



# Heavy Flavour at the LHC

### Valerie Gibson

### Nobel Symposium, 14th May 2013









"In the lands of the North, where the Black Rocks stand guard against the cold sea, in the dark night that is very long, the People of the Northlands sit by their great log fires and they tell a tale......"

# Heavy Flavour at the LHC

- Why study heavy flavour physics ?
- Selected highlights from recent results
  - Production & spectroscopy
  - Mixing & CP Violation
  - Rare Decays
- Future prospects
- Questions...

#### LHC Flavour Physicist



Noggin the Nog

# Why heavy flavour physics ?

Heavy flavour physics probes beyond the LHC energy frontier and is complementary to direct searches for New Physics, providing information on the masses, couplings, spins and CP phases.



# **CKM** picture

SM interactions are governed by Yukawa couplings to the Higgs field and the weak force.

Electroweak symmetry breaking & diagonalization of Yukawa (mass matrix) gives rise to CKM matrix.

$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \\ L.Wolfenstein PRL 51 (1983) 1945 \end{pmatrix} \qquad q = \{u, c, t\} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} q' = \{d, s, b\} \\ W^+ \\ W^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

- CKM theory is highly predictive (a huge range of phenomena with only 4 parameters)
- CKM matrix is hierarchical (quark masses)
   CP violation accommodated by a single complex phase

6 1

.

1)

# **CKM** picture

Very impressive achievements from all heavy flavour experiments ( $e^+e^-$ ,  $p\overline{p}$ , pp) and lattice theory over the last 10 years....



http://ckmfitter.in2p3.fr

# **CKM** picture

Quantum effects in loops sensitive to combination of mass and couplings



# **NP** picture

Quantum effects in loops sensitive to combination of mass and couplings



# **NP** picture

Quantum effects in loops sensitive to combination of mass and couplings



If NP "hides" behind the SM interactions...

- either the mass scale is VERY LARGE
- or NP couplings mimic Yukawa couplings ...Minimal Flavour Violation (MFV)

Flavour Physics will reveal or constrain NP theories !

# Two routes to NP

#### LHC Flavour Physicist



Noggin the Nog

**New Physics** 



# Two routes to NP

# CP Violation

LHC Flavour Physicist

Noggin the Nog

Extra sources must exist

### BUT

No guarantee of the scale

No guarantee of more CP violation in the quark sector

New Physics



# Two routes to NP

Rare decays

Strong theoretical arguments

LHC Flavour Physicist

BUT



How high is the scale?

Need to retain FCNC suppression mechanism for rare processes already seen

Noggin the Nog



**New Physics** 



# **The Experiments**

LHC is world's most copious source of heavy quarks

LHCb is dedicated to the study of b & c hadrons (101 papers)

ATLAS/CMS exploit their capabilities in this sector (12/18 papers)











14th May 2013

# **Production and Spectroscopy**

 $B_c \Lambda_b$ 

 $\chi_{b}$ 

Bs

 $B^0$ 

B

LHC provides an excellent opportunity to study unresolved puzzles in QCD

# **Open B and D Production**

Successful description of open heavy flavour production with well developed and reliable tools (FONLL & NLO+PS).



Can better predictions be made of production asymmetries at LHC ? Measurements of increasing precision now arriving and issues very relevant for studies of CP violation.

14th May 2013

# **Onia Production & Polarization**

Theory less successful in describing onia production.

### $J/\psi$ from B hadrons

#### $\Upsilon$ polarization



Can the theory (e.g. FONLL) provide even better precision? Polarization measurements stubbornly refuse to match predictions. 14th May 2013 Nobel Symposium 2013, V.Gibson 13/44

# **Observation of New States**

Many new states have already been discovered...



... and many new  $B_s$  and  $B_c$  decay modes and excited states observed for the first time.

# **Masses and Lifetimes**

LHC measures mass and lifetimes with unrivalled precision.



### Tension of $\Lambda_b$ lifetime with expectation greatly reduced.

# Exotic spectroscopy

- X(3872) discovered in 2003 (Belle PRL 91 (2003) 262001) and JPC limited to 1<sup>++</sup> or 2<sup>-+</sup> (CDF PRL 98 (2007) 132002).
- Nature unclear (INSPIRE: 224 papers with X(3872) in title)
- does not fit well with conventional cc models (above open charm threshold with narrow width).





