







LPT-Orsay

BSM Neutrino Physics confronted to LHC

- Image: Neutrino data call for BSM
- Neutrino mass generation mechanisms
- Impact on observables, direct and indirect signatures
- High-energy / low-energy Complementarity

Les Rencontres de Blois, 26-31 May 2013

Asmaa Abada

Solar	$\Delta m_{\rm sol}^2 \simeq 7.6 \times 10^{-5} \ {\rm eV}^2$	SNO, BOREXino, Super-Kamiokande,	
$ u_e ightarrow u_{\mu, au}$	$\sin^2 \theta_{\rm sol} \simeq 0.30$	GALLEX/GNO, SAGE, Homestake, Kamiokande	
Atmospheric		IMB, MAcro, Soudan-2,	
$ u_\mu o u_ au$		Kamiokande, Super-Kamiokande	
LBL Accelerator	$\Delta m^2_{atm} \simeq 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \ \mathrm{eV}^2$		
$ u_{\mu}$ disappearance	$\sin^2\theta_{\rm atm}\simeq 0.50$	K2K, T2K, MINOS	
LBL Accelerator			
$ u_\mu o u_ au$		Opera	
LBL Accelerator			
$ u_{\mu} ightarrow u_{e}$	$\Delta m^2_{\sf atm}$	T2K, MINOS	
LBL Reactor	$\sin^2 heta_{Chooz} \simeq 0.023$	Daya Bay, RENO	
$ar{ u}_e$ disappearance		Double Chooz	
SBL Accelerator			
$ u_\mu(ar u_\mu) o u_e(ar u_e)$	$\Delta m^2 \simeq 1 \mathrm{eV}^2$ (?)	LSND, MiniBooNE	
SBL Reactor	$\sin^2 \theta \simeq 0.1$ (?)	++ Solar: GALLEX, SAGE++	
$ar{ u}_e$ disappearance		Bugey, ILL, Rovno,	

\blacksquare Facts: ν change flavours after propagating a finite distance

 \square Indisputable: ν s are massive and mix

→ The minimal SM is incomplete!

 \triangleright ν mixings pour oil on fire: add to the fermion flavour puzzle!

$$U_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^2/2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2/2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda \sim 0.2, A \simeq 0.8, \rho \simeq 0.1, \eta \simeq 0.4$$

 \rightarrow Quarks: small mixing angles, 1 Dirac CPV phase

$$U_{PMNS} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{13}c_{12} & c_{13}s_{12} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -c_{23}s_{12} - s_{23}s_{13}c_{12}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{12} - s_{23}s_{13}s_{12}e^{i\delta} & -s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{23}s_{12} - c_{23}s_{13}c_{12}e^{i\delta} & -s_{23}c_{12} - c_{23}s_{13}s_{12}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \times \operatorname{diag}\left(1, e^{i\alpha}, e^{i\beta}\right),$$

 \rightarrow Leptons: 2 large mixing angles, 1 Dirac + 2 Majorana CPV phases

 \Rightarrow Different mixing pattern for Leptons and Quarks Is this related to lepton number violation and Majorana nature of ν s? \triangleright ν data make fermion hierarchy worse!

 $\rightarrow \quad Why \ \nu \ are \ so \ light?$



What is the absolute neutrino mass scale?

Oscillations	$m_{\nu_i} > \sqrt{\Delta m_{\rm atm}^2} \sim 5 \times 10^{-2} \ {\rm eV}$	
Cosmology	$\sum_{i} m_{ u_i} \lesssim \mathrm{eV}$	
Tritium	$m_{\nu_e} \lesssim 2.2 \text{ eV}$	

Are there some extra fermionic gauge singlets (steriles)?



