Identifying and Using Boosted Top Quarks

Snowmass Energy Frontier Top Group Meeting 30 January 2013

Timothy Andeen, Victor Bazterra, David Krohn, Salvatore Rappoccio, Brock Tweedie*



Goals

- Look for new physics with boosted tops ($p_T >> m_t$)
 - contact interactions affecting high mtt tail
 - singly-produced resonances: Z', W', g', G',
 - heavy top partners: spin-0, spin- $\frac{1}{2}$
 - heavy gluinos
- Use boosted tops as a jet substructure laboratory
 - easy to get a pure top-pair sample in μ +jets
 - well-understood mass bumps
 - contains hadronic 2-body decay of a color-singlet boson (e.g., similar to h → bb)

Top-Tagging: Semileptonic



- ~22% of decays to e/μ
 - for top pairs, ~35% have at least one leptonic
- b-tag sometimes possible, not always (high-p_T)
- Capitalize on embedded lepton
 - can usually be ID'ed, but fails isolation
 - mimicked by bottom/charm (used in b/c-tagging)
 - dedicated strategies exploit ~100 GeV scale of top and W, versus <5 GeV scale of b/c

Top-Tagging: Hadronic



- ~66% of decays
 - for top pairs, ~75% have at least one hadronic, ~45% both are
- b-tagging even more difficult (crowded inner tracker)
- Jet with ~3 blobs of energy ("subjets") and mass ~ mt

 distinguish from QCD jets using jet substructure
- Still useful to think of "top-jets" even if not very boosted (e.g., p_T ~ m_t)
 - substructure reconstructions more optimized than "add up three jets"
 - simple way to deal with combinatorics



Top-Tag Tacti



Declustering







Grooming





Color-flow / Radiation pattern



Polarization



* Comparisons of taggers in BOOST2010/2011 proceedings, and in some individual papers

Boosted Tops as a Laboratory

- Behavior of groomers on boosted heavy particle decays
 - how do these affect our ability to see Higgs, RPV neutralino, etc?
- Color-sensitive variables, jet-charge variables
- Test new substructure techniques

BOOST2010 simulation of different groomers



Experimental Issues

- Pileup
 - how effective are groomers? what settings?
- Calibration
 - unlike normal jet clustering, need accurate local calibrations
 - CMS: particle-flow, ATLAS: topo-clusters
- Finite detector resolution
 - HCAL cells ~0.1x0.1
 - is this the ultimate cutoff?

Much Has Now Been Measured



•



jets control samples with b-tag

CMS, L = 5 fb⁻¹ at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV



Application: tt Resonance Searches

ATLAS (1211.2202) CMS (1204.2488) pt 47.8 GeV/c, > 350 b-tag discriminant 4 CMS, L = 5 fb⁻¹, √s = 7 TeV Type 1+1 Data 2011 Events / 100 GeV/c² Observed Z' (1 TeV) σ = 1.3 pb _ Non-top multijet ∏tŧ tt simulation E vents / 250 10² ---- Z'(1 TeV/c²) σ = 1.0 pb Z'(1.5 TeV/c²) σ = 0.18 pb Multijet Z'(2 TeV/c²) σ = 0.06 pb $Z'(3 \text{ TeV/c}^2) \sigma = 0.03 \text{ pb}$ ATLAS Jet 2: Jet Pruning pt 484.3 GeV/c, mass = 68.8 GeV/c2 Jet 2 + 3 : Mass = 167 L dt = 4.7 fb 150 √s = 7 TeV 100 Jet 1 : Top Tagging pt 589.1 GeV/c, HEPTopTagge 3 subjets, mass = 186.7 GeV/c2, minMass = 87.2 GeV/c2 50 - Predicted Predicted 2500 500 1000 1500 2000 3000 Data 500 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 4000 4500 tt Mass [GeV] 1000 tī mass (GeV/c2) tī) [pb] 35-/ 100 GeV bs. 95% CL upper limit 10^{2} ər limit - Data 2011 Exp. 95% CL upper limit CMS, L = 5 fb⁻¹, √s = 7 TeV Type 1+2 30-____g_{KK} (1.6 TeV) σ = 0.35 pb er limit × BR(Z'→ GeV Exp. 1 o uncertainty 10 Observed tī Exp. 2 o uncertainty Non-top multijet 25 Events / 100 Events Multijet tt simulation Leptophobic Z' (LOx1.3) 10 Z'(1 TeV/c²) o = 1.0 pb)x1.3) 10 ATLAS ······ Ζ'(1.5 TeV/c²) σ = 0.18 pb 20 ATLAS b ----- Z'(2 TeV/c²) σ = 0.06 pb HEPTopTagger L dt = 4.7 fb 15 10 √s = 7 TeV 10 Top Template Tagger 1($10^{-1} = \sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ 5 - Predicted Predicted $L dt = 4.7 fb^{-1}$ gger 1(1000 1500 2000 2500 3000-0.6 0.8 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 1 tt Mass [GeV] Z' Boson Mass [TeV] 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 4000 4500 5000 tt mass (GeV/c²) c searc

ĿΞ

E-----

- Obs. 95% CL upper limit

[dd]

- Obs. 95% CL upper limit

 L_{102} CMS, L = 5 fb⁻¹ at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV 10% Width Assumption

Upper Limit $\sigma_{Z'} \times B$ (pb)

Questions

- Future applications: What's being done? What's not being done that should be done?
 - contact interaction limits (centrality ratio?)
 - stops: helpful for highest masses at LHC8? seems inevitable for LHC14...
 - single top-partner (*I'm working on this)
- Future improvements possible?
 - can we still use b-tagging, even loose? (lepton seems robust)
 - more info from radiation pattern (or as testbed for $h \rightarrow bb$ variables)
 - overcoming detector granularity
- Longer-term: How hard must we work to top-tag at ILC/CLIC?
 - at high \sqrt{s} , N(tt)/N(qq) ~ 1/6
 - different detectors, different "pileup" conditions