# Is there an X(4140) ?

Spotted in  $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$  decays...



# Is there an X(4140) ?

Spotted in  $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$  decays...unspotted...



# Is there an X(4140)?

Spotted in  $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$  decays...unspotted...spotted...



Wait for LHCb update and full amplitude analysis of spectrum

# Mixing & CP Violation



# D and B mixing







# Large CPV exists in B system

Observed in  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_s$  decays (sin  $2\beta$  from B factories)

Discovery of CPV in B<sub>s</sub> system



# Large Direct CPV

Large direct CPV observed in B<sup>±</sup>→h<sup>±</sup>h<sup>+</sup>h<sup>-</sup> Dalitz plot regions



and  $B^{\pm} \rightarrow D[\rightarrow K\pi]K^{\pm}$  (ADS mode used for  $\gamma$  measurement)



LHCb: PLB 712 (2012) 203

# CP angle y

Direct CP violation caused by the angle  $\gamma$  in the CKM matrix.

- Only tree-level processes e.g.  $B^{\pm} \rightarrow DK^{\pm}$  enable  $\gamma$  to be determined with negligible SM theoretical uncertainty.
- LHCb combination of results using GLW/ADS (D $\rightarrow$ hh) & GGSZ (D $\rightarrow$ KShh) analyses gives

$$\gamma = 67 \pm 12 \text{ deg.}$$

(BaBar/Belle sensitivity both 16 deg.)



# Is there CPV in Mixing?

Dimuon charge asymmetry using semileptonic b decays



CP violation in interference between mixing and decay e.g. as measured in  $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ 

CP violating phase  $\phi_s = \phi_M + 2\phi_D$ very small and well determined in SM.



Possible NP in box diagram can modify the phase.



Picture recently (Beauty 2013) added to by ATLAS (flavourtagged) & final LHCb 2011 measurements. ATLAS-CONF-2013-039



No big NP effect observed, now crucial to improve precision.

14th May 2013

Compare tree-level decays with rare penguin processes.

 $B_{s} \rightarrow J/\psi KK (\& B_{s} \rightarrow J/\psi \pi \pi)$ Candidates / (0.274 ps) Candidates / 0.06' Candidates / (0.33 ps) Candidates / (0.26 rad LHCb LHCb (a) LHCb 1200 1000 10 600 400 200 10 0.5 Decay time [ps] Decay time [ps] cos 0 Candidates / 0. Candidates / 0. (c) LHCb. Candidates / 0.067 Candidates /  $(0.067 \pi rad)$ LHCb LHC 1200 1200 1000 1000 800 600 600 400 200 200 -0.5 0.5 -0.5 cos θ  $\varphi_{h}$  [rad] LHCb: arXiv:1304.2600 LHCb: arXiv:1303.7125

First result of  $\phi_s$  from  $B_s \rightarrow \phi \phi$  has p-value w.r.t. SM of 16%. Should help to clarify "sin2 $\beta^{\text{eff}}$ " picture.

14th May 2013



B<sub>s</sub>→φφ

(b) LHCb

Φ [rad]

cos θ.

(d) LHCb

0.5

# Is there CPV in charm system?

In 2012 measurement of  $\Delta A_{CP}$  consistent with ~0.5% direct CPV in SCS charm decays (LHCb, CDF, Belle).

$$\Delta A_{CP} \equiv A_{CP} \left( K^{-} K^{+} \right) - A_{CP} \left( \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \right) = \left[ a_{CP}^{\text{dir}} \left( K^{-} K^{+} \right) - a_{CP}^{\text{dir}} \left( \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \right) \right] + \frac{\Delta \left\langle t \right\rangle}{\tau} a_{CP}^{\text{ind}}$$



Nobel Symposium 2013, V.Gibson

# **Rare Decays**



 $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

A Nobel (!) place to search for New Physics

Very rare in the Standard Model

- absence of tree-level FCNC
- helicity suppressed
- CKM suppressed

$$B(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)_{SM} = (3.54 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-9}$$

Strong enhancement (or suppression) possible in MSSM

$$B(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) \propto \frac{\tan^6 \beta}{M_A^4}$$







Impressive limits set by LHC (& Tevatron) experiments ....and first evidence of decay seen...



