



Single Event Upsets in ATLAS SCT

Alex Dafinca, Jim Henderson & <u>Tony Weidberg</u> (on behalf of the ATLAS SCT Collaboration)

Topical Workshop on Electronics for Particle Physics Perugia, 26th September 2013

Single Event Upsets in ATLAS SCT

- Single Event Upsets (SEU) studied for ATLAS & CMS in test beams but this is first reported study of SEU in ASICs in LHC operation.
- Expectations for (SEU) from test beam data.
- SEU in SCT operation and comparisons with test beam
 - *p-i-n* diodes in TTC link.
 - DAC theshold registers in FE ASIC: ABCD.
- Mitigation for ATLAS operation.
- Mitigation strategy for SEUs at HL-LHC.

SEUs in SCT, how and where?

- Particles deposit sufficient charge in small region of silicon → bit error (SEU)
 - Typically needs nuclear interaction to deposit sufficient energy, i.e. MIPs are harmless.
- In *p-i-n* diode that receives optical TTC signal
 - − Single bit error → loss of synchronisation of a FE module.
- In static registers in ABCD
 - Don't care about dynamic memory (pipeline) but static registers will stay wrong after an SEU until reset.
 - Look at effects in DAC threshold register.

SEU Studies

- Measure SEU rates for prototype in test beams:
 - Low energy π /p beams (mainly 200 500 MeV/c)
 - Extrapolate to LHC spectrum?
 - No synchronisation with beam bunches.
 - Angle of incidence.
- Measure actual SEU rates in ATLAS operation and compare with test beam based predictions.

Results shown for barrel SCT only.

SEU In SCT Optical Links

- On-detector *p-i-n* diode is Sensitive to SEU
 - Small electrical signal before amplifier stage.



- Measure BER with loopback
 - With beam
 - Without beam
 - Difference → SEU



SEU in *p-i-n* diode – Test Beam

- Measured SEU vs current in *p-i-n* diode I_{PIN} (simple loopback test) .
 - No errors with beam off.
 - No errors for MIPs.
 - Measured Bit Error Rate vs
 I_{PIN} with beam on.
 - ac coupled → charge required to cause bit flip is proportional to I_{PIN}.
- σ higher for 300 MeV/c π because of Δ resonance \rightarrow large variation of σ with energy \rightarrow difficult to predict rates for LHC operation.



σ(SEU)=# bit errors/fluence

J.D. Dowell et al., Single event upset studies with the optical links of the ATLAS semiconductor tracker, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 481 (2002) 575.

SEU in ATLAS Operation (1)

- *p-i-n* diode receives optical TTC signal.
- Indirect measurement BER
- Signature for SEU in *p-i-n* diode is loss of synchronisation for L1A trigger:
 - TTC sends
 - full L1A number to ROD: L1A(full)
 - L1A signal to detector FE via optical links.
 - On-detector 4 bit counter counts L1A and returns 4 LSBs in data stream: L1A(4)
 - − SEU causes $0 \rightarrow 1$ can cause loss of L1A on-detector.
 - Compare L1A(full) with L1A(4). Persistent discrepancy is SEU.
- No errors seen in "physics mode" running with no beam
 suspect that these errors during beam are due to SEU.

SEU in ATLAS Operation (2)

- L1A signal is 110
- Short code vulnerable to single bit error (minimize latency).
- Assume 0→1 transitions more probable than 1→0 because of high value of I_{PIN}.
- In ATLAS energy deposition synchronised to bunch crossing, unlike test beam
- Creates large uncertainties in extrapolating test beam cross section to ATLAS operation.

Are errors really SEU (1) ?

- SEU rate should scale with module occupancy (proxy for particle flux).
- Occupancy changes from luminosity variations and decreases as radius of barrels increase
- Shows expected linear behaviour



Are errors really SEU (2) ?

- SEUs should be biased towards modules with low vales of IPIN
- Compare:
 - All modules
 - Weighted by SEU
 - Model prediction
 based on
 exponential fit to
 test beam σ(SEU).



Angular Dependence?

- Normalise SEU rate by cluster occupancy (flux).
- Look at normalised rates vs incident angle in 4 barrel layers.
- No significant effect.
- Possible explanation:
 - High Ipin → large energy threshold for SEU → rate volume of active region (*p-i-n* diode is a microcalorimeter).