$3-\nu$ mixing scheme

 $3+?-\nu$ mixing schemes



- \square SM cannot accommodate all these (ν) data $\rightarrow \nu$ -SM (BSM)
- $\bowtie \nu$ -SM just to explain ν masses and mixings
 - Need other d.o.f, for instance Right-Handed Neutrinos
 - ν can be of Majorana nature \rightarrow New physics scale \neq EW scale
 - what is the rôle of leptonic CP Violating phases? and what are they?
 - what is the hierarchy in the light neutrino spectrum?
 - unitarity violation? NSI, ...
- \bowtie ν -SM will allow for many new phenomena
 - LFV in neutral sector. Why not in the charged sector? $\ell_i \rightarrow \ell_j \ \ell_k \ \ell_l$, $\ell_i \rightarrow \ell_j \gamma$, ...
 - Contributions to g-2, Lepton EDMs
 - Collider searches for new heavy states ...
- ${\ensuremath{\mathbb S}}{\ensuremath{\mathbb S}}$ SM has other issues that call for BSM
 - observational problems (ν masses & mixings): BAU and Dark Matter
 - theoretical caveats: fine-tuning, hierarchy and flavour problems
 - Determination of ν -SM/BSM model requires combinations of \neq observables



Bow to proceed? Ingredients, Observables, Strategies

► Ingredients:

- 1. mass generation mechanism (seesaw, radiative corrections, extra dim, ...)
- 2. extension of SM: SM + new d.o.f, or BSM (e.g. SUSY, ...)

Observables (peculiar to these extensions):

- Produce directly new d.o.f at LHC (if accessible)
- or study impact of 1. (and 1. + 2.) on e.g. cLFV observables at low- energy (MEG, ...) and high-energy (LHC, LC)

Probe New Physics: interplay between low- and high-energy observables [cLFV]

Observables: Lepton Flavour Violation

Many candidate observables! (No SM theoretical background!)

- ▶ Rare leptonic decays and transitions $(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma, \mu \rightarrow eee, \mu e \text{ (Nuclei)}, ...)$
- Meson decays: violation of lepton flavour universality, LFV final states lepton Number violating decays
- ► Rare (new) heavy particle decays (typically model-dependent): $\Delta^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \mu_i^{\pm} \tau_j^{\pm}, \chi_2^0 \rightarrow \chi_1^0 \tau \mu, H \rightarrow \tau \mu, ...$

impact of LFV for new physics searches at colliders, ...

► CP violation in the leptonic sector

Lepton Flavour Violation

► A world-wide experimental effort > 60 years!



Process	present bound	future	
$\mu ightarrow e\gamma$	2.4×10^{-12}	10^{-14}	MEG at PSI
$\mu \rightarrow eee$	1.0×10^{-12}	10^{-14}	Mu3e at PSI
$\mu - e$ (Au)	7×10^{-13}	-	SINDRUM-II
$\mu - e$ (Al)	-	10^{-16}	Mu2e/COMET
$\mu - e$ (Ti)	4.3×10^{-12}	10^{-18}	PRISM
$\tau \rightarrow e\gamma$	1.1×10^{-7}	$10^{-9} - 10^{-10}$	super KEKB/B
$\tau \rightarrow e\gamma$	3.6×10^{-8}	$10^{-9} - 10^{-10}$	super KEKB/B
$\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma$	4.5×10^{-8}	$10^{-9} - 10^{-10}$	super KEKB/B
$\tau \to \mu \mu \mu$	3.2×10^{-8}	$10^{-9} - 10^{-10}$	super KEKB/B

(super) LHCb

cLFV: observables of New Physics

- ► In the **absence of cLFV** (and other) **signals**:
 - ⇒ constraints on parameter space (scale and couplings)
 - \Rightarrow i.e. constraint the neutrino mass generation mechanism
- cLFV observed: compare with peculiar features of given model
 - ⇒ predictions for cLFV observables
 - ⇒ intrinsic patterns of correlations of observables

Generic BSM general MSSM, LHT, RS Extra D, ...