$$\mathcal{B}(B^0_s o \mu^+ \mu^-) = (3.2^{+1.4}_{-1.2}(\text{stat})^{+0.5}_{-0.3}(\text{syst})) imes 10^{-9}$$









 $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  measurement rules out MSSM at large tan $\beta$  with light pseudoscalar Higgs

- Now in the regime where more "natural" O(50%) NP effects can be probed Straub arXiv:1302.4651
- It is now vital to measure
- BR(B<sub>s</sub> $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ ) down to theory uncertainty (a few x 10<sup>-10</sup>)
- BR(B<sub>d</sub>→µ<sup>+</sup>µ<sup>-</sup>) to test "golden" relation between SM and MFV, distinguish between NP models

$$\frac{BR(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)}{BR(B_d \to \mu^+ \mu^-)} \simeq \frac{f_{B_s}^2}{f_{B_d}^2} \frac{\tau_{B_s}}{\tau_{B_d}} \frac{|V_{ts}|^2}{|V_{td}|^2} \simeq 32$$



 $B^0 \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

Powerful approach to study helicity structure of New Physics.

- Many observables (rates, angular distributions, asymmetries)
- Experimentally clean signature
- Clean theoretical predictions (especially at low-q<sup>2</sup>)



 $B^0 \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

### Flagship measurement is A<sub>FB</sub>





$$q_0^2 = 4.9 \pm 0.9 \, {
m GeV}^2/c^4$$

Theory predictions in the range 3.9-4.4 GeV<sup>2</sup>/ $c^4$  with ~10% uncertainties

 $B^0 \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

Looking forward to HFAG average of A<sub>FB</sub> and studies of other observables (now starting to appear)



Altmannshofer et al JHEP 01 (2009) 019 J.Gibson 35/44

14th May 2013

# Rare D decays

Rare D decays also offer many opportunities to search for New Physics

e.g.  $D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

Helicity & GIM suppressed



Dominated by long-distance contributions from  $\gamma\gamma$ 







# **Future Prospects**



# **Future Prospects**



### Future Prospects for Heavy Flavour at the LHC

- Short term prospects are excellent... lots of LHCb & ATLAS/CMS data still to come from 2012 run and post-LS1.
- Second half of decade will see the transition to the next generation of experiments.
- LHCb upgrade will be installed in LS2 (2018)
  - Readout all detector at 40 MHz
  - Trigger fully in software
  - Run at instantaneous luminosity of ~2 x10<sup>33</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>

LHCb plans to collect > 50 fb<sup>-1</sup> after upgrade.



40/44

CERN/LHCC-

# LHCb Upgrade



### Future Prospects for Heavy Flavour at the LHC

Fantastic prospects after LHCb upgrade...

- CP Violation
  - factor >10 reduction in uncertainty on  $\gamma$
  - precision measurement of  $\varphi_{s}$
  - sensitivity to direct CPV in charm at SM expectation, and precise probing of indirect CPV in charm
- Rare decays
  - measure BR(B<sub>s</sub> $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ ) to better than theory prediction and measure BR(B<sub>d</sub> $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ )
  - extensive exploration of electroweak penguins using exclusive  $B \rightarrow X_s I^+I^-$  decays
- And many many other topics....

### The tale will continue for many years...

### The tale will continue for many years...

Will Noggin find Nooka?



#### LHC Physicist



#### Noggin the Nog

New Physics



Noł



### The tale will continue for many years...





Noggin the Nog

Or will he just keep finding the Standard Model ?

Standard Model



Nogbad the Bad

14th May 2013



43/44

### Let's hope for a happy ending.....



# And now for my questions...



14th May 2013

# And now for my questions...

### **Question 1**

If the LHCb upgrade does not reveal NP by the end of the 2020's, what is the fate of flavour physics (incl. neutrinos, EDM,  $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma....$ )?



# And now for my questions...

### **Question 1**

If the LHCb upgrade does not reveal NP by the end of the 2020's, what is the fate of flavour physics (incl. neutrinos, EDM,  $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma....$ )?

### **Question 2**

At the moment we have "two roads to discovery", CPV and rare decays. Should we contemplate e.g. a "rare decay only" experiment ?



### **Question 3**

What ultimate precision on CPV and rare decay observables should a quark flavour physics experiment aspire to ? i.e. is there a need to go well beyond SM theory uncertainties ?



