



# FNALTI and the US region in CCRC'08/phase-2

Jon Bakken Friday Facilities Ops Meeting June 6, 2008



# **Furloughs**



People, including myself, were on furlough during the month.

- Didn't pay full attention to tests because we were not at work
- Couldn't talk to necessary people.

#### It's great the furloughs are over!

Now we just have to deal with 'forced vacation' - use it or lose it.

• Lots of vacation coming up for Tier-1 staff in next few months.



## Jobs



#### Running jobs on the FNAL cluster worked extremely well. Continuously running ~3500 jobs by production only, other users

were starved out.

Status last month: Entirely green means fully utilized





Status last year: red indicates some excess cpu.



# Jobs



There was a comment during the month that jobs run faster at CERN than at FNAL. This is true, but it has to do with output IO, not cpu speed.

- Job Input was read via dcap worked very well.
  Job Output was written via srm added stress to overall system, relatively slow Note 3500 jobs writing output via srm = lots of srm stress.
  - SRM transfers expensive, especially on site, due to authentication/ authorization for each transaction, and for 'detailed' pnfs queries. Also large overhead for SRM itself.
  - All our dcap doors at FNAL are read-only because we insist on using SRM functionality to authenticate and to direct files to root path location.
  - We just finished coding a restrictive dcap door allows writing for specified users who know a simple secret. This will allow cmsprod jobs to write using dcap protocol, and deny the rest of the users.
  - This should dramatically increase IO write speed at FNAL, and reduce the stress on the SRM server.





## File Families



USCMS tried a very fine-grained file family distribution this time.

- Directories were set up ahead of time, with correct file family and file family widths (number of active tapes).
- Permissions were set such that transfers could only write to these directories, and would fail on auto-creating directories.

Observations:

• During data taking - expect distribution of data files in the ratio that the file family widths were set up. But during test, all data from I file family was delivered at once - this caused tape backlog.

FNAL can have a very large tape backlog and still be working properly!

 Target directories would change - for various reasons. This caused transfer failures due to permission errors on writing. We relaxed the restrictions and auto-created directories were written to catch-all file family. Happened several times.

We probably want to have courser grained file families next time.

Working on method for automatically specifying family during tape writing stage.



#### Phedex



We had trouble with agents stopping in the month.

We had 2 instances of phedex set up at FNAL - debug and production. During the month, the debug instance just stopped working. It was worked on for a few days, by several experts, but it never started working again. The reason is still unknown - we stopped trying to fix it.

• Debug instance was moved to production node, and it worked fine. We are now combining our debug/production scripts into a single instance with arguments instead of having 2 separate files.

PNFS access - we had previously removed all pnfs accesses in phedex since this caused much pnfs stress. We query our enstore databases whenever possible for the information we previously got from PNFS.

• Please do not add back in any pnfs accesses to the phedex scripts.

7



#### Transfers



We had a period when we were successfully transferring data, but at the end of the transfer, the transfers were all rejected because of CRC errors.

- Investigated there were not any CRC errors. CERN wasn't recognizing request for CRC. This should not have been an error, but somehow it was.
  - Disabled all CRC checks everything worked fine again
  - dCache team investigated said it was impossible, but a week later has come back with a possible explanation. Working on code rewrite.







After the castor upgrade, we never got the rate we expected out of CERN.

- We twiddled many knobs at many levels, but we seemed to be source rate limited.
- Investigation is underway.



# Monitoring



The data transfer system is complex.

Excellent work on data monitoring - but - my opinion is that the info is mostly beneficial for management level presentations and not earnest debugging attempts. (I mean this in a positive way.)

When trying to see what is going on after a parameter change, I think you need:

- clear button reset stats to get affect of change, not old data
- number of files in flight at a site, number queued, number finished
- aggregate rate to the site, individual transfer rates
- success/attempt ratio
- And you need this all over a time period of 5 min, 10 min and 15 min.
- Finally, a list of actual globus-url-copy commands that are active, and are queued needs to be available to make progress in debugging transfer issues.



### More comments



Paul Rossman has these comments, not in any particular order:

- Provide instructions whom to notify in case of irregularities
- We are now using swatch to monitor PhEDEx logs and page when necessary
- Problems with our custom PhEDEx scripts at FNAL after upgrade to 3.0 these scripts needed code updates in order to work with new calls & libraries
- Lots of configuration options for new Phedex FTS download agent. what's the recommended config? lots of advice/emails with different parameters.
- All TIs have different restrictions on their FTS channel out of CERN how does this affect FTS and Phedex
- Do we use CERN FTS server or our own?
- What is the status of the SL4 version of FTS?
- Do we use multiple phedex agents? We finally decided to increase our agent count to include a CERN agent and for a short period of time, we had a T2-US specific agent
- We had a few instances where we had to correct directory permissions in order for transfers to continue (see earlier slide). Some of this was caused by old SRM bug.

11