 $\blacktriangleright \text{ Which New Physics ?} \qquad \qquad \text{cLFV from } m_{\nu} \begin{cases} \text{SM seesaw (TeV scale) - type II & inverse seesaw} \\ \text{Extended frameworks - SUSY seesaw, GUTs, ...} \end{cases}$

► Use Effective Approach to study a given observable (cLFV) - Model Independent

Effective approach

- ▶ Neutrino masses require new fields (or extremely tiny Y_{ν})
- ► Effects at low energy: effective theorie approach Effective operators obtained when expanding the heavy field propagators in $\frac{1}{M}$ INF heavy fermion: $\frac{1}{D-M} \sim -\frac{1}{M} - \frac{1}{M} D \frac{1}{M} + ...$ INF heavy scalar : $\frac{1}{D^2 - M^2} \sim -\frac{1}{M^2} - \frac{D^2}{M^4} + ...$



Distinguishing among BSM of Majorana neutrinos

• The $\mathcal{O}^{d=5}$ operator is the same for all extensions incorporating massive MAJORANA neutrinos

• To distinguish among the SM extensions

Reference Study phenomenological fingerprints of $\mathcal{O}^{d=6}$ operators (differ from model to model)

e.g. $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$, $\ell \rightarrow \ell_i \ell_j \ell_k$, $\mu - e$ CR, unitarity violation, NSI, EW precision, t physics (LHC), ...

ISS A specific example: Seesaw type II

Generating tree level $\mathcal{O}^{d=5}$: Seesaw I, II, III







type I (fermionic singlet) $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = -\frac{1}{2}v^2 Y_N^T \frac{1}{M_N} Y_N \qquad \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = -2v^2 Y_\Delta \frac{\mu_\Delta}{M_A^2} \qquad \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = -\frac{v^2}{2} Y_\Sigma^T \frac{1}{M_\Sigma} Y_\Sigma$

type II (scalar triplet)

Minkowski, Gell-Man, Ramond, Slansky Yanagida, Glashow Mohapatra, Senjanovic Magg, Wetterich, Nussinov Mohapatra, Senjanovic Schechter, Valle Ma, Sarkar

type III (fermionic triplet)

Ma, Hambye et al. Bajc, Senjanovic, Lin A.A., Biggio, Bonnet, Gavela, Notari, Strumia, Papucci, Dorsn Fileviez-Perez, Foot, Lew...

Case of scalar triplet (type II)



$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{++} \\ \Delta^{+} \\ \Delta^{0} \end{pmatrix} \sim (1, 3, 2) \qquad L_{\Delta} = -2$$

Yukawa couplings:

$$Y_{\Delta ij}\overline{(l_L)}^c_{\ ia} (l_L)_{jb} (i\tau_2\tau_\alpha)_{ab} \Delta^\alpha + h.c.$$

 $\mu \phi_a^t \phi_b \left(i\tau_2 \tau_\alpha \right) \left(\Delta^\dagger \right)^\alpha + h.c.$ $-\frac{M_\Delta^2}{\Delta} \Delta^\dagger \Delta - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 \left(\Delta^\dagger \Delta \right)^2$ $-\lambda_3 \left(\phi^\dagger \phi \right) \left(\Delta^\dagger \Delta \right) + \dots$

d=5 Operator (Mass) $m_{\nu} = v^2 Y_{\Delta} \frac{\mu}{M_{\Delta}^2} \rightarrow 2$ different scales μ , M_{Δ} possible to have $Y_{\Delta} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ $M_{\Delta} \sim 1 \text{ TeV} (\mu \sim 100 \text{ eV})$ Low energy effects of dimension 6 operators:

$$\frac{1}{2M_{\Delta}^2} Y_{\Delta ij} Y_{\Delta kl}^{\dagger} \left(\overline{l_{Li}} \gamma^{\mu} l_{Lk} \right) \left(\overline{l_{Lj}} \gamma_{\mu} l_{Ll} \right) \rightarrow \mathsf{LFV}, \ g-2, \ \mathsf{EDMs}$$
constraints not suppressed by μ

$$-2\frac{\mu^{2}}{M_{\Delta}^{4}}\partial_{\mu}\left(\phi^{\dagger}\phi\right)\partial^{\mu}\left(\phi^{\dagger}\phi\right)$$

$$2\lambda_{3}\frac{\mu^{2}}{M_{\Delta}^{4}}\left(\phi^{\dagger}\phi\right)^{3}$$

$$4\frac{\mu^{2}}{M_{\Delta}^{4}}\left[\phi^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\phi\right]^{\dagger}\left[\phi^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\phi\right]$$

→ EW precision data, couplings to gauge bosons

 $-2\frac{\mu^2}{M_A^4} \left(\phi^{\dagger}\phi\right) \left\{ Y_e \overline{l} e_R \phi + Y_d \overline{q} d\phi - Y_u \overline{q} i\tau_2 u\phi + h.c. \right\} \rightarrow \text{top physics...}$

Reconstructing the Lagrangian?

