



Recent developments on CKM angles

Wei Wang

Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik, Bonn

Flavor Physics & CP Violation, Buzios, Rio, 19-24 May 2013 (FPCP 2013)

Wei Wang (HISKP)

CKM angles

Buzios, Rio, 19-24 May 2013 1 / 24

Why are we particularly interested in determining the CKM angles?

 Direct search at hadron collider Higgs vs New particles beyond the SM
 Flavor Physics Test of SM Indirect search of new degree of freedom

Why are we interested in determing CKM angles?

CKM unitarity triangle and CPV parameter convention

 $V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{bd} & V_{bc} & V_{bc} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^2/2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho(\eta)) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2/2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho(\eta)) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ Wolfenstein parametrization Irreducible complex phase $(\overline{\rho},\overline{\eta})$ causes CP Violation (CPV)! $b \rightarrow U^{V} u d^{V} u b^{*}$ $\begin{array}{c|c} \phi_2 & \forall_{td} \forall_{tb}^* \\ (\alpha) & B^0 \overline{B}^0 \end{array}$ mixing Comprehensive test; transition measure all the angles and φ(γ) φ3 sides. $(\beta) \phi_1$ (0,0) $V_{cd} V_{cb}^{*}$ (1,0) B system : very good place, all the angles are O(0.1)! $V_{td} V_{tb} + V_{cd} V_{cb} + V_{ud} V_{ub} = 0$



Left: evolution plot (UTFit); Right: HFAG Average of $sin(2\beta/\phi_1)$ from all experiments.



 $\beta = \phi_1 = (21.5^{+0.8}_{-0.7})^{\circ}.$

Status

Average taken from CKMFitter



$$\alpha = \phi_2 = (88.5^{+4.7}_{-4.4})^\circ \quad \gamma = \phi_3 = (66 \pm 12)^\circ.$$

$$\checkmark \quad \alpha + \beta + \gamma = (176 \pm 13)^\circ.$$

Wei Wang (HISKP)



Recent results for γ from $B \rightarrow DK$ (from HFAG)

	BaBar	Belle	LHCb
γ	$(69^{+17}_{-16})^{\circ}$	$(68^{+15}_{-14})^{\circ}$	$(71.1^{+16.6}_{-15.7})^{\circ}$
r_B	$0.092\substack{+0.013\\-0.012}$	$0.112^{+0.014}_{-0.015}$	$0.0919^{+0.0083}_{-0.0082}$
δ_B	$(105^{+16}_{-17})^{\circ}$	$(116^{+18}_{-21})^{\circ}$	$(112.0^{+12.6}_{-15.5})^{\circ}$
Ref.	arXiv:1301.1029	CKM2012 preliminary	LHCb-CONF-2012-032

Naive average: γ = (69.3 ± 9.3)°.
 α + β + γ = (179.3 ± 10.4)°.

Development: New Measurements + New Channels + New Effects New measurements of α/ϕ_2 : cf talk by Pit VANHOEFER in this conference New measurements of β/ϕ_1 : cf talk by Riccardo DE SANGRO in this conference New measurements of γ/ϕ_3 :

cf talk by Matteo RAMA, Till Moritz KARBACH in this conference

We shall do everything to reduce the errors.

New Channels

$\gamma = \phi_3$ constraint via $B \rightarrow DK$

Principle	Methods and Reference
D^0 or $D^{*0} \to \operatorname{CP}$ eigenstate	GLW PLB 253, 483 (1991)
	PLB265,172(1991)
Enhance CP asymmetry by	ADS, PRL78,3357(1997)
suppressed D decay	PRD63,036005(2001)
Dalitz distribution in three-	GGSZ, PRD68,054018(2003)
body D decay ($K_S \pi^+ \pi^-$, etc)	

Very clean weak phase information

 γ from $B \rightarrow DK_{0,2}^*$ in GLW method



In $B \rightarrow D_{CP}K$, sensitive to a small ratio of interfering amplitudes.

$$\begin{split} &\sqrt{2}A(B^+ \to D^0_{\pm}K^+) = A(B^+ \to D^0K^+) \pm A(B^+ \to \bar{D}^0K^+), \\ &\sqrt{2}A(B^- \to D^0_{\pm}K^-) = A(B^- \to D^0K^-) \pm A(B^- \to \bar{D}^0K^-), \end{split}$$

Vanishing decay constant for $K_{0,2}^*$:

$$\langle K_0^{*-}(1430)|\bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}u|0
angle = f_{K_0^*}p_{K_0^*}^{\mu}\sim 0, \langle K_2^{*-}(1430)|\bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}u|0
angle = 0.$$

Color-allowed and color-suppressed amplitudes are comparable in $B \rightarrow DK_{0,2}^*$!

