Ultra-high energy photons and the tests of Lorentz-invariance

G.I. Rubtsov, P.S. Satunin and S.M. Sibiryakov Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences

25th Rencontres de Blois Particle Physics and Cosmology Blois, May 27, 2013



- Introduction
- LIV model and interactions
- Accelerator and astro-physics constraints
- Constraints with UHE photons

Introduction: UHE photons and Lorentz invariance

Why Lorentz invariance violation?

- Several approaches to quantum gravity predict LIV at high energies (e.g. Horava-Lifshitz).
- Why ultra-high energy cosmic rays?
 - The largest observable energy in the laboratory system: up to 10¹¹ GeV
- Why photons?
 - Photon and electron are observed fundamental particles.
 - Interactions are well understood (QED).

Introduction: UHE photons and Lorentz invariance

Why Lorentz invariance violation?

- Several approaches to quantum gravity predict LIV at high energies (e.g. Horava-Lifshitz).
- Why ultra-high energy cosmic rays?
 - The largest observable energy in the laboratory system: up to 10¹¹ GeV
- Why photons?
 - Photon and electron are observed fundamental particles.
 - Interactions are well understood (QED).

Introduction: UHE photons and Lorentz invariance

Why Lorentz invariance violation?

- Several approaches to quantum gravity predict LIV at high energies (e.g. Horava-Lifshitz).
- Why ultra-high energy cosmic rays?
 - The largest observable energy in the laboratory system: up to 10¹¹ GeV
- Why photons?
 - Photon and electron are observed fundamental particles.
 - Interactions are well understood (QED).

Historical intro: GZK effect

Greisen, 1966; Zatsepin, Kuzmin, 1966 End of Cosmic Ray Spectrum predicted for $E \gtrsim 10^{19.7}$ eV.

$$p + \gamma_{2.7K} \rightarrow \Delta(1232) \rightarrow n + \pi^+$$



AGASA spectrum, 2003

 $\rightarrow p + \pi^0$

Takeda, M. et al., Astropart. Phys 19(2003)

- Expected 1.9 event
- Observed 11 events above 10²⁰ eV

Historical intro: GZK cut-off evasion

$$p + \gamma_{2.7K} \rightarrow \Delta(1232) \rightarrow n + \pi^+ \rightarrow p + \pi^0$$

If Lorentz-invariance is broken, the reaction threshold may be upshifted ⇒ no observed cut-off.

Coleman, Glashow, Phys.Rev.D 59, 1999

After: cut-off observed in three independent experiments: HiRes: PRL 100 (2008), Astropart. Phys. 32 (2010) Pierre Auger: PRL 101 (2008) & Phys. Lett. B 685 (2010) Telescope Array: Astrophys.J. 768 (2013) L1

Historical intro: GZK cut-off evasion

$$p + \gamma_{2.7K} \rightarrow \Delta(1232) \rightarrow n + \pi^+ \rightarrow p + \pi^0$$

If Lorentz-invariance is broken, the reaction threshold may be upshifted ⇒ no observed cut-off.

Coleman, Glashow, Phys.Rev.D 59, 1999

After: cut-off observed in three independent experiments: HiRes: PRL 100 (2008), Astropart. Phys. 32 (2010) Pierre Auger: PRL 101 (2008) & Phys. Lett. B 685 (2010) Telescope Array: Astrophys.J. 768 (2013) L1

Intro: UHECR experiments today



Intro: UHECR experiments today

Yakutsk array



Pierre Auger



Telescope Array







Ultra-high energy photons

 10¹⁸ – 10¹⁹ photons are everpresent secondaries of GZK process

$$p + \gamma_{2.7K} \rightarrow \Delta(1232) \rightarrow n + \pi^+ \rightarrow p + \pi^0$$

$$\pi^{\circ} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$$

Λ

 No GZK photons observed yet, but existing limits are coming close to predicted flux

Note: GZK-photons are produced effectively only in case of proton primaries while UHECR composition is generally unknown (there is disagreement between experiments)

UHE photon search status



line - proposed sensitivity for 2015

J. Alvarez-Muñiz et al., Review of Multimessenger WG, UHECR 2012, CERN

A chance to observe cosmogenic UHE photons soon

Model

We consider Lorentz-violated QED with dispersion relations:

$$egin{aligned} \gamma &: \quad E_{\gamma}^2 &= k^2 + rac{\xi k^4}{M_{PI}^2} \ e^{\pm} &: \quad E_e^2 &= p^2(1+2arkappa) + m^2 + rac{2gp^4}{M_{PI}^2} \end{aligned}$$

- Dispersion relations are considered as an effective theory
- We look for possible large violation: $|\xi|, |g| \gg 1$
- Violation in hadronic sector is not discussed here

Effective mass may be defined as:

$$egin{aligned} m_{ extsf{eff},\gamma}^2(k) &= rac{\xi k^4}{M_{ extsf{Pl}}^2} \ m_{ extsf{eff},e^\pm}^2(p) &= m^2 + rac{2gp^4}{M_{ extsf{Pl}}^2} \end{aligned}$$

