LHC Higgs boson results involving fermions





Xin Chen

University of Wisconsin-Madison on behalf of the ATLAS/CMS collaborations





Phenomenology 2013 Symposium University of Pittsburgh, 6-8 May 2013

The Standard Model



***** Quarks and Leptons interact via the exchange of force carriers

Interactions are controlled by symmetry: $SU(3)\otimes SU(2) \otimes U(1)$

Gauge symmetry \longrightarrow Particles massless

Gauge invariance is broken to generate particle mass -Higgs mechanism

r	t
g)	V (\$)
	Re(φ)
	$V(\phi) = -\mu^2 \phi^{\dagger} \phi + \lambda (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^2$

But the Higgs mass is not given by SM

Gravitation

Force

Strong

Electro-

Weak

Carrie Gluons (g **Electro**weak bosons (γ,W,Z)

The discovery of a Higgs-like particle



Phys. Lett. **B**716, 1-61 (2012)

The Higgs-like particle was observed in $\gamma\gamma$, ZZ \rightarrow 4l and WW \rightarrow 2l2v decays in the summer 2012 by both ATLAS and CMS

> However, we need to see Higgs fermionic decay modes to prove that it is a SM Higgs!

Branching ratios for a Higgs mass of 125 GeV:



channel	BR
bb	57.7%
WW	21.5%
ττ	6.3%
ZZ	2.6%
ŶŶ	0.23%

Higgs productions (SM and MSSM)





50% efficiency, about 2% fake rate is expected, for both ATLAS and CMS

$H \to \tau \tau$

Categories used for the $H \rightarrow$ tautau analysis:

ATLAS	CMS
2-jet VBF, 2-jet VH ($\tau_{_{lep}}\tau_{_{lep}}$ only)	2-jet VBF
Boosted Higgs (p _{T,H} >100)	1-jet high $p_{T} (\tau_{lep}, \tau_{h})$
1-jet inclusive ($\tau_{lep} \tau_{lep}$, $\tau_{lep} \tau_{h}$ only)	1-jet low $p_T (\tau_{lep}, \tau_h)$
0-jet ($\tau_{lep} \tau_h$ only)	0-jet (used as control sample only)

Higgs mass reconstruction:

• Take into account the tau decay kinematics and Missing $E_{_{T}}$ (MET) resolution • The number of parameters is larger than the number of observables – maximum likelihood scan/fit • The $m_{_{TT}}$ that maximizes the likelihood is the final mass

discriminant (ATLAS:MMC, CMS:SVFit)





7

expected limit is 0.77xSM, observed is 1.80xSM VH is included (cf. next slide)

Best-fit $\mu = 1.1 \pm 0.4$ at m_H = 125 GeV

$\mathrm{VH} \to (\mathrm{ll}/\mathrm{l}\nu)(\tau\tau)$

Process	$\ell\ell au_h$	$\ell \tau_h \tau_h$	$\ell\ell LL$
Reducible backgrounds	26.3 ± 4.7	20.8 ± 4.2	25.2 ± 10.0
WZ	35.3 ± 3.9	6.3 ± 0.9	25.2 ± 10.0
ZZ	2.5 ± 0.3	0.39 ± 0.08	27.2 ± 3.8
Total bkg.	64.1 ± 6.2	27.5 ± 4.3	52 ± 11
$VH \rightarrow V\tau\tau(m_H = 125 \text{GeV}/c^2)$	3.6 ± 0.4	1.2 ± 0.2	2.1 ± 0.2
$VH \rightarrow VWW \ (m_H = 125 \text{GeV} / c^2)$	0.50 ± 0.05	0	1.13 ± 0.09
Observed	65	36	66

CMS			
$ll \tau_h$	$\mu\mu\tau_h$, $e\mu\tau_h$		
$l \tau_h \tau_h$	$e \tau_h \tau_h$, $\mu \tau_h \tau_h$		
llLL	lleμ, lle τ_h , llμ τ_h , ll τ_h τ_h		

			$H \rightarrow b \overline{b}$		
q q	$Z^{0} \rightarrow W$ $H^{0} \rightarrow bl$	/ D	$q \qquad W^+ \rightarrow W^+ \rightarrow H^0 \rightarrow h^0$	lv ob	$\begin{array}{c} q \\ z^{0} \\ H^{0} \rightarrow bb \end{array}$
	$ZH \rightarrow v \bar{v} b \bar{b}$		$WH \rightarrow \ell \nu b \bar{b}$		$ZH \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- b \bar{b}$
	Signal region: 0-lepton large MET 2 b-tags		Signal region: 1-lepton large MET 2 b-tags		Signal region: 2-lepton, m _{LL} window low MET 2 b-tags

Control samples for background estimation (by simultaneous fit):

	Ζ(νν)Η	W(Iv)H	Z(II)H		
top $(t/t \overline{t})$	1 b-tag, m($b\overline{b}$), or j	1 b-tag, m($b\overline{b}$), or jet multiplicity			
W/Z+light jets		0/1 b-tag, m($b\overline{b}$)			
W/Z+b-jets	$1/2$ b-tag, m($b\overline{b}$)				
QCD	reverse the MET, MET-jet angle, or lepton isolation cuts				

 $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$

12

$\mathbf{H} \to b\, \overline{b}$

$t\,\overline{t}\,\mathbf{H} \to b\,\overline{b}$

ATLAS: use m($b \bar{b}$) or H_T^{had} as discriminants, and use kinematic reconstruction for 6+ j, 3/4+ b topology Only 1-lepton mode. Simultaneous fit

CMS: use MVA output (NN) as discriminants in each topology. Both 1 and 2-lepton modes. Simultaneous fit to NN

Topologies used (red ones are only used as control regions, not as signal regions) :

