Astrophysics-Independent Analysis of Direct Detection Data

Paolo Gondolo University of Utah

The principle of direct detection

Dark matter particles that arrive on Earth scatter off nuclei in a detector

Goodman, Witten 1985

> Dark matter particle



CDMS EDELVVEISS DAMA CRESST KIMS DRIFT XENON COUPP CoGeNT TARP DMTPC TEXONO PANDA-X

Low-background underground detector

Direct dark matter searches (2013)





Bernabei et al (DAMA) 1997-10



Annually modulated.....

Drukier,

Freese.

Spergel

1986

Aalseth et al (CoGeNT) 1106.0650

.....and unmodulated

232 km

Caveat: "Rates look





Normalized Timing



⁸ Anglehor et al (CRESST) 2011







Not so many events

Adapted from Aprile et al (XENON-100) 2012

Angle et al (XENON10) 2013





No events in CDEX (same target as CoGeNT and CDMS-Ge) Zhao et al (CDEX) 2013





"We consider DAMA/ LIBRA and CRESST-II more difficult to interpret at this time" XENON100 detects events too!

Is XENON I 00's sensitivity overestimated?

Hooper 2013



DM-nucleus elastic scattering



Nuclear recoil

Particle physics model

$$\begin{pmatrix} event \\ rate \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} detector \\ response \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} particle \\ physics \end{pmatrix} \times (astrophysics)$$

Is a nuclear recoil detectable?

Counting efficiency, energy resolution, scintillation response, etc.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{detector} \\ \text{response} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{G}(E, E_R)$$

Probability of detecting an event with energy (or number of photoelectrons) E, given an event occurred with recoil energy E_R .

Particle physics model



What force couples dark matter to nuclei?

Coupling to nucleon number density, nucleon spin density, ...



Astrophysics model

$$\begin{pmatrix} event \\ rate \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} detector \\ response \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} particle \\ physics \end{pmatrix} \times (astrophysics)$$

How much dark matter comes to Earth?



Annual modulation



$$\eta(v_{\min}, t) = \eta_0(v_{\min}) + \eta_1(v_{\min}) \, \cos(\omega t + \varphi)$$



Recoil spectrum

The recoil spectrum (scattering rate per unit target mass)

$$\frac{dR}{dE_R} = \frac{1}{m_T} \frac{\rho_{\chi}}{m_{\chi}} \int_{v > v_{\min}} v^2 \frac{d\sigma}{dE_R} \frac{f(\mathbf{v})}{v} d^3 \mathbf{v}$$

Recoil spectrum

The recoil spectrum (scattering rate per unit target mass)

$$\frac{dR}{dE_R} = \frac{1}{m_T} \frac{\rho_{\chi}}{m_{\chi}} \int_{v > v_{\min}} v^2 \frac{d\sigma}{dE_R} \frac{f(\mathbf{v})}{v} d^3 \mathbf{v}$$

Traditionally, $v^2 d\sigma/dE_R = \text{const} \times (\text{nuclear form factor})$, with the same coupling to protons and neutrons (spin-independent case)

$$\frac{dR}{dE_R} = \frac{A^2 F^2(E_R)}{2\mu_{\chi p}^2} \,\tilde{\eta}(v_{\min})$$

with
$$\tilde{\eta}(v_{\min}) = \frac{\sigma_{\chi p}}{m_{\chi}} \eta(v_{\min}) = \sigma_{\chi p} \frac{\rho_{\chi}}{m_{\chi}} \int_{v_{\min}}^{\infty} \frac{f(\mathbf{v})}{v} d^3 v$$

Recoil spectrum

The recoil spectrum (scattering rate per unit target mass)

$$\frac{dR}{dE_R} = \frac{1}{m_T} \frac{\rho_{\chi}}{m_{\chi}} \int_{v > v_{\min}} v^2 \frac{d\sigma}{dE_R} \frac{f(\mathbf{v})}{v} d^3 \mathbf{v}$$

In trying to explain the data, modify the cross section

- set different couplings to neutrons and protons ("isospin-violating")
- put additional velocity or energy dependence in $v^2 d\sigma/dE_R$

or modify the velocity distribution.