Wei Wang (HISKP)

γ from $B \rightarrow DK_{0,2}^*$ in GLW method



Large CP asymmetries are expected in $B \rightarrow DK_{0,2}$. Even better in $B \rightarrow D\pi$ counterpart. WW, 1110.5194

Determining γ via Three-body $B \rightarrow K\bar{K}K$ and $B \rightarrow K\pi\pi$:

Solution	Fit 1	Fit 2	Fit 3
Ι	31^{+3}_{-2}	31 ± 2	31^{+2}_{-3}
II	76^{+3}_{-2}	78^{+2}_{-3}	77 ± 3
III	261^{+2}_{-4}	259 ± 3	258^{+4}_{-3}
IV	314 ± 2	315 ± 2	315^{+3}_{-2}

Bhattacharya, Imbeault, London, 1303.0846

Based on SU(3) symmetry analysis of the Dalitz plot. cf David LONDON's talk in this conference

Determining γ via Three-body $B \rightarrow K\bar{K}K$ and $B \rightarrow K\pi\pi$:

Solution	Fit 1	Fit 2	Fit 3
Ι	31^{+3}_{-2}	31 ± 2	31^{+2}_{-3}
II	76^{+3}_{-2}	78^{+2}_{-3}	77 ± 3
III	261^{+2}_{-4}	259 ± 3	258^{+4}_{-3}
IV	314 ± 2	315 ± 2	315^{+3}_{-2}

Bhattacharya, Imbeault, London, 1303.0846

Based on SU(3) symmetry analysis of the Dalitz plot. cf David LONDON's talk in this conference

 $\rightarrow \alpha + \beta + \gamma = (187 \pm 5.6)^{\circ}$

New Effects

Large CPA in $D^0 \rightarrow (K^+K^-, \pi^+\pi^-)$ was observed by LHCb, CDF and Belle (2011, 2012):



Large CPA in $D^0 \rightarrow (K^+K^-, \pi^+\pi^-)$ was observed by LHCb, CDF and Belle (2011, 2012):



Question: How large is the impact on the extraction of γ if $A_{CP} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.1 - 1\%)$?

Wei Wang (HISKP)

CKM angles

Buzios, Rio, 19-24 May 2013 16 / 24

Large CPA in $D^0 \rightarrow (K^+K^-, \pi^+\pi^-)$ was observed by LHCb, CDF and Belle (2011, 2012):



Question: How large is the impact on the extraction of γ if $A_{CP} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.1 - 1\%)$?

Warning: Large CPA is not confirmed by the recent LHCb measurement: 1303.2614; LHCb-CONF-2013-003

Wei Wang (HISKP)

CPA effects on γ in GLW method

$$\begin{split} A(D^0 \to f) &= T_D^f (1 + r_D^f e^{-i\gamma + i\delta_D^f}), \\ A(\bar{D}^0 \to f) &= T_D^f (1 + r_D^f e^{i\gamma + i\delta_D^f}), \end{split}$$



$$\begin{aligned} A_{+}^{K} &= \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{-} \to D_{+}^{0}K^{-}) - \mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to D_{+}^{0}K^{+})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{-} \to D_{+}^{0}K^{-}) + \mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to D_{+}^{0}K^{+})} \\ &= \frac{1}{R_{+}^{K}} \bigg[(1 - (r_{B}^{K})^{2}) A_{CP}^{dir}(D^{0} \to f) + \frac{2r_{B}^{K}(1 + (r_{D}^{f})^{2}) \sin \delta_{B}^{K} \sin \gamma}{1 + (r_{D}^{f})^{2} + 2r_{D}^{f} \cos \delta_{D}^{f} \cos \gamma} \bigg] \\ &\equiv 2r_{B}^{K} \sin \delta_{B}^{K} \sin \gamma_{eff} / R_{+}^{K}. \end{aligned}$$
(1)