		ATLAS			
1-lepton	5 j, 3/4+ b	6+ j, 3/4+ b	4 j, 0/1/2+ b	5/6+ j, 2 b	
	CMS				
1-lepton	4 j, 3/4+ b	5 j, 3/4+ b	6 j, 2/3/4+ b		
2-lepton	2 j, 2 b	3+ j, 3+ b			

$t\,\overline{t}\,\mathbf{H} \to b\,\overline{b}$

$H \rightarrow \mu \mu$

SM couplings – boson vs fermion

 $\rm K_{_{\!\rm F}}$ and $\rm K_{_{\!\rm V}}$: coupling strength scale factors for fermions and bosons

Double minima are due to $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$: $K_{\gamma}^2 = |1.28 K_W - 0.28 K_t|^2$

17

$H \rightarrow \mu \mu$ (MSSM)

$H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ (MSSM)

m_{rt} [GeV]

Events are generally divided into b-tagged and b-vetoed regions for $b\overline{b}H$ and ggH productions (the b-jets in $b\overline{b}H$ is soft in p_T)

Analysis techniques from SM ττ search can be largely re-used: background estimation and mass reconstruction

	ATLAS				
еμ	exactly 1 b-tag	no b-tag			
eτ _h , μτ _h	20 <p<sub>T(j1)<50 GeV,</p<sub>	j1 is not b-			
$\tau_{h}^{}\tau_{h}^{}$	J1 IS D-tagged	luggeu			
	CMS				
eμ, μμ, eτ _h , μτ _h	1+ b-tag, 1-jet exclusive	no b-tag			

$H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ (MSSM)

With the discovery of a 125 GeV Higgs, MSSM is still compatible with either of the 2 CP-even Higgs (h, H) being the 125 GeV particle.

Both ATLAS and CMS used the MSSM m_h^{max} scenario: maximal mass for the lighter h

(refer to backup slide 28 for model independent limit on σxBR)

$H^+ \rightarrow \tau \nu \text{ (MSSM)}$

Light H[±] is most copiously produced in the t \rightarrow H⁺b decay in the $t \bar{t}$ production. It alter the rate of taus in the final states, and/or distributions such as MET and m₋:

ATLAS: using a ratio method, better $B(t \rightarrow H^+b)$ limits are achieved (backup slide 29)

Higgs fermionic decay summary

	ATLAS		CMS	
SM $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$	17.6 fb ⁻¹	<1.9xSM µ=0.7±0.7	24.3 fb ⁻¹	<1.8xSM µ=1.1±0.4
SM VH \rightarrow (II/Iv)($\tau\tau$)			24.5 fb ⁻¹	<3.9xSM
SM $H \rightarrow b \overline{b}$	17.6 fb ⁻¹	<1.8xSM	17.1 fb ⁻¹	<2.5xSM
		μ =-0.4 ±1.1		μ =1.3 $^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$
SM $t \overline{t} H \rightarrow b \overline{b}$	4.7 fb ⁻¹	<13.1xSM	10.1 fb ⁻¹	<5.8xSM
SM H→μμ	20.7 fb ⁻¹	<9.8xSM		
$MSSM \ H \to \mu \mu$	4.7 fb ⁻¹	tanβ-m _A plane	5.0 fb ⁻¹	tanβ-m _A plane
$MSSM H \rightarrow \tau\tau$	4.7 fb ⁻¹	σxBR upper limit	17 fb ⁻¹	σxBR upper limit
MSSM $H^+ \rightarrow \tau v$	4.6 fb ⁻¹	B(t \rightarrow H ⁺ b)<1-5% tan β - m_{H^+} plane	2.3 fb ⁻¹	B(t \rightarrow H ⁺ b)<2-4% tan β -m _{H⁺} plane

Caveat: some search results are not covered in this talk: MSSM $H \rightarrow b \overline{b}$ (CMS), $H^+ \rightarrow c \overline{s}$ (ATLAS), and the more exotic signatures such as $H^{++} \rightarrow I^+I^+$, $a_0 \rightarrow \mu\mu$

Summary and outlook

★ Following the discovery of a Higgs-like particle in the bosonic decay channels, it is very important to look for its fermionic decays to prove it is a SM Higgs, or something else such as MSSM Higgs

★ No Higgs signal has been confirmed in any fermionic decay channels yet. This is partially because these modes are in general more complex in topology, involve MET, or have larger backgrounds. Consistent limits on these decays have been set by ATLAS and CMS (although data luminosities used are not)

* Prospects for the fermionic modes:

 Not all channels have the full 2011+2012 data used. Several results will be updated with complete data analysis throughout 2013

• More precise measurements will be carried out in the 14 TeV collisions and the LHC high luminosity phase. They will be combined with the bosonic decays for the mapping of the coupling strengths across all decay modes

stay tuned for more exciting news from LHC !

Backup Slides

$Z \to \tau \tau$ background for $H \to \tau \tau$

Rightarrow Z → ττ (dominant background) is estimated from data using embedding:

The Higgs signal is sitting on the right shoulder of the $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ peak. It is very important to use embedding to model this background well

1) Replace muons from $Z \to \mu \mu$ data by taus and decay the taus

2) Embed the simulated tau decay products into the original event

The local p-values for $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$

Some hints of $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ in both ATLAS and CMS in the local p-value or significance, but more data is needed for a better interpretation

 $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ (MSSM)

Model independent upper limit on $\sigma xBR(H \rightarrow \tau \tau, \mu \mu)$ in MSSM

$H^+ \rightarrow \tau \nu \text{ (MSSM)}$

ATLAS: using a ratio method ($e\tau_h/e\mu$, $\mu\tau_h/\mu e$), the systematics is substantially reduced. If combined with τ_h +jets, better B(t \rightarrow H⁺b) limits of 0.8-3.4% are achieved