TOP-QUARK CHARGE ASYMMETRY

Dante Amidei, Jessie Shelton, George Sterman, Bryan Webber, Susanne Westhoff, Jure Zupan

presented by Susanne Westhoff, University of Pittsburgh

Snowmass Top Meeting --- January 30, 2013

TOP-QUARK CHARGE ASYMMETRY

$$A_C = \frac{\sigma_a}{\sigma_s}, \quad \sigma_{s,a} = \int_0^1 d\cos\hat{\theta} \, \frac{d\sigma_{t\bar{t}}}{d\cos\hat{\theta}} \pm \frac{d\sigma_{\bar{t}t}}{d\cos\hat{\theta}}$$



 $\frac{\text{Tevatron}}{\text{forward-backward:}}$ $\Delta y = y_t - y_{\bar{t}}$ $A_C^{exp} = A_{\text{FB}}^t = A_C \approx 8\%$

Measure asymmetry in terms of rapidity differences:

$$A_C^{exp} = \frac{\sigma(\Delta y > 0) - \sigma(\Delta y < 0)}{\sigma(\Delta y > 0) + \sigma(\Delta y < 0)}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{LHC}\\ \text{beamward-central:}\\\\ \hline \Delta y = |y_t| - |y_{\overline{t}}|\\\\ A_C^{exp} = A_C^{|y|} \approx 1 \,\% \ll A_C \end{array}$$

ASYMMETRY AT THE TEVATRON



Differential distributions





$$A_{C}^{CMS}(\ell j) = 0.4 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.1\%$$

$$A_{C}^{CMS}(\ell l) = 5.0 \pm 4.3^{\pm 1.0}_{-3.9}\%$$

$$A_{C}^{CMS}(\ell l) = 5.0 \pm 4.3^{\pm 1.0}_{-3.9}\%$$

$$A_{C}^{CMS}(\ell l) = 5.7 \pm 2.4 \pm 1.5\%$$

$$A_{C}^{ATLAS}(\ell l) = 5.7 \pm 2.4 \pm 1.5\%$$

$$A_{C}^{ATLAS}(\ell l) = 5.7 \pm 2.4 \pm 1.5\%$$

$$A_{C}^{ATLAS}(\ell l) = 2.9 \pm 1.8 \pm 1.4\%$$

$$A_{C}^{ATLAS}(\ell l) = 2.9 \pm 1.8 \pm 1.4\%$$

$$A_{C}^{ATLAS}(\ell l) = 2.9 \pm 1.8 \pm 1.4\%$$



 α_s

Asymmetry in QCD at NLO from virtual and real gluons:





Results are stable with respect to soft gluon resummation.

QCD PREDICTION FROM EVENT GENERATORS

Asymmetry arises from QCD coherence: n?



More radiation and the temperature of temperature

[Skands, Webber, Winter, JHEP 1207 (2012) 151]

• Backward top more radiation Minimal radiation for large y_t and $m_{t\bar{t}} \approx \hat{s}_{0}$ $\rightarrow A_C$ grows with $\Delta y = y_t - y_{\bar{t}}$ (but less than $\rightarrow A_C$ grows with $m_{t\bar{t}}$ observed in the data)

COMPARISON WITH DATA



Used by CDF & D0: Monte Carlos matched to NLO QCD + EW corrections



Normalize to LO or NLO QCD?

S-CHANNEL NEW PHYSICS: AXIGLUONS



Constructive interference for $M_G < 2m_t : g_A^q \cdot g_A^t > 0$ $M_G > 2m_t : g_A^q \cdot g_A^t < 0$

Towards UV completion:

Chiral color breaking $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \xrightarrow{\langle \Phi \rangle} SU(3)_C$

Anomaly cancellation and coupling textures: new fermions.

Axigluon constraints:

- Dijet and top pair production **D**, dijet pair production
- LHC charge asymmetry
- Precision electroweak observables (PEW)

CONSTRAINTS ON HEAVY AXIGLUONS

Dijet resonances at LHC $g_A^q \lesssim 0.3 g_s \quad (M_G \lesssim 2 \text{ TeV}, \Gamma_G/M_G \lesssim 15\%)$ Dijet angular distributions $g_A^q \lesssim 0.7 g_s \ (M_G \lesssim 2 \text{ TeV}, \text{ largely width-independent})$

Top pair production

- Top-antitop resonance searches are insensitive to g_A^t for $g_A^q \ll g_A^t$. - Spectrum $d\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/dM_{t\bar{t}}$ probes

axigluons with $M_G \approx M_{t\bar{t}} \lesssim 1.6$ TeV.

 $\frac{\text{LHC charge asymmetry}}{A_C^{|y|} \lesssim 2\% \text{ o.k. with } A_{\text{FB}}^t$



An axigluon with $M_G \approx 2 \,\mathrm{TeV}$ and strong couplings to top quarks can explain the excess in A_{FB}^t .

CONSTRAINTS ON LIGHT AXIGLUONS

Dijet pair resonance searches rule out *narrow* axigluons with $100 \lesssim M_G \lesssim 500 \, {\rm GeV}.$

Counter-acting constraints PEW (mainly Γ_Z , σ_{had}) and LHC asymmetry $A_C^{|y|}$.

- Non-universal $g_R^d < -g_R^u$: Relax $A_C^{|y|}$, strengthen PEW.

- Increase g_R^t : Relax PEW, but trouble with top observables.

- New fermions strengthen bounds from PEW.



Preferred: $200 \lesssim M_G \lesssim 450 \text{ GeV}$ and $g_A^q = g_A^t \sim 0.3 - 0.4 g_s$.

T-AND U-CHANNEL: Z', W', SCALARS



<u>Flavor constraints</u> u-c and c-t couplings induce FCNCs $\rightarrow g_{ut} Z'_{\mu} \bar{u}_R \gamma^{\mu} t_R$

Flavor symmetries - protect Z' model from large FCNCs - avoid same-sign top production $(uu \rightarrow Z' \rightarrow tt)$ imply flavor diagonal couplings $a_{-}Z' \overline{U} p q^{\mu}Up$

- imply flavor-diagonal couplings $g_u Z'_\mu \bar{U}_R \gamma^\mu U_R$
 - → dijet constraints are important

Scalars in u-channel are disfavored by $d\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/dM_{t\bar{t}}$ and atomic parity violation.

ASSOCIATEDT+Z' PRODUCTION





 $\frac{\text{Top-jet resonances}}{\mathcal{B}(Z' \to \bar{t}u)} \lesssim 30 \%$ Need other Z´ decay modes.

Jet multiplicities in $t\bar{t}$ prod. Rule out u-channel scalars. [Shelton 2012, unpublished] No conclusion about $Z' \rightarrow jj$.

12

- NNLO QCD contributions to charge asymmetry

OPEN QUESTIONS AND NEXT STEPS

- Normalization of $A_{\rm FB}^t$ and scale uncertainties

For experimentalists

- Lepton and threshold asymmetries in top pair prod.
- Top-quark spin and polarization measurements

For both

- Dijet angular distributions for heavy and light axigluons
- Asymmetry and distributions in top pair plus hard jet
- Inclusive top + X observables for t-channel candidates