 $\Delta \gamma \equiv \gamma_{eff} - \gamma = \mathcal{O}(A_{CP}^{\text{dir}}/r_B^K) \sim \text{a few degrees!}$

WW, 1211.4539; Martone, Zupan, 1212.0165; Bhattacharya, London, Gronau, Rosner, 1301.5631

Wei Wang (HISKP)

CKM angles

Buzios, Rio, 19-24 May 2013 17 / 24

The direct CP asymmetry effects in R_+ :

$$\begin{split} R_{+}^{K} &= 2 \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{-} \to D_{+}^{0}K^{-}) + \mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to D_{+}^{0}K^{+})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{-} \to D^{0}K^{-}) + \mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to \bar{D}^{0}K^{+})} \\ &= 1 + (r_{B}^{K})^{2} + \frac{2r_{B}^{K}\cos\delta_{B}[(1 + (r_{D}^{f})^{2})\cos\gamma + 2r_{D}^{f}\cos\delta_{D}^{f}]}{1 + (r_{D}^{f})^{2} + 2r_{D}^{f}\cos\gamma\cos\delta_{D}^{f}} \\ &\equiv 1 + (r_{B}^{K})^{2} + 2r_{B}^{K}\cos\delta_{B}^{K}\cos\gamma_{eff} \end{split}$$



Depending on the strong phase difference in $D \rightarrow K^+K^-/\pi^+\pi^-$ decays, the shift of γ can reach 0.5°!

(2)

CPA effects on γ in $B \rightarrow DK (D \rightarrow K_S \pi^+ \pi^-)$

Dalitz plot analysis

Resonance	Contribution to γ bias (°)		
	Amplitude	Phase	
CF K*(892)	$+0.09\pm0.27$	-0.87 ± 2.09	
$CF K_0^*(1430)$	-0.05 ± 0.05	-0.23 ± 0.35	
$CF K_2^*(1430)$	$+0.07\pm0.12$	-0.04 ± 0.07	
CF K [*] (1410)	$+0.01\pm0.02$	-0.21 ± 0.37	
$\rho(770)$	$+0.27\pm0.89$	-0.24 ± 0.97	
ω	-0.32 ± 0.21	-0.25 ± 0.36	
$f_0(980)$	-0.02 ± 0.13	-0.02 ± 0.38	
$f_2(1270)$	-0.09 ± 0.10	-0.06 ± 0.09	
$f_0(1370)$	-0.09 ± 1.06	$+0.01\pm0.26$	
$\rho(1450)$	-0.02 ± 0.19	-0.09 ± 0.22	
σ_1	-0.31 ± 0.78	-0.09 ± 0.62	
σ_2	-0.07 ± 0.08	-0.04 ± 0.56	
DCS K*(892)	-0.04 ± 0.24	$+0.22\pm0.15$	
DCS $K_0^*(1430)$	$+0.23\pm0.44$	-0.12 ± 0.21	
DCS $K_2^*(1430)$	-0.30 ± 0.56	$+0.03\pm0.04$	
Total	-2.65 ± 3.17		

Bondar, Dolgov, Poluektov, Vorobiev, arXiv:1303.6305 *CP* violating contributions in $D \rightarrow K_S \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay to the γ measurement bias

