e^{Bt} \quad \bullet \quad \alpha_{\mathbb{P}}(t) = \alpha_{\mathbb{P}}(0) + \alpha'_{\mathbb{P}}t, \quad \alpha_{\mathbb{P}}(0) = 1 + \epsilon$$

• at small $t: \sigma(s) \sim s^{2\epsilon}$
• at small $t: \sigma(s) \sim s^{2\epsilon}$
• $\frac{d\sigma}{dM_X^2} \sim \left(\frac{1}{M_X^2}\right)^{1+\epsilon}, \quad \frac{d\sigma}{d\Delta y_{\text{gap}}} \sim e^{\epsilon\Delta y_{\text{gap}}}$
• diffraction is due to collective behavior of partons \rightarrow orthogonal to standard, leading twist, collinear approach to pp interactions

Hard diffraction

Diffractive parton densities

 J. Collins [hep-ph/9709499]: proof of QCD factorization in DDIS

 $\sigma(\mathrm{ep} o \mathrm{epX}) = f^\mathrm{D}(x,Q^2,\xi,t)\,\otimes\,\hat{\sigma}(\mathrm{eq} o \mathrm{eX})$

Parameterization of diffractive F₂ with Regge factorization

• Pomeron flux + DGLAP-evolved parton densities $F_2^{D}(x,Q^2,\xi,t) = f_{\mathbb{P}/p}(\xi,t) \cdot F_2^{\mathbb{P}}(x/\xi,Q^2)$





Application to hadron-hadron scattering?

- factorization is broken in hadron scattering due to soft re-scatterings (a.k.a. unitarity corrections, higher twist, saturation, ...)
- rapidity gap survival factor estimate from comparison between HERA and TEVATRON: factor 10!
- Can this be modeled with multi-parton interactions?



Observable definition of diffraction

Properties of diffractive events

- forward going proton (but how forward?)
- large rapidity gap (but how large?)
- Iow proton energy loss (but how low?

How can diffraction be defined from the observable final state?

- ND with large gaps is in principle identical to DD
- in many measurements, the outgoing proton is not detected and an event sample of SD+DD is obtained

Not obvious how to disentangle SD, DD and ND from final state particles

Need to avoid large model-dependent corrections

A precise, particle-level definition of the cross section is needed!



Largest Rapidity Gap algorithm

- (1) Consider all final state particles and their four-momenta
- (2) Order particles with increasing rapidity
- (3) Find largest rapidity gap between any two neighboring particles
- (4) Largest gap defines the separation between hadronic final state systems X and Y
- (5) Calculate invariant mass of X and Y: M_X and M_Y ; take $M_X > M_Y$
- (6) $\xi = M_X^2/s$



Total inelastic cross section

"Visible" cross section measured by ALICE, ATLAS and CMS

- includes all inelastic processes, except low mass (M < 15 GeV) diffraction
- $\sigma_{\text{inel}}(\xi > 5 \times 10^{-6}) \sim 60 \text{ mb}$

Total inelastic cross section measured by TOTEM

• σ_{inel} ~ 73 mb

Relative amount of low-mass diffraction underestimated by all models

- PYTHIA (typical) predicts
 6 mb low-mass diffraction
 (factor 2 lower than data)
- QGSJETII-01 remarkably close to data!
- may be explained by contributions from sub-leading Reggeon terms in 3IR approach



Rapidity gap cross section

ATLAS result

- $\Delta \eta_F$ defined as the larger of the two empty η regions extending from edges of detector acceptance (η =+4.9 or η =-4.9) to nearest track or calorimeter cluster
- threshold on track p_T and cluster $E_T > 200 \text{ MeV}$
- gap cross section fully corrected for detector effects



Rapidity gap cross section



Pierre Van Mechelen – Universiteit Antwerpen

SD and DD cross sections measurements

ATLAS result

- using ratio of Single-Sided to Double-Sided triggered events to extract fraction of diffractive processes in total inelastic cross section
- default DL-model with $\alpha_{IP}(0) = 1.085$ yields $f_D = (SD+DD)/(SD+DD+ND) \sim 27\%$





