## Measurement of $\theta_{13}$





#### *Kwong Lau University of Houston*

Flavor Physics & CP Violation 2013 (FPCP 2013) Búzios, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil May 22, 2013







I am a member of the Daya Bay Collaboration.

Results from the Double Chooz and RENO collaborations are collected from previous publications or presentations. My apologies if I do not present their latest results or misinterpret them.







# **Physics Motivation**

The small but finite neutrino rest mass predicts oscillation phenomena which can be utilized to measure mixing angles and mass differences. One of the mixing angles,  $\theta_{13}$ , is intimately connected to leptonic CP violation which may be related to the matter-antimatter asymmetry of the universe.

# Neutrino Oscillation



### Neutrinos change flavor ( $e, \mu, \tau$ ) with time

**Principle:** Mass eigenstates ≠ Interaction (flavor) eigenstates

$$P_{\nu_e \to \nu_e}(t) = \left| \left\langle \nu_e(0) \left| \nu_e(t) \right\rangle \right|^2 = \left| \sum_{j=1}^3 \left\langle \nu_j(0) \left| U_{ej}^* \sum_{i=1}^3 e^{iE_i t} U_{ie} \right| \nu_i(0) \right\rangle \right|^2$$

Physical Parameters: (chosen by nature)

 $\theta_{ij}$ : (appear in U)

3 angles between mass/flavor

eigenstates set oscillation amplitude

Δm<sub>ij</sub><sup>2</sup>: (appear in E<sub>i</sub>-E<sub>j</sub> as a function of p) Differences in 3 neutrino masses determine oscillation frequency (distance)

We want to know all  $\theta$  and  $\Delta m^2$ 

**First Evidence of Oscillation:** Davis detects 1/3 expected solar neutrinos (1968)



# A Decade of Progress



### Many recent measurements of neutrino oscillation

$$c_{ij} \equiv \cos \theta_{ij}$$
 and  $s_{ij} \equiv \sin \theta_{ij}$ 

Accelerator v

Long-Baseline Reactor  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ 

# $\theta_{13} : \mbox{Only angle not yet firmly observed. It is } \\ the gateway to leptonic CP violation \delta \\ \end{tabular}$

Accelerator v

### Mass Hierarchy of Neutrinos



Which is the right mass hierarchy?



# Neutrino Survival Probability



Neutrino survival probability depends on mixing angles and time (baseline)

$$P_{\nu_e \to \nu_e}(t) = \left| \left\langle \nu_e(0) \left| \nu_e(t) \right\rangle \right|^2 = \left| \sum_{j=1}^3 \left\langle \nu_j(0) \left| U_{ej}^* \sum_{i=1}^3 e^{iE_i t} U_{ie} \right| \nu_i(0) \right\rangle \right|^2$$

$$\begin{split} P_{v_e \to v_e} &= (c_{13}c_{12})^2 (c_{13}c_{12})^2 + (c_{13}s_{12})^2 (c_{13}s_{12})^2 (c_{13}s_{12})^2 + (s_{13})^2 (s_{13})^2 \\ &+ (c_{13}s_{12})^2 (c_{13}c_{12})^2 2\cos\left(\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2 t}{2p}\right) + (s_{13})^2 (c_{13}c_{12})^2 2\cos\left(\frac{\Delta m_{31}^2 t}{2p}\right) \\ &+ (s_{13})^2 (c_{13}s_{12})^2 2\cos\left(\frac{\Delta m_{32}^2 t}{2p}\right) \end{split}$$

$$P_{\nu_e \to \nu_e} \approx 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\Delta m_{31}^2 L}{4E}\right) - \cos^4 \theta_{13} \sin^2 2\theta_{12} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2 L}{4E}\right)$$

# **Reactor Neutrino Oscillation**



### $\theta_{13}$ revealed by a deficit of reactor antineutrinos at ~ 2 km.





# Design principles of Reactorbased experiments

In order to measure the potentially small  $\theta_{13}$  to levels of 0.01 for  $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ , the experiments were designed to measure relative quantities with multiple functionally identical detectors, paying detailed attention to background rejection and control.

# **Relative Measurement**





Largest uncertainty in previous measurements ( $\sim 3\%$ )

### **Relative Measurement:**

Removes absolute uncertainties!





# **Detection Method**



Scintillator

## Inverse β-decay (IBD):

$$\overline{\nu}_e + p \to e^+ + n$$

$$\downarrow \\ n + Gd \to x^{x+1} Gd + \gamma$$

### Prompt positron:

Carries antineutrino energy  $E_{e^+} \approx E_v - 0.8 \text{ MeV}$   $\overline{v}_e$ 

### **Delayed neutron capture:** Efficiently tags antineutrino signal



### **Prompt + Delayed coincidence provides distinctive signature**





## Brief summary of $\theta_{\rm 13}$ reactor experiments



Experiment	Daya Bay	Double Chooz	RENO	
Number of reactors & total power	3 (17.4 GW)	2 (9.4 GW)	6 (16.5 GW)	
Reactor configuration	3	2	6 inline	
Detector configuration	2 N +1 F	+1 F 1 N +1 F		
Baseline (meter)	(364, 480, 1912)	(400, 1050)	(290,1380)	
Overburden (mwe)	(280, 300, 880)	(120, 300)	(110, 450)	
Detector medium	Gd-doped liquid scintillator (GdLS)			
Detector geometry	Concentric cylinders of GdLS, $\gamma$ -catcher and Oil buffer			
Target mass (ton)	(40, 40, 80)	(10, 10)	(16.5, 16.5)	
Outer shield	2.5 m water	0.50 m of LS + 0.15 m of Steel	1.5 of water	
Muon veto	Water Cerenkov + RPC Cover	LS + Scintillator Strip Cover	Water Cerenkov	

# The Daya Bay Neutrino Experiment

A large international collaboration of about 230 members was formed to build and deploy eight modules, each with 20-t target mass, inside a mountain next to the Daya Bay Nuclear Power Plant Complex, 4 in two near halls and 4 in the far hall at distances of about 2km.