- Scalar triplet: bounds from low energy constraints
- $Y_{\Delta} \lesssim 10^{-1} \times \left(\frac{M_{\Delta}}{1 \, {\rm TeV}}\right)$ or stronger
- If observation of $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ at MEG (sensitivity of 10⁻¹³?)
 - for $Y_{\Delta} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ \rightarrow 15 TeV $< M_{\Delta} < 50$ TeV
 - for $Y_{\Delta} \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-2}) \implies 0.15 \text{ TeV} < M_{\Delta} < 0.50 \text{ TeV}$
- Scalar triplet: bounds form LHC

If M_{Δ} turns out to be as low as $\mathcal{O}(\text{TeV}) \rightarrow \text{possibility of clean signals in colliders (LHC)}$

LHC constraints on scalar triplet

★ Production of Δ^{++} and Δ^{--} , decaying into pairs of same-sign leptons → striking signals, free from SM backgrounds

▶ Drell-Yann Production

$$\begin{cases}
M_{\Delta^{++}} \sim 200 \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow \sigma(\gamma^*, Z^* \to \Delta^{++} \Delta^{--}) \sim 100 \text{ fb} \\
M_{\Delta^{++}} \sim 900 \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow \sigma(\gamma^*, Z^* \to \Delta^{++} \Delta^{--}) \sim 0.1 \text{ fb}
\end{cases}$$

▶ Decay product

$$\begin{cases}
\Gamma(\Delta^{\pm\pm} \to W^{\pm} W^{\pm}) \sim \mu^2 M_{\Delta}^3 \\
\Gamma(\Delta^{\pm\pm} \to \ell_i^{\pm} \ \ell_j^{\pm}) \sim Y_{\Delta_{ij}} M_{\Delta}
\end{cases}$$

 \rightarrow LHC: so far, only negative search results \Rightarrow constraints on parameter space ($M_{\Delta}, \mu, Y_{\Delta}$)



Melfo et.al., arXiv:1108.4416

LFV predictions for ν mass spectrum

▶ LFV in high-energy (LHC) + low-energy observables (e.g $\mu \rightarrow eee$) ⇒ predictions for ν mass spectrum, CP phases ...



Garayoa, Schwetz, arXiv:0712.1453

If Δ observed, must verify whether a scalar-mediated seesaw is at work \Rightarrow observe in addition at least three LFV processes (to measure and disentangle the individual $Y_{\Delta ij}$ couplings)

[Reconstruction of the Lagrangian at best only partially...]

Generic examples of such BSM extensions $(m_{\nu} + \text{ cLFV})$

$\frac{1}{m_{\nu}} + \text{mixings and cLFV in Little Higgs models}$ (T-parity)

INFORMATION HIGGS IS A pseudo-Goldstone boson of spontaneously broken global symmetry

- ► SU(5) \rightarrow SO(5) (@ TeV scale); augmented gauge group $[SU(2) \times U(1)]^2$ \Rightarrow new (heavy) gauge bosons - A_H , Z_H , W_H^{\pm}
- ► **T** parity ⇒ prevents contributions to **EW observables** (tree-level)

Lightest T-odd particle stable +--- dark matter candidate

- ▶ New scale as low as 500 GeV [$f \sim \text{decay const of NL sigma model (NG)}$]
- Only 10 new parameters in flavour sector, only SM operators relevant
- **Sources of LFV** (m_{ν} and cLFV): couplings of leptons mirror leptons heavy gauge bosons



[Hubisz et al '05; Blanke et al '06-'09; Ray et al '07; Goto et al '09-'11, del Aguila et al '09-'10, ...]

\star m_{ν} + mixings and cLFV in Little Higgs models



- **Strong correlation** of some cLFV observables: $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\mu \rightarrow 3e$
- **Asymmetries** for polarised τ and μ decays $\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ chirality structure of LHT

[Goto et al, 1012.4385]

► Typically large contributions to cLFV \rightsquigarrow some fine-tuning required hierarchical mixing matrices $(V_{H\ell}, V_{H\nu})$, quasi degenerate states, ...