CPA effects on γ in $B \rightarrow DK (D \rightarrow K_S \pi^+ \pi^-)$

Dalitz plot analysis

Resonance	Contribution to γ bias (°)		
	Amplitude	Phase	
CF K*(892)	$+0.09\pm0.27$	-0.87 ± 2.09	
$CF K_0^*(1430)$	-0.05 ± 0.05	-0.23 ± 0.35	
$CF K_2^*(1430)$	$+0.07\pm0.12$	-0.04 ± 0.07	
CF $K^{\overline{*}}(1410)$	$+0.01\pm0.02$	-0.21 ± 0.37	
$\rho(770)$	$+0.27\pm0.89$	-0.24 ± 0.97	
ω	-0.32 ± 0.21	-0.25 ± 0.36	
$f_0(980)$	-0.02 ± 0.13	-0.02 ± 0.38	
$f_2(1270)$	-0.09 ± 0.10	-0.06 ± 0.09	
$f_0(1370)$	-0.09 ± 1.06	$+0.01\pm0.26$	
$\rho(1450)$	-0.02 ± 0.19	-0.09 ± 0.22	
σ_1	-0.31 ± 0.78	-0.09 ± 0.62	
σ_2	-0.07 ± 0.08	-0.04 ± 0.56	
DCS K*(892)	-0.04 ± 0.24	$+0.22\pm0.15$	
DCS $K_0^*(1430)$	$+0.23\pm0.44$	-0.12 ± 0.21	
DCS $K_2^{*}(1430)$	-0.30 ± 0.56	$+0.03\pm0.04$	
Total	-2.65 ± 3.17		

Bondar, Dolgov, Poluektov, Vorobiev, arXiv:1303.6305 *CP* violating contributions in $D \rightarrow K_S \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay to the γ measurement bias CKM ansatz: CPV is due to a complex phase in the quark mixing matrix $V_{n=3} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & \underline{V_{ub}} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & \overline{V_{cb}} \\ \underline{V_{td}} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^2/2 & \lambda & \underline{A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta)} \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2/2 & \underline{A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta)} \\ \underline{A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta)} & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $\bigcup \\ \mathcal{O}(\lambda^6)$ Bs-Bs mixing $\begin{bmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{8}\lambda^4 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda [1 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2\lambda^4(2\rho - 1) + i\lambda^2\lambda^4] & 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{8}(tA^2 + 1)\lambda^4 & A\lambda^2 \\ \underline{A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta)} & -A\lambda^2 [1 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2(2\rho - 1) + i\lambda^2\eta] & 1 - \frac{1}{2}A^2\lambda^4 \end{bmatrix}$ Bs-Bs mixing $Bs \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{*}ts & \sqrt{*}ts & \sqrt{*}ts \\ w & t & w \\ b & \rightarrow & s \end{bmatrix}$ Bs



 ϕ_s from $B_s \to J/\psi\phi$

 $B_s(\overline{B}_s) \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu + \mu -) \phi(K+K-)$ can proceed directly or through mixing



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^4 \Gamma(B^0_s \to J/\psi \,\phi)}{\mathrm{d}t \,\mathrm{d}\Omega} \propto \sum_{k=1}^{10} h_k(t) \,f_k(\Omega) \,.$$

The time-dependent functions $h_k(t)$ can be written

$$h_k(t) = N_k e^{-\Gamma_s t} \left[c_k \cos(\Delta m_s t) + d_k \sin(\Delta m_s t) + a_k \cosh\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\Gamma_s t\right) + b_k \sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\Gamma_s t\right) \right].$$

Wei Wang (HISKP)

 ϕ_s from $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$

$SM: \phi_s = -2\beta_s = -0.04$ LHCb: $\phi_s = 0.15 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.06$



Wei Wang (HISKP)

Buzios, Rio, 19-24 May 2013 22 / 2

 ϕ_s from $B_s \to J/\psi\phi$

$$h_k(t) = N_k e^{-\Gamma_s t} \left[c_k \cos(\Delta m_s t) + d_k \sin(\Delta m_s t) + a_k \cosh\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\Gamma_s t\right) + b_k \sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\Gamma_s t\right) \right]$$

 c_k $4\varphi_{s}$: 0 0 0 $\lambda_i^j = \eta_i^j \frac{q}{p} \frac{\overline{A_i^j}}{A_i^j} = |\lambda_i^j| e^{-i\phi_i^j}$ $\sin(\delta_{\perp} - \delta_{\parallel})$ 0 $\sin(\delta_{\perp} - \delta_0)$ 0 $\cos(\delta_{\parallel} - \delta_{\rm S})$ X.Liu, WW, Y.H. Xie, in preparation. $\cos(\delta_0 - \delta_S)$