Absolute Rates (1)

Many uncertainties

- Fit to σ(SEU) vs I_{PIN} (ignore data at 300 MeV/c)
- Don't know how to make extrapolation to ATLAS particle spectra → large uncertainty
- Different beam conditions
 - ATLAS r/o synchronised to bunch crossings
 - Test beam asynchronous



Absolute Rates (2)

• Naïve prediction:

- N(SEU) = σ (SEU) * Fluence
- Ignore variation in σ (SEU) with LHC spectrum.
- Corrected for variation of σ (SEU) with I_{PIN}.
- Fluence: use <module occupancy>
- Reject long SEU bursts (>60s) 13% uncertainty
- Reject modules with multiple errors in one run: 5 to 6% bias.
- Number SEU in data set
 - Luminosity 7.81 fb⁻¹
 - Measured: 2504
 - Predicted : 1949
 - Good agreement within large uncertainties.

SEU in ABCD DAC registers

- Test beam studies:
 - PSI 200 MeV/c π^+ angle of incidence 79°.
- No simple read/write test for registers in ABCD.
 - Indirect determination using mask register at o/p of pipeline.
 - Assume SEU rate in mask register same as DAC.
 - Measured 0 →1 errors but expect cross section for 1→0 to be larger (according to ABCD chip designer).
- Fluence/SEU = 3.7 $10^{13} \pi/cm^2/SEU$

– Some batch to batch variations

• L. Eklund et al., SEU rate estimates for the ATLAS/SCT front-end ASIC, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 515 (2003) 415.

SEU in ATLAS Operation

- Indirect measure SEU.
- SEU in DAC threshold register change discriminator threshold for that ABCD:
 - $-(1) \rightarrow 0$ bit flip \rightarrow increase in chip occupancy
 - (2) 0→1 bit flip → decrease in chip occupancy
 - In practice only sensitive to the 5th bit.
- Easier to look for effect (1) than (2).
- Effect should persist until module reset
 - Look for chips with persistently high occupancy.

Chip Occupancy

Occurances

- 128 strips/chip
- Average over 10 events
- Mean occupancy very low as expected.
- Spike at 128
 every strip fires every event
- Also see rarer spikes from when all strips fire in 9 or 8 events (start or end of burst)



Number of strips occupied per chip

Note compressed log scale on y-axis Vary threshold to identify start of burst but lower fixed threshold at 50 for end of burst.

Are Errors Real SEU?

- Plot SEU rate vs chip occupancy per event (proxy for particle flux)
- See expected linear slope.



Angular Dependence

- Measure SEU/cluster occupancy vs incidence angle for barrel layers.
- See increase in rate with angle.
- Linear fit to compare PSI data at 79° with ATLAS data.



Absolute Predictions (1)

- Measure SEU rates as a function of threshold in number of hits/chip used to identify SEU bursts.
- Use fit to extrapolate to 0 threshold
 measured # SEU.
 - 3% uncertainty from extrapolation.



Absolute Predictions (2)

- Don't know how to scale σ(SEU) for π at 200 MeV/c to LHC spectrum → large uncertainty
- Naïve model:
 - N(SEU) = σ (SEU) * Fluence
 - Fluence: FLUKA simulations scaled to luminosity
 - Results for inner barrel layer scaled to other layers using measured chip occupancies.
 - Corrected for angular dependence observed in data.
- # SEU in 23.4 fb⁻¹:
 - Measured: 3046
 - Predicted: 1090
- Understand rates to within a factor ~ 3.

Mitigation Strategies for ATLAS Operation

- SEU in TTC links
 - Use large values of I_{PIN} (> 100 μ A) to reduce σ (SEU)
 - Reset pipeline in FE chips and all counters if this desynchronisation detected by DAQ (20 to 50s).
- SEU in DAC register
 - Operator reset of module with high occupancy.
 - Full reset of all modules every 30 minutes.
- Mitigation strategies reduce effects of SEU to negligible level.



Tony \

SEUs @ HL-LHC

- Expect SEUs to be more important @ HL-LHC because of higher Luminosity.
- What can we do to mitigate SEU?
 - Triple event redundancy in gates
 - Error correction on TTC link. P
 - Propose to correct for sequence of error bursts up to 16 bits long
 slide.

Versatile Link TTC SEU

- Measured BER vs optical power, Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA).
- SEU killed by error correction (FEC)→Error correction required for TTC links
- Tests to determine if it is also required for data

A. Jimenez Pacheco et al., Single-Event Upsets in Photoreceivers for Multi-Gb/s Data Transmission, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., Vol. 56, Iss. 4, Pt. 2 (2009), pp. 1978 – 1986.



SEU Summary

- SEUs expected in SCT readout.
- Clear evidence of SEUs in ATLAS operation:
 - Predicted rates in ~ agreement with measurements.
 - Mitigation strategies work well.
- Mitigation strategies planned for HL-LHC should minimize impact of SEU.

Backup Slides

Number SEUs/chip



Burst Length SEU p-i-n