QED reactions, $\xi = 0, g = 0$



 $E_{\gamma}^2 = k^2 + \xi k^4/M_{Pl}^2$ $E_e^2 = p^2 + m^2 + 2gp^4/M_{Pl}^2$

QED reactions, $\xi = 0, g < -1$



 $E_{\gamma}^2 = k^2 + \xi k^4 / M_{Pl}^2$ $E_e^2 = p^2 + m^2 + 2gp^4 / M_{Pl}^2$

QED reactions, $\xi > 1$, g = 0



 $E_{\gamma}^2 = k^2 + \xi k^4/M_{Pl}^2$ $E_e^2 = p^2 + m^2 + 2gp^4/M_{Pl}^2$

QED reactions, $\xi < -1$, g = 0



QED reactions, $\xi = 0, g > 1$



 $\gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{3}\gamma$

$$\Gamma(\gamma \to 3\gamma) \simeq \left(\frac{2\xi_{em}^2}{45}\right)^2 \frac{1}{3!2^{11}\pi^9} \frac{\xi^5 E_{\gamma}^{19}}{m^8 M_{Pl}^{10} \left(1 + \varkappa \frac{E_{\gamma}^2}{m^2} + 2g \frac{E_{\gamma}^4}{m^2 M_{Pl}^2}\right)^4} \cdot f$$
• no threshold
• rapidly grows with energy
• photons escape detection

Gelmini, Nussinov, Yaguna, JCAP 0506, 2005

Laboratory limits

LEP understands very well synchrotron energy losses of electrons with E = 91 GeV. Synchrotron energy losses per turn:

$$\Delta E = \frac{4\pi e^2}{3r} \left(\frac{1}{1-v^2}\right)^2$$

- v electron group velocity, r = 4300 m.
 - The losses are known up fractional uncertainty 6×10^{-4} .
 - This results in the constraint on x:

$$|\varkappa| < 4 \times 10^{-15}$$

Altschul, Phys.Rev.D 80, 2009

- Spectroscopy of atomic dysprosium
 - two nearly degenerate states: $4f^{10}5d6s$ and $4f^{9}5d^{2}6s$
 - strongest constraints on electron speed of light

$$|\varkappa| < 10^{-17}$$

Hohensee et al., arXiv:1303.2747

Astrophysics limits

Two peaks in Crab Nebula's photon spectrum are believed to be a product of 1500 TeV electrons: synchrotron and inverse Compton. The fit of the synchrotron spectrum gives:

Liberati et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 109, 2012

Timing of transient events (GRB, AGN flares). Compare arrival time of photons with different energies.

 $|\xi| < 3.6 imes 10^{16}$

HESS Collaboration, Astropart. Phys. 34:738-747, 2011

Observation of 50 TeV photons from Crab (2 kpc) limits γ splitting:

$$\xi < 10^{11}$$

Gelmini, Nussinov, Yaguna, JCAP 0506, 2005

• Photons undergo e^+e^- pair production on intergalactic

backgrounds (CMB, IR, radio).

- In LIV case, the threshold of the reaction may be upshifted and Universe becomes transparent for UHE photons.
- In the latter case, expected flux on Earth exceeds alreasy existing limits.

$$\xi - g/2 > -10^{-6}$$

Galaverni, Sigl, Phys.Rev.D. 78, 2008

UHE photons: pair production ON



UHE photons: pair production OFF



What we learn if we detect several UHE photons?

- Photon doesn't decay: $\xi g/2 < 10^{-8}$
- Photon doesn't split
- Photon interacts with the atmosphere
- We possibly detect interaction with geomagnetic field (PRESHOWER), which is sensitive to Lorentz violation *P. Satunin, arXiv:1301.5707*

What we learn if we detect several UHE photons?

- Photon doesn't decay: $\xi g/2 < 10^{-8}$
- Photon doesn't split
- Photon interacts with the atmosphere
- We possibly detect interaction with geomagnetic field (PRESHOWER), which is sensitive to Lorentz violation *P. Satunin, arXiv:1301.5707*

Pair production in the Coulomb field

Bethe-Heitler process (LI)

$$\sigma_{BH} = \frac{28Z^2\alpha^3}{9m^2} \left(\log\frac{183}{Z^{1/3}} - \frac{1}{42}\right)$$

► LV:

$$\sigma_{BH}^{LV} \simeq \frac{8Z^2\alpha^3}{3k|\omega_{LV}|}\log\frac{1}{\alpha Z^{1/3}} \cdot \log\frac{k|\omega_{LV}|}{m^2}, \quad k\omega_{LV} \ll -m^2$$
$$\omega_{LV} = -\varkappa k + \frac{\xi k^3}{2M^2} - \frac{gk^3}{M^2}.$$

GR, Satunin, Sibiryakov, Phys.Rev.D 86 2012

 Cross-section suppression leads to non-observation of photons or deeper photon showers

LIV constraints from atmosphere interaction

- If one detects UHE photon, one knows X_{max} (directly or indirectly)
- X_{max} is determined by σ_{BH}^{LV}
- If LI holds: detection of 2 photons will show that the cross-section is close to QED prediction
- Limit achievable with two 10¹⁹ eV photons (barring accidental cancelations): κ < 10⁻²⁵, g < 10⁻⁷, ξ < −2 · 10⁻⁷ /PRELIMINARY/ GR.Satunin,Sibiryakov, to appear

- Photon interaction in the atmosphere is sensitive to Lorentz invariance
- Pair production cross-section on the Coulomb field is calculated in LV QED
- Detection of several UHE photons will place strongest constraints on Lorentz invariance violation

Backup slides

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= i ar{\psi} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi - m ar{\psi} \psi - rac{1}{4} F_{\mu
u} F^{\mu
u} \ &+ i arkappa ar{\psi} \gamma^i D_i \psi + rac{i g}{M^2} D_j ar{\psi} \gamma^i D_i D_j \psi + rac{\xi}{4M^2} F_{kj} \partial_i^2 F^{kj} \ , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_{\mu}\psi = (\partial_{\mu} + ieA_{\mu})\psi$$
 .