Bhattacharya, A. Datta, D. London 1209.1413

c_k
$\frac{1- \lambda_0^1 ^2}{1+ \lambda_0^1 ^2}$
$\frac{1- \lambda_{11}^1 ^2}{1+ \lambda_{11}^1 ^2}$
$\frac{1- \lambda_{\perp}^{1} ^{2}}{1+ \lambda_{\perp}^{1} ^{2}}$
$\frac{1}{2}$ $\sin(\delta^1_{\perp} - \delta^1_{ }) + \lambda^1_{\perp} \lambda^1_{ } $
$\sin(\delta^1_\perp - \delta^1_{ } - \phi^1_\perp + \phi^1_{ })$
$rac{1}{2} \left[\cos(\delta_0^1 - \delta_{ }^1) - \lambda_0^1 \lambda_{ }^1 ight]$
$\cos(\delta_0^1-\delta_{ }^1-\phi_0^1+\phi_{ }^1)$
$\frac{1}{2} \sin(\delta_0^1 - \delta_\perp^1) + \lambda_0^1 \lambda_\perp^1 $
$\sin(\delta_0^1-\delta_\perp^1-\phi_0^1+\phi_\perp^1) \bigg]$
$\frac{1- \lambda_0 ^2}{1+ \lambda_0 ^2}$
$\frac{1}{2} \cos(\delta_0^0 - \delta_{ }^1) + \lambda_0^0 \lambda_{ }^1 $
$\cos(\delta_0^0 - \delta_{ }^1 - \phi_0^0 + \phi_{ }^1)$
$rac{1}{2} \sin(\delta_0^0 - \delta_\perp^1) - \lambda_0^0 \lambda_\perp^1 $
$\sin(\delta^0_0-\delta^1_\perp-\phi^0_0+\phi^1_\perp)$
$\frac{1}{2}\left[\cos(\delta_0^0-\delta_0^1)+ \lambda_0^0 \lambda_0^1 $
$\cos(\delta_0^0 - \delta_0^1 - \phi_0^0 + \phi_0^1)$
Buzios, Rio, 19-24 May 2013

Wei Wang (HISKP)

Conclusions

New measurements are reducing the errors and soon we will be able to test the unitarity of CKM:

 $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 180^{\circ}? :: (179.3 \pm 10.4)^{\circ}.$

- New Channels can provide complementary power and useful to increase the statistical significance.
- There are always something unexpected!

Thank you for your attention!

Backup: STOP

Wei Wang (HISKP)

CKM angles

Buzios, Rio, 19-24 May 2013 25 / 24

 ϕ_s from $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$

TABLE I. The angular and time-dependent functions used in Eqs. (7) and (8), as discussed in the text. In the amplitude A_i^I , the superscript J denotes the spin of the K^+K^- state, while the subscript $i = 0, ||, \bot$ corresponds to the three polarization configurations. A_0^0 is also usually referred to as A_5 in the literature. Some abbreviations have been used for cosine and sime functions: $e_K = \cos \theta_K \cdot e_K = \sin \theta_K$.