RS warped extra dimensions

Embed 4dim space-time into 5dim AdS space (extra dim compactified on orbifold)

- ► Two branes (UV, IR) and bulk between; $M_{\text{TeV}} = M_{\text{Planck}}e^{-\pi L_5}$
- ► Localise fields:

interactions *«* overlap of wave functions



Geometrical distribution of **fermions** in bulk:

hierarchy in 4dim Yukawas for "anarchic" $\mathcal{O}(1)$ couplings!

Circumvent pheno issues: enlarge bulk symmetry (prevent violation of custodial SU(2)); additional "rescue" ingredients to avoid excessive FCNCs, protect EW precision observables, ...

[Burdman '02; Agashe et al '04 -; Csaki et al '08; Blanke et al & Buras et al '08-'09]

\star m_{ν} + mixings and cLFV in RS warped extra dimensions



[[]Agashe et al, 0606021]

- CLFV processes mediated by KK-lepton excitations, new gauge fields
- ► Electroweak precision observables: $M_{KK} \ge 3$ TeV ;

cLFV: $M_{KK} > 10$ TeV (10 TeV only marginally compatible)

▶ Possible ways out... flavour structure (non-geometrical), increase gauge symmetry, ...

[Vempati et al, 1206.4383]

General Minimal Supersymmetric extension of the SM

► Supersymmetry is broken in Nature: different masses for SM particles and superpartners Generic soft-SUSY breaking terms introduce new sources of flavour violation (q and ℓ) non-diagonal masses for sleptons and sneutrinos $(M_{\tilde{\ell}}^2)_{ij} \neq 0!$ $(M_{\tilde{\nu}}^2)_{ij} \neq 0!$

► Misalignement of flavour and physical eigenstates: $R^{\tilde{\ell}^{\dagger}} M_{\tilde{\ell}}^2 R^{\tilde{\ell}} = \operatorname{diag}(m_{\tilde{\ell}_i}^2) \quad R^{\tilde{\ell}} \neq 1!$ $\{\tilde{e}_L, \tilde{\mu}_L, \tilde{\tau}_L, \tilde{e}_R, \tilde{\mu}_R, \tilde{\tau}_R\} \iff \{\tilde{\ell}_1, \dots, \tilde{\ell}_6\}$ manifest in neutral and charged lepton-slepton interactions ℓ_i ℓ_j, ν_j $\tilde{\ell}(\tilde{\nu})$ ℓ_i ℓ_i ℓ_i ℓ_i ℓ_j, ν_j $\tilde{\ell}(\tilde{\nu})$ ℓ_i ℓ_i ℓ_i ℓ_i ℓ_j ℓ_j ℓ_j

[Ellis et al, Hisano et al, Lavignac et al, Raidal et al, Brignole & Rossi, Paradisi, Buras et al, Herrero et al...]

but no neutrino mass!

Comparing predictions - finding fingerprints

ratio	LHT	MSSM (dipole)	MSSM (Higgs)	SM4
$\frac{BR(\mu \to eee)}{BR(\mu \to e\gamma)}$	0.021	$\sim 6 \times 10^{-3}$	$\sim 6 \times 10^{-3}$	0.06 2.2
$\frac{BR(\tau \to eee)}{BR(\tau \to e\gamma)}$	0.04 0.4	$\sim 1 \times 10^{-2}$	$\sim 1 \times 10^{-2}$	0.07 2.2
$\frac{BR(\tau \to \mu \mu \mu)}{BR(\tau \to \mu \gamma)}$	0.04 0.4	$\sim 2 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.06\ldots 0.1$	0.06 2.2
$\frac{BR(\tau \to e\mu\mu)}{BR(\tau \to e\gamma)}$	0.04 0.3	$\sim 2 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.02 \dots 0.04$	0.03 1.3
$\frac{BR(\tau \to \mu ee)}{BR(\tau \to \mu \gamma)}$	0.04 0.3	$\sim 1 \times 10^{-2}$	$\sim 1 \times 10^{-2}$	0.04 1.4
$\frac{BR(\tau \to eee)}{BR(\tau \to e\mu\mu)}$	0.82	~ 5	0.30.5	$1.5 \dots 2.3$
$\frac{BR(\tau \to \mu \mu \mu)}{BR(\tau \to \mu e e)}$	0.71.6	~ 0.2	510	$1.4 \dots 1.7$
$\frac{CR(\muTi \rightarrow eTi)}{BR(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)}$	$10^{-3} \dots 10^2$	$\sim 5 \times 10^{-3}$	0.080.15	$10^{-12} \dots 26$

