## Financial Plan for CMS Upgrade

In the last RRB meeting of October 2012 CMS reported progress on the preparation and execution of work described in the Technical Proposal for the Upgrades approved by the LHCC [CERN-LHCC-2011-006]. The Technical Proposal describes all projects considered necessary to maintain and optimize the physics potential of the experiment for operation up to  $2 \times 10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (Phase I of the Upgrades).

CMS also presented the Technical Design Reports (TDRs) for two major Upgrade projects included in the aforementioned Technical Proposal that will be completed in the period from now through the end of Long Shutdown 2 (LS2): the Pixel Detector Upgrade [CERN-LHCC-2012-016] and the HCAL Detector Upgrade [CERN-LHCC-2012-015]. These TDRs were fully endorsed by the LHCC with a clear indication that their physics case is highly compelling. A third TDR is in preparation for the L1-Trigger Upgrade, for which the internal review is in process and the TDR is expected to be submitted to the LHCC by June 2013.

Phase II of the Upgrades will allow CMS to operate at the high luminosity expected after 2023 (with luminosity leveling at 5x10<sup>34</sup>cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>). Preparations for Phase II require R&D to be done in parallel with data-taking and with the construction and installation work for Phase I. This R&D was outlined in the appendix of the Technical Proposal. It entails some additional costs, incurred by Funding Agencies, concurrent with support for Phase I.

CMS is currently in the process of carrying out an in-depth study of the eventual costs and likely schedule of the Phase II Upgrade. The cost analysis takes into account the various possible technical and scope scenarios. A full cost estimate will be included in a Technical Proposal for Phase II, anticipated in 2014.

The cost estimates as well as the foreseen spending profiles for the Pixel and HCAL Upgrades, including the main cost drivers in 2013, were presented to the October 2012 RRB. Since then both projects have undergone a thorough internal review. If there are significant updates or revisions of these costs they will be presented to the October 2013 RRB.

The latest cost estimate of the Muon-CSC Upgrade is higher than that of 5'570 kCHF presented previously to the RRB and which was the initial cost estimate from the Technical Proposal of 2010. The current estimate of the total cost of the Muon-CSC Upgrade amounts to 6'844 kCHF.

The additional costs are incurred mainly due to the recuperation of the Cathode Front-End Boards (CFEB) and Low Voltage Distribution Boards (LVDB) electronics from the Muon Endcap ME1/1 and the necessary infrastructure work, which is primarily mechanical work being done by RDMS-DMS. There were also unforeseen expenditures caused by a delay of the chamber production due to panel vendor non-performance in 2011. The additional costs are fully funded by the Funding Agencies involved in the CSC Upgrade (China, RDMS-DMS, RDMS-Russia, USA-DoE and USA-NSF).

The overall CSC Upgrade budget and in particular the cost-change were thoroughly scrutinized via an internal review process. The conclusion was that the expenditure plan is fully justified and that the project is in sound financial shape.

Another change has taken place in the cost of the HCAL Upgrade project, which has increased from 8'044 kCHF (in October 2012) to 8'220 kCHF. This increase is related to the inclusion of the dual-anode boards that were not taken into account in the previous estimate (45 kCHF contribution from the USA) and the addition of pre-production SiPMs (130 kCHF contribution from RDMS-Russia).

As a consequence of the above-mentioned changes the total cost of the Upgrades Phase I Project has risen by 2% from 66'620 kCHF presented to the October 2012 RRB [CERN-RRB-2012-100/Rev.] to 68'070 kCHF. The modified figures are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Upgrade Phase I Costs (kCHF)

Subsystem/Common Item	Budget (kCHF)					
	October 2012 RRB	April 2013 RRB				
Pixel Tracker	17,100	17,100				
HCAL	8,044	8,219				
HF - Phototubes	1,990	1,990				
Muon CSC	5,570	6,844				
Muon DT	2,200	2,200				
Muon RPC	4,220	4,220				
DAQ	6,700	6,700				
Trigger	4,600	4,600				
Common Items	16,196	16,196				
Magnet power and cryo	1,567	1,567				
Beam Instrumentation	1,672	1,672				
Infrastructure	5,423	5,423				
Test Beam Facilities Upgrade	620	620				
Safety systems upgrade	540	540				
Electronics Integration	1,780	1,780				
Engineering Integration	4,594	4,594				
Grand Total	66,620	68,070				
Common Fund	6,445	6,445				

Following the approval of the Pixel and HCAL TDRs, both projects are now proceeding with engineering reviews prior to procurements and initial fabrication in order to ensure adherence with defined schedules and carry out necessary work during Long Shutdown 1 (LS1). The expenditures made in 2013 will be reported at the April 2014 RRB.

The Muon Subdetector Upgrade projects are expected to be largely completed during LS1 with the exception of the Muon DTs.

Discussions with Funding Agencies are proceeding in order to finalize the global Costsharing Matrix for the Phase I Upgrade (Table 2). The target is to obtain commitments from each Funding Agency at a level that is at least equal to their corresponding fraction of PhDs in CMS (in 2010). CMS appreciates the efforts of Funding Agencies to provide information concerning their commitments. We appeal to those who have not yet done so to provide these figures as soon as their national budgetary considerations allow.

The present state of contributions already provided, commitments already declared, or strong intentions already expressed by Funding Agencies give relatively solid assurance of sufficient funding for Subsystem-specific Upgrades. The execution of these projects will be the responsibility of the respective Subsystem Management and the participating Funding Agencies.

However, as signaled at the October 2012 RRB and during previous RRB meetings, there is still a need to ensure the funding to cover upgrade Common Items to ensure conditions for carrying out the Sub-detector Upgrades.