k	f_k	N _k	a_k	b_k	c_k	d_k
1	$c_K^2 s_l^2$	$\frac{ A_0^1 ^2 + A_0^1 ^2}{2}$	1	$-\frac{2 \lambda_0^1 }{1+ \lambda_0^1 ^2}\cos(\phi_0^1)$	$\frac{1- \lambda_{0}^{1} ^{2}}{1+ \lambda_{0}^{1} ^{2}}$	$\frac{2 \lambda_0^1 }{1+ \lambda_0^1 ^2}\sin(\phi_0^1)$
2	$\frac{s_K^2(1-c_{\phi}^2c_l^2)}{2}$	$\frac{ A_{ }^1 ^2 + \overline{A}_{ }^1 ^2}{2}$	1	$-\frac{2 \lambda_{ }^{1} }{1+ \lambda_{ }^{1} ^{2}}\cos(\phi_{ }^{1})$	$\frac{\frac{1- \lambda_{ }^{2} ^{2}}{1+ \lambda_{ }^{1} ^{2}}$	$\frac{2 \lambda_{ }^{1} }{1+ \lambda_{ }^{1} ^{2}}\sin(\phi_{ }^{1})$
3	$\frac{s_K^2(1-s_\phi^2 c_l^2)}{2}$	$\frac{ A_{\perp}^1 ^2 + \overline{A}_{\perp}^1 ^2}{2}$	1	$\frac{2 \lambda_{\perp}^{1} }{1+ \lambda_{\perp}^{1} ^{2}}\cos(\phi_{\perp}^{1})$	$\frac{1- \lambda_{\perp}^{1} ^{2}}{1+ \lambda_{\perp}^{1} ^{2}}$	$\frac{2 \lambda_{\perp}^{1} }{1+ \lambda_{\perp}^{1} ^{2}}\sin(\phi_{\perp}^{1})$
4	2 2 2	141141	$\frac{1}{2} \left[\sin(\delta_{\perp}^1 - \delta_{\parallel}^1) - \lambda_{\perp}^1 \lambda_{\parallel}^1 \right]$	$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\perp}^{1} \sin(\delta_{\perp}^{1} - \delta_{ }^{1} - \phi_{\perp}^{1})$	$\frac{1}{2} \left[\sin(\delta_{\perp}^1 - \delta_{ }^1) + \lambda_{\perp}^1 \lambda_{ }^1 \right]$	$-rac{1}{2} \left \lambda_{\perp}^1 \right \cos(\delta_{\perp}^1 - \delta_{ }^1 - \phi_{\perp}^1)$
4	$sKsls\phi c\phi$	$ A_{\perp} A_{\parallel} $	$\sin(\delta^1_\perp - \delta^1_{ } - \phi^1_\perp + \phi^1_{ })$	$+ \lambda^1_{ } \sin(\delta^1_{ }-\delta^1_{\perp}-\phi^1_{ })$	$\sin(\delta^1_\perp - \delta^1_{ } - \phi^1_\perp + \phi^1_{ })$	$+ \lambda_{ }^1 \cos(\delta_{ }^1-\delta_{\perp}^1-\phi_{ }^1)\Big]$
5	$\sqrt{2}s_K c_K s_l c_l c_\phi$	$ A_0^1 A_{ }^1 $	$\frac{1}{2} \cos(\delta_0^1 - \delta_{ }^1) + \lambda_0^1 \lambda_{ }^1 $	$-rac{1}{2} \left \lambda_0^1 \right \cos (\delta_0^1 - \delta_{ }^1 - \phi_0^1)$	$\frac{1}{2} \cos(\delta_0^1 - \delta_{ }^1) - \lambda_0^1 \lambda_{ }^1 $	$-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_0^1 \sin(\delta_0^1-\delta_{ }^1-\phi_0^1)$
			$\cos(\delta_0^1-\delta_{ }^1-\phi_0^1+\phi_{ }^1)$	$+ \lambda_{ }^{1} \cos(\delta_{ }^{1}-\delta_{0}^{1}-\phi_{ }^{1})$	$\cos(\delta_0^1-\delta_{ }^1-\phi_0^1+\phi_{ }^1)$	$+ \lambda_{ }^{1} \sin(\delta_{ }^{1}-\delta_{0}^{1}-\phi_{ }^{1})$
6	$\sqrt{2}s_K c_K s_l c_{ls_{\phi}}$	$ A_0^1 A_\perp^1 $	$\frac{1}{2} \sin(\delta_0^1 - \delta_\perp^1) - \lambda_0^1 \lambda_\perp^1 $	$-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_0^1 \sin(\delta_0^1-\delta_{\perp}^1-\phi_0^1) $	$\frac{1}{2}$ $\sin(\delta_0^1 - \delta_{\perp}^1) + \lambda_0^1 \lambda_{\perp}^1 $	$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_0^1 \cos(\delta_0^1 - \delta_{\perp}^1 - \phi_0^1)$