[Buras et al, 1006.5356]

Most models predict/accommodate extensive ranges for observables (no new physics yet discovered, only bounds on new scale!)

But... Peculiar patterns of correlation of observables (model-specific)

Correlations might allow to disentagle models of cLFV in the absence of

discovery of new states! ... or inability to identify mechanism of LFV!

LFV from m_{ν} in extended frameworks

Interplay between high and low-energy observables

An example: the supersymmetric seesaw(s) and cLFV

A A, A. Figueiredo, J. Romao, A.M. Teixeira

SUSY seesaw(s) and cLFV

Embed seesaw in the framework of (otherwise) **flavour-conserving SUSY models** (cMSSM, supergravity-inspired, etc)

- \blacktriangleright mSUGRA-like SUSY seesaw: Y^{ν} unique source of LFV \Longrightarrow observables strongly related
 - * low-energies: $l_j \rightarrow l_i \gamma$, $l_j \rightarrow 3l_i$, μe in Nuclei \Rightarrow large rates [MEG,...]
 - * high-energies: study charged sleptons from $\chi_2^0 \rightarrow \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\mp} \chi_1^0$ decays [LHC, LC]
 - \Rightarrow sizable $\tilde{e} \tilde{\mu}$ mass difference, new edges in $m_{\ell\ell}$: $\chi_2^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_X^j \ell_i \rightarrow \chi_1^0 \ell_i \ell_i$ [LHC]
 - \Rightarrow potential signal of cLFV $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp} + 2\chi^0$, $e^-e^- \rightarrow e^-\mu^- + 2\chi^0$ [LC]
 - ► Even if correlations, etc... difficult to disentangle from "generic" MSSM cLFV...
 On the other hand ⇒ some scenarios are falsifiable!

Type I SUSY seesaw cLFV in a Linear collider

IFV also at a Linear collider

$$e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \begin{cases} e^{+} \mu^{-} + 2 \chi_{1}^{0} & \text{Signal} \\ e^{+} \mu^{-} + 2 \chi_{1}^{0} + (2, 4) \nu & e^{-} e^{-} \rightarrow \\ e^{-} \mu^{-} + 2 \chi_{1}^{0} + (2, 4) \nu & \text{SUSY BKG} \\ e^{-} \mu^{-} + (2, 4) \nu & \text{SM BKG} \end{cases}$$

► Golden Channel $e^-e^- \rightarrow \mu^-\mu^- + E_{\text{miss}}^T$ Majorana nature of neutral exchanged superparticule



A A, A. Figueiredo, J. Romao, A.M. Teixeira, arXiv:1206.2306

Type I SUSY seesaw cLFV: from χ_2^0 decays in LHC

 $\mathbb{R} c \mathsf{MSSM} \text{ (no seesaw): } \chi_2^0 \to \tilde{\ell}_{L,R}^i \ell^i \to \chi_1^0 \ell_i^+ \ell_i^-$

- *** Identical flavour** opposite-sign final state leptons
- * Two edges in di-lepton mass distributions; superimposed m_{ee} , $m_{\mu\mu}$ (degenerate \tilde{e} , $\tilde{\mu}$)

 $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P} \text{ Impact of a type-I SUSY seesaw: } \chi_2^0 \to \tilde{\ell}_{L,R}^i \, \ell^j \to \chi_1^0 \ell_j^+ \, \ell_j^-$