Isospin-violating dark matter

Spin-independent couplings to protons stronger than to neutrons allow modulation signals compatible with other null searches

Kurylov, Kamionkowski 2003; Giuliani 2005; Cotta et al 2009; Chang et al 2010; Kang et al 2010; Feng et al 2011; Del Nobile et al 2011;

Why $f_n/f_p = -0.7$ suppresses the coupling to Xe coupling $Nf_n + Zf_p \approx 0$ for $f_n/f_p \approx -Z/N$



Particle physics model

Energy and/or velocity dependent scattering cross sections

nucleus	DM	$v^2 d\sigma/dE_R$	
		light mediator	heavy mediator
"charge"	"charge"	$1/E_{R}^{2}$	$1/M^{4}$
"charge"	dipole	$1/E_R$	E_R/M^4
dipole	dipole	$const + E_R/v^2$	E_{R}^{2}/M^{4}

All terms may be multiplied by nuclear or DM form factors $F(E_R)$

See e.g. Barger, Keung, Marfatia 2010; Fornengo, Panci, Regis 2011; An et al 2011

Astrophysics model: velocity distribution

We know very little about the dark matter velocity distribution





Cosmological N-Body simulations including baryons are challenging





Extract $\tilde{\eta}(v_{\min})$ from dR/dE_R (both measurements and upper limits).

Fox, Liu, Weiner 2011

$$\tilde{\eta}(v_{\min}) = \frac{2\mu_{\chi p}^2}{A^2 F^2(E_R)} \frac{dR}{dE_R}$$



Alternative approach: solve the recoil rate equation for $f(\mathbf{v})$

Fox, Kribs, Tait 2010

$$\frac{dR}{dE_R} = \frac{1}{m_T} \frac{\rho_{\chi}}{m_{\chi}} \int_{v > v_{\min}} v^2 \frac{d\sigma}{dE_R} \frac{f(\mathbf{v})}{v} d^3 \mathbf{v}$$

Requires derivatives of experimentally measured dR/dE_R , which is a notoriously unstable procedure.

All these ideas refer to the recoil spectrum dR/dE_R , which is not accessible to experiments because of energy-dependent efficiencies and energy resolution, and the fact that often only part of the recoil energy is actually measured.



Use quantities accessible to experiments, i.e., include effective energy response function. *Gondolo Gelmini* 1202.6359

Include effective energy response function.

Gondolo Gelmini 1202.6359; Del Nobile, Gelmini, Gondolo, Huh 1304.6183, 1306.5273



And integrate over measured energy intervals:

$$R_{[E_1, E_2]} = \int_{E_1}^{E_2} dE \, \frac{dR}{dE}$$

Include effective energy response function.

Gondolo Gelmini 1202.6359; Del Nobile, Gelmini, Gondolo, Huh 1304.6183, 1306.5273

• The measured rate is a "weighted average" of the astrophysical factor.



• Every experiment is sensitive to a "window in velocity space" given by the response function.

$$\mathcal{R}_{[E_1,E_2]}(v) = \int_{E_1}^{E_2} dE \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \int_0^{2\mu_T^2 v^2/m_T} dE_R \mathcal{G}(E,E_R) \frac{v^2}{\sigma_{\mathrm{ref}} m_T} \frac{d\sigma}{dE_R}$$

Examples of response functions

Del Nobile, Gelmini, Gondolo, Huh 2013



Include effective energy response function.

Gondolo Gelmini 1202.6359; Del Nobile, Gelmini, Gondolo, Huh 1304.6183, 1306.5273

Measure or bound astrophysics factor in velocity interval $[v_1, v_2]$

$$\overline{\tilde{\eta}}_{[v_1,v_2]} = \frac{R_{[E_1,E_2]}^{\text{measured}}}{\int_0^\infty \mathcal{R}_{[E_1,E_2]}(v_{\min}) \, dv_{\min}}$$

$$\tilde{\eta}(v) < \frac{R_{[E_1, E_2]}^{\text{upper limit}}}{\int_0^v \mathcal{R}_{[E_1, E_2]}(v_{\min}) \, dv_{\min}}$$



Isospin-violating dark matter



Dark matter coupled differently to protons and neutrons may have a chance

Notice that the CDMS-Si events lie "below" the CoGeNT/ DAMA modulation amplitudes

Anomalous magnetic moment dark matter



Halo modifications alone cannot save the MDM signal regions from the Xe bounds

CDMS-Si event rate is similar to yearly modulated rates

Still depends on particle model

Del Nobile, Gelmini, Gondolo, Huh 2013

Conclusions

- New generalized astrophysics-independent method to analyze direct detection data.
- Results depend on particle model: mass and type of interaction.
- Tension between XENON upper limits and CoGeNT/DAMA modulation amplitudes
- General tension: CDMS-Si events occur at a rate comparable to the DAMA and CoGeNT annual modulation amplitudes (large modulation?)