			$\sin(\delta_0^1-\delta_\perp^1-\phi_0^1+\phi_\perp^1)$	$+ \lambda_{\perp}^{1} \sin(\delta_{\perp}^{1}-\delta_{0}^{1}-\phi_{\perp}^{1}) $	$\sin(\delta_0^1-\delta_\perp^1-\phi_0^1+\phi_\perp^1)$	$+ \lambda_{\perp}^1 \cos(\delta_{\perp}^1-\delta_0^1-\phi_{\perp}^1) $
7	$\frac{1}{3}s_{l}^{2}$	$\frac{ A_0^0 ^2 + \overline{A_0^0} ^2}{2}$	1	$\frac{2 \lambda_0^0 }{1+ \lambda_0^0 ^2}\cos(\phi_0^0)$	$\frac{1- \lambda_0^0 ^2}{1+ \lambda_0^0 ^2}$	$\frac{2 \lambda_0^0 }{1+ \lambda_0^0 ^2}\sin(\phi_0^0)$
•	2sKslclco	140 41	$\frac{1}{2} \cos(\delta_0^0 - \delta_{ }^1) - \lambda_0^0 \lambda_{ }^1 $	$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_0^0 \cos(\delta_0^0 - \delta_{ }^1 - \phi_0^0)$	$\frac{1}{2} \cos(\delta_0^0 - \delta_{ }^1) + \lambda_0^0 \lambda_{ }^1 $	$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_0^0 \sin(\delta_0^0 - \delta_{ }^1 - \phi_0^0)$
8	√6	MOAII	$\cos(\delta_0^0 - \delta_{ }^1 - \phi_0^0 + \phi_{ }^1)$	$- \lambda_{ }^{1} \cos(\delta_{ }^{1}-\delta_{0}^{0}-\phi_{ }^{1})$	$\cos(\delta_0^0 - \delta_{ }^1 - \phi_0^0 + \phi_{ }^1)$	$- \lambda_{ }^{1} \sin(\delta_{ }^{1}-\delta_{0}^{0}-\phi_{ }^{1})$
9	$\frac{2s_Ks_lc_ls_\phi}{\sqrt{6}}$	$A_0^0 A_\perp^{1\star} $	$\frac{1}{2} \sin(\delta_0^0-\delta_\perp^1)+ \lambda_0^0 \lambda_\perp^1 $	$rac{1}{2} \left \lambda_0^0 \right \sin (\delta_0^0 - \delta_\perp^1 - \phi_0^0)$	$\frac{1}{2} \sin(\delta_0^0 - \delta_\perp^1) - \lambda_0^0 \lambda_\perp^1 $	$\frac{1}{2} - \lambda_0^0 \cos(\delta_0^0 - \delta_\perp^1 - \phi_0^0)$
			$\sin(\delta_0^0 - \delta_\perp^1 - \phi_0^0 + \phi_\perp^1)$	$- \lambda^1_{\perp} \sin(\delta^1_{\perp}-\delta^0_0-\phi^1_{\perp})]$	$\sin(\delta_0^0 - \delta_{\perp}^1 - \phi_0^0 + \phi_{\perp}^1)$	$+ \lambda_{\perp}^{1} \cos(\delta_{\perp}^{1}-\delta_{0}^{0}-\phi_{\perp}^{1})]$
10	$\frac{2c_K s_l^2}{\sqrt{3}}$	1 40 41	$\frac{1}{2} \cos(\delta_0^0 - \delta_0^1) - \lambda_0^0 \lambda_0^1 $	$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_0^0 \cos(\delta_0^0 - \delta_0^1 - \phi_0^0)$	$\frac{1}{2} \cos(\delta_0^0 - \delta_0^1) + \lambda_0^0 \lambda_0^1 $	$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_0^0 \sin(\delta_0^0 - \delta_0^1 - \phi_0^0)$
		[240/40]	$\cos(\delta_0^0 - \delta_0^1 - \phi_0^0 + \phi_0^1)$	$- \lambda_0^1 \cos(\delta_0^1-\delta_0^0-\phi_0^1) $	$\cos(\delta_0^0 - \delta_0^1 - \phi_0^0 + \phi_0^1)$	$- \lambda_0^1 \sin(\delta_0^1 - \delta_0^0 - \phi_0^1)$

Wei Wang (HISKP)

CKM angles

Buzios, Rio, 19-24 May 2013 26 / 24