► Displaced m_{ee} , $m_{\mu\mu}$ edges \Rightarrow slepton mass splittings $\frac{\Delta m_{\tilde{\ell}}}{m_{\tilde{\ell}}}(\tilde{e}_L, \tilde{\mu}_L) \sim \mathcal{O}(10\%)$ strongly correlated with low-energy cLFV: $BR(\ell_i \rightarrow \ell_j \gamma) \leftrightarrow \frac{\Delta m_{\tilde{\ell}}}{m_{\tilde{\ell}}}(\tilde{\ell}_i, \tilde{\ell}_j)$

 $\blacktriangleright \text{ New edges in di-lepton mass distributions (LHC):} \qquad \chi_2^0 \rightarrow \begin{cases} \tilde{\ell}_L^i \, \ell_i \\ \tilde{\ell}_R^i \, \ell_i \\ \tilde{\ell}_X^j \, \ell_i \end{cases} \Rightarrow \chi_1^0 \, \ell_i \, \ell_i \end{cases}$

→ Possible direct FV in neutralino and slepton decays: $\chi_2^0 \rightarrow \ell_i \ell_j \chi_1^0$

LFV at the LHC: di-lepton distributions in χ_2^0 decays Real CMSSM (no seesaw)

- ▶ Double-triangular distributions: intermediate $\tilde{\mu}_L$ and $\tilde{\mu}_R$ in $\chi_2^0 \rightarrow \chi_1^0 \mu \mu$
- ► Approximately superimposed $\tilde{\ell}_{L,R}$ edges for $m_{\mu\mu}$ and m_{ee} : "degenerate" $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{e}$



Impact of type-I SUSY seesaw

► Displaced $m_{\mu\mu}$ and m_{ee} edges $(\tilde{\ell}_L) \Leftrightarrow$ sizable $\frac{\Delta m_{\tilde{\ell}}}{m_{\tilde{\ell}}} (\tilde{e}_L, \tilde{\mu}_L)$ [\rightsquigarrow flavour non-universality (?)]

[→→ flavour violation!] ▶ Appearance of **new edge** in $m_{\mu\mu}$: intermediate $\tilde{\tau}_2$

A A, A. Figueiredo, J. Romao, A.M. Teixeira, arXiv:1007.48336

LFV at low- and high-energies: strategies to probe seesaw Working Hypothesis: mSUGRA-like cMSSM and type-I seesaw discovery of SUSY at LHC (reconstruction of \mathcal{L}_{SUSY} ...) one source of flavour violation

SUSY seesaw: $\Delta m(\tilde{e}_L, \tilde{\mu}_L)$, within LHC reach, *correlated with* BR($\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$) & CR($\mu - e$, Ti) & BR($\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$) within future sensitivity \Rightarrow Intensely explore synergy of LFV at low-energies and at the LHC!

- ► $\Delta m(\tilde{e}_L, \tilde{\mu}_L)|_{LHC}$ and compatible $BR(\mu \to e\gamma)|_{MEG}$, $BR(\tau \to \mu\gamma)|_{Belle II}$ \Rightarrow strengthen seesaw hypothesis
- ► $\Delta m(\tilde{e}_L, \tilde{\mu}_L)|_{LHC}$ excluded by BRs, CR or observed BRs/CR for negligible Δm ⇒ suggests distinct (or additional) source of flavour violation

LFV at low- and high-energies: general overview





If type-I seesaw indeed at work and SUSY

- LFV observables within experimental reach;
- $\blacktriangleright \Delta m(\tilde{e}_L,\tilde{\mu}_L)|_{\rm LHC} \sim (0.1-1)\% \rightsquigarrow {\rm BR}(\mu \to e\gamma)|_{\rm MEG}$
- $\ge \Delta m(\tilde{e}_L, \tilde{\mu}_L) |_{LHC} \sim (0.1 1)\% \Rightarrow BR(\tau \to \mu \gamma) \gtrsim 10^{-9}$ (Super Belle ?)

 \Rightarrow Hint towards scale of new physics ($M_{N_3} \gtrsim 10^{13}$ GeV)



► Neutrino masses and mixings: what is the generation mechanism?

► What is the scale of New Physics?

► What is the BSM extension?

Common tool: Interplay between high and low-energy observables. Rich phenomenology from cLFV observables.