SD and DD cross sections measurements

ALICE result

- using ratio of 1-arm to 2-arm selected events to extract fraction $SD/(DD+ND) \sim 20\%$ (approx. equal at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$, 2.76, and 7 TeV)
- fraction of 2-arm events with gaps yield DD/(SD+DD+ND) \sim 12%
- \bullet absolute cross sections obtained after multiplying with measured σ_{inel}
- caveats:
 - large extrapolation (and uncertainty) for unseen low-mass diffraction
 - $\sigma_{\rm DD}$ contains ND with $\Delta \eta_{\rm gap} > 3$



Particle *p*_T spectra

Uncorrected p_T spectra from ATLAS Single-Sided event sample (i.e. dominated by SD+DD)



- PYTHIA6 (no hard diffraction):
 - needs ND to explain high- p_T tail



- PYTHIA8 (with diffractive pdfs and hard diffractive scattering):
 - large p_T particles produced by SD+DD
 - ND contribution much reduced



Hard diffractive W production

CMS result

- selection of W \rightarrow Iv events
- detector-level gap requirement: no energy within 3 < |η| < 4.9 (caveat forward energy flow very badly modeled by MC)
- signed η_{lepton} distribution: $\eta_{\text{lepton}} < 0$ when e, μ opposite to the pseudorapidity gap

Comparison of data to MC

- ND models yield flat η_{lepton} distribution, regardless of UE tune
- POMPYT predict preference to to have lepton in the hemisphere opposite to the gap
- evidence for contribution of ~50% diffractive W production in event sample





Hard diffractive dijets

CMS result

- inclusive di-jets with
 - $|\eta| < 4.4$
 - *p*_T > 20 GeV





• particle-level definition of ξ : sum over final state particles within acceptance

$${ ilde \xi}^+ = rac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \sum_{\eta < 4.9} E^i + p^i_z, \qquad { ilde \xi}^- = rac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \sum_{-4.9 < \eta} E^i - p^i_z$$

- good approximation of the true ξ in SD events at low ξ
- no explicit rapidity gap selection, but low ξ implies a large gap

Hard diffractive dijets

CMS result

- inclusive dijet cross section as function of ξ
 - fully corrected for detector effects
 - excess observed at low ξ w.r.t. non-diffractive models PYTHIA6 and PYTHIA8
 - POMPYT and POMWIG (LO) diffractive MC's and NLO calculation from POWHEG, are a factor ~5 above the data in lowest ξ bin
 - PYTHIA8 diffractive cross
 sections are considerably
 lower due to different
 Pomeron flux parametrization
- Normalization discrepancies give upper limit predictions (corrected for DD) to rapidity gap survival probability:
 - $\langle S^2 \rangle_{data/MC} = 0.12 \pm 0.05$ (LO MC)
 - $\langle S^2 \rangle_{data/MC} = 0.08 \pm 0.04$ (NLO MC)



Pierre Van Mechelen - Universiteit Antwerpen

Summary

Soft and hard diffraction has been established at the LHC

- rapidity gap cross section
- total, single- and doublediffractive inelastic cross sections
- hard diffractive dijet and W production

MC models in general should be improved to be able to describe diffractive interactions in detail

Some open questions/next steps:

• What is the link between rapidity gap survival and multi-parton interactions?

Can this be used to describe gap survival in MC models?

- Search for central exclusive production (double Pomeron exchange) at the LHC
- Use of proton taggers in diffractive studies

Further reading

- "Measurements of inelastic, single- and double-diffraction cross sections in pp collisions at the LHC with ALICE", Eur. Phys. J. C (arXiv:1208.4968)
- "Rapidity gap cross sections measured with the ATLAS detector in pp collisions at √s = 7 TeV", Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 1926 (arXiv: 1201.2808)
- "Measurement of the Inelastic Proton-Proton Cross-Section at √s=7 TeV with the ATLAS Detector", Nature Commun. 2 (2011) 463 (arXiv:1104.0326)
- "Observation of a diffractive contribution to dijet production in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s}=7$ TeV", Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 012006 (arXiv:1209.1805)
- "Forward Energy Flow, Central Charged-Particle Multiplicities, and Pseudorapidity Gaps in W and Z Boson Events from pp Collisions at √s= 7 TeV", Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 1839 (arXiv:1110.0181)