As shown in Table 3, many of the Common Item areas remain unfunded and only a relatively small number of Funding Agencies have made contributions or committed to the financing of specific items in this area. Furthermore, the Upgrades Common Fund (which covers only some 40% of the common costs) is still missing some commitments. Consequently, CMS appeals to the Funding Agencies that have not yet committed contributions in line with the principle of equity defined by the PhD count, to do so or to find ways in which they could provide help in ensuring that Common Items work can proceed on schedule.

As agreed at the October 2012 RRB Meeting, following the extension of the Construction MoU, dedicated Addenda have been elaborated for the Pixel and HCAL projects. These define the financial obligations of participating Funding Agencies, which will be requested to confirm their commitment by signing these Addenda.

A third Addendum has been prepared which defines the responsibility of Funding Agencies for the Upgrade Common Items and specifies in detail the Common Fund contribution. This Addendum is now being transmitted to Funding Agencies for signature.

## Table 2: Cost-sharing Matrix for Upgrade Phase I

**Total Phase I Upgrade budget 68'070** kCHF **Upgrade Common Fund (CF) 6'445** kCHF

Based on PhD Scientists per Funding Agency

in kCHF	Subdetector-specific Upgrades Detector-wide items																	
03.04.2013							TDAQ Common Items											
Funding Agency	Pixel Tracker	HCAL	HF - Phototubes	Muon CSC	Muon DT	Muon RPC	DAQ	Trigger	Magnet power and Cryo	Beam Instrumentation	Infrastructure	Test Beam Facilities	Safety Systems	Electronics Integration	Engineering Integration	Total expected (without CF)	Common Fund (CF)	Original Total Upgrade FA Target (incl. CF)
Total Upgrade: 68'070	17,100	8,220	1,990	6,844	2,200	4,220	6,700	4,600	1,567	1,672	5,423	620	540	1,780	4,594			
Common Fund									536	620	2,544	246	388	634	1,477		6,445	
Austria	29					226		1,200								1,229	102	1,021
Belgium-FNRS Belgium-FWO						236 379										236 379	74	743
Beigium-FWO Brazil		331				3/9										331	74 79	743 789
Bulgaria		331														331	37	371
CERN	3,000					500	3,500			500	1,500		500		1,000	10,500	371	3,715
China	3,000			200		500	3,500			300	1,500		300		1,000	700	46	464
Colombia						10										10	14	139
Croatia							200									200	33	325
Cyprus								12								12	23	232
Egypt						150										150	14	139
Estonia					167											167	19	186
Finland	418					130						35				583	65	650
France-CEA																	70	696
France-IN2P3	904						150	500				100		100		1,754	246	2,461
Germany-BMBF	1,624				612						407					2,643	288	2,879
Germany-DESY	1,224	80								240						1,544	181	1,811
Greece								1,000								1,000	70	696
Hungary	15	===				===											46	464
India		528				720										1,248	135	1,347
Iran Ireland															16	16	28	279
Italy	963				1,000	350					600				10	2,913	803	8,033
Korea	903				1,000	545					300					545	98	975
Mexico						343										343	51	511
New Zealand																	9	93
Pakistan						385					800					1,185	9	93
Poland																	70	696
Portugal								500								500	33	325
RDMS - DMS		468		500												968	98	975
RDMS - Russia		834		690												1,524	283	2,832
Serbia																	14	139
Spain					400											400	228	2,275
Switzerland (ETHZ,PSI,UNIV)*	3,638															3,638	176	1,764
Taipei	1,000															1,000	70	696
Turkey		307					250	1.500			120			136		307	84	836
United Kingdom	2.000	F (72	2.000	F 454				1,500			126			126		2,002	260	2,600
USA (DOE-HEP, NSF)	3,896	5,672	2,000	5,454			/00	3,000								20,722		20,459
USA (DOE-NP)																	102	1,023
Total committed	16,710	8,220	2,000	6,844	2,179	3,905	4,800	7,712	536	1,360	5,977	381	888	860	2,493	58,405	6,445	

The figures presented in this table are a combination of funding situations ranging from assumed/estimated contributions and requests for funds to firm pledges

The vast majority of these common costs will be incurred during LS1 and especially in the course of 2013. They are critical to the success of the Phase I Upgrade program. This is demonstrated in Table 3. The cost profile shown in this table has evolved since it was

presented to October RRB meeting. After full detailed planning for work in LS1 many items foreseen for payments in 2012 are now anticipated for 2013, and some in later years according to our current schedule. The current spending profile for Common Items is expected to remain relatively stable.

**Table 3: Cost Profile for Common Items** 

Common Upgrade Items Cost Profile 2011-2018												
11-Mar-13	All amounts in kCHF											
ITEM	Upgrade Project Totals			Pro	file							
	•	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018			
Magnet power and cryo	1,567	247	65	895	65	0	270	0	25			
Beam Instrumentation	1,672	0	315	555	329	5	0	205	173			
Infrastructure	5,423	975	875	1,985	378	394	373	300	143			
Test beam facilities upgrade	620	0	90	300	165	65	0	0	0			
Safety systems upgrade	540	0	17	458	90	0	0	0	0			
<b>Electronics Integration</b>	1,780	0	55	557	587	15	87	67	442			
<b>Engineering Integration</b>	4,594	0	150	1,264	1,225	150	428	275	1,274			
						•	_					
Totals	16,196	1,222	1,567	6,014	2,839	629	1,158	847	2,057			

We greatly appreciate the support of Funding Agencies for the Upgrade Project. Special thanks are extended to those Funding Agencies who have already made contributions to the Upgrades Common Fund.