### **Question 3**

What ultimate precision on CPV and rare decay observables should a quark flavour physics experiment aspire to ? i.e. is there a need to go well beyond SM theory uncertainties ?

### And finally....Question 4

We do not seem to be making much progress towards a "theory of flavour" - i.e. an understanding of the patterns in, and relations (if any) between, the quark and lepton masses and mixing. Are different experimental and/or theoretical approaches needed to address this question?



# Backup

ັ<u>ຕ</u> 0.14

0.12 آپا

0.

0.08

0.06

0.04

0.02

 $\Delta\Gamma_{\rm s}$  constrained to > 0

dt = 4.9 fb

**TLAS** Preliminarv

90% C.L.

95% C.L.

Standard Model  $\Delta \Gamma_s = 2 |\Gamma_{12}| \cos(\phi)$ 

Picture recently (Beauty 2013) added to by ATLAS (flavour-tagged) & final LHCb 2011 measurements.

No big NP effect observed, now crucial to improve precision.



Also compare to measurements of B<sub>s</sub> effective lifetime



# LHCb Upgrade

Type	Observable	Current	LHCb	Upgrade	Theory
		precision	2018	$(50\mathrm{fb}^{-1})$	uncertainty
$B_s^0$ mixing	$2eta_s \; (B^0_s  o J/\!\psi \; \phi)$	0.10 [30]	0.025	0.008	$\sim 0.003$
	$2eta_s \; (B^0_s  ightarrow J\!/\psi\; f_0(980))$	0.17 [32]	0.045	0.014	$\sim 0.01$
	$a^s_{ m sl}$	$6.4 \times 10^{-3}$ [63]	$0.6 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.2 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.03 \times 10^{-3}$
Gluonic	$2eta_s^{ m eff}(B^0_s o \phi\phi)$	-	0.17	0.03	0.02
penguins	$2eta^{ ext{eff}}_s(B^0_s o K^{st 0}ar{K}^{st 0})$	-	0.13	0.02	< 0.02
	$2eta^{ ext{eff}}(B^0  o \phi K^0_S)$	0.17[63]	0.30	0.05	0.02
Right-handed	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0  o \phi \gamma)$	_	0.09	0.02	< 0.01
currents	$ au^{ m eff}(B^0_s o \phi\gamma)/ au_{B^0_s}$	a de la companya de l	5%	1%	0.2%
Electroweak	$S_3(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6 \text{GeV}^2/c^4)$	0.08[64]	0.025	0.008	0.02
penguins	$s_0A_{ m FB}(B^0 o K^{st 0}\mu^+\mu^-)$	25% [64]	6%	2%	7%
	$A_{ m I}(K\mu^+\mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6{ m GeV}^2\!/c^4)$	0.25[9]	0.08	0.025	$\sim 0.02$
	$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)$	25% [29]	8%	2.5%	$\sim 10 \%$
Higgs	${\cal B}(B^0_s o\mu^+\mu^-)$	$1.5 \times 10^{-9}$ [4]	$0.5  imes 10^{-9}$	$0.15 \times 10^{-9}$	$0.3 imes10^{-9}$
penguins	${\cal B}(B^0  o \mu^+ \mu^-)/{\cal B}(B^0_s  o \mu^+ \mu^-)$	_	$\sim 100 \%$	$\sim 35~\%$	$\sim 5\%$
Unitarity	$\gamma \; (B  ightarrow D^{(*)}K^{(*)})$	$\sim 10  12^{\circ} [40, 41]$	4°	0.9°	negligible
triangle	$\gamma \; (B^0_s  o D_s K)$	_	11°	$2.0^{\circ}$	negligible
angles	$eta \; (B^0  o J/\psi  K^0_S)$	$0.8^{\circ}$ [63]	$0.6^{\circ}$	$0.2^{\circ}$	negligible
Charm	$A_{\Gamma}$	$2.3  imes 10^{-3}$ [63]	$0.40 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.07 imes10^{-3}$	_
$C\!P$ violation	$\Delta A_{CP}$	$2.1  imes 10^{-3}$ [8]	$0.65 imes10^{-3}$	$0.12  imes 10^{-3}$	( <u> </u>

#### LHCb & theorists: EPJ C 73 (2013) 2373