Kwong Lau

FPCP 2013



### The Daya Bay Collaboration



### ~ 230 collaborators, 37 institutions

Political Map of the World, June 1999

### **North America (16) (~100)**

BNL, Caltech, Illinois Inst. Tech., Iowa State Univ., LBNL, Princeton, RPI, Siena, UC-Berkeley, UCLA, Univ. of Cincinnati, Univ. of Houston, Univ. of Illinois-Urbana-Champaign, Univ. of Wisconsin-Madison, Virginia Tech., William and Mary Europe (2) (~10)

Charles University, Czech Republic, JINR, Dubna, Russia

#### Asia (19) (~140)

Beijing Normal Univ., Chengdu Univ. of Sci. and Tech., CGNPG, CIAE, Dongguan Polytech. Univ., IHEP, Nanjing Univ., Nankai Univ., Shandong Univ., Shanghai Jiao Tong Univ., Shenzhen Univ., Tsinghua Univ., USTC, Zhongshan Univ., Chinese Univ. of Hong Kong, Univ. of Hong Kong, National Chiao Tung Univ., National Taiwan Univ., National United Univ.



# **Experiment Layout**





# The Daya Bay Detector



#### ADs surrounded by > 2.5-meter thick two-section water shield and RPCs

 Antineutrino detectors (ADs) are concentric acrylic tanks filled with liquid scintillator or mineral oil

Daya Bay

- Inner and outer water shields are instrumented with
  - 288 8" PMTs in each near hall
  - 384 8" PMTs in Far Hall
- 4-layer RPC modules above pool
  - 54 modules in each near hall
  - 81 modules in Far Hall



NWUNG Lau

IF CF ZUIJ





# Interior of Antineutrino Detector







# Antineutrino IBD Event Selection



### Use IBD Prompt + Delayed correlated signal to select antineutrinos

### Selection:

- Reject Flashers
- Prompt Positron: 0.7 MeV <  $E_p$  < 12 MeV
- Delayed Neutron: 6.0 MeV <  $\dot{E}_d$  < 12 MeV
- Capture time: 1  $\mu$ s <  $\Delta$ t < 200  $\mu$ s
- Muon Veto:

Pool Muon: Reject 0.6ms AD Muon (>20 MeV): Reject 1ms AD Shower Muon (>2.5GeV): Reject 1s

- Multiplicity:

No other signal > 0.7 MeV in ±200 μs of IBD. Selection driven by uncertainty in relative detector efficiency

FPCP 2013

 $\frac{N_{\rm f}}{N_{\rm n}} = \left(\frac{N_{\rm p,f}}{N_{\rm p,n}}\right) \left(\frac{L_{\rm n}}{L_{\rm f}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\epsilon_{\rm f}}{\epsilon_{\rm n}}\right) \left[\frac{P_{\rm sur}(E,L_{\rm f})}{P_{\rm sur}(E,L_{\rm n})}\right]$ 



IFUE ZUL

INVUING LOU





# Prompt/Delayed Energy

### **Clear separation of antineutrino events from most other signals**



# Uncertainty in relative E<sub>d</sub> efficiency (0.12%) between detectors is largest systematic.



# **Capture Time**



### **Consistent IBD capture time measured in all detectors**



FPCP 2013







### Uncorrelated signals dominated by low-energy radioactivity

### Measured Rates:

~65 Hz in each detector (>0.7 MeV)

### Sources:

Stainless Steel: U/Th chains PMTs: <sup>40</sup>K, U/Th chains Scintillator: Radon/U/Th chains





# Accidental Background



- Calculation:
  - Random coincidence of neutron-like singles and prompt signals
- Cross check:
  - Prompt-delayed distance distribution. Check the fraction of prompt-delayed with distance >2m.



#### Accidental background rates (per day), muon veto and multiplicity cut eff corrected

	AD1	AD2	AD3	AD4	AD5	AD6
Accidentals ( per day)	9.73±0.10	9.61±0.10	7.55±0.08	3.05±0.04	3.04±0.04	2.93±0.03
5/22/2013		Kwa	ong Lau	FPCP 2013		



# Fast neutron Background



Energetic neutrons produced by cosmic rays (inside and outside of muon veto system)

### Mimics antineutrino (IBD) signal:

- Prompt: Neutron collides/stops in target
- Delayed: Neutron captures on Gd







**β-n decay:** 

- Prompt: β-decay

- Delayed: neutron capture

# β-n decay Background





- Long-lived
- Mimic antineutrino signal
- Estimate <sup>9</sup>Li rate using time-correlation with muon

Time since muon (s)

30

Be\* <sup>9</sup>Li/<sup>8</sup>He Fit 9 α Analysis muon veto cuts control Events/(0.1 s B/S to ~0.4±0.2%. <sup>9</sup>Li:  $\tau_{1/2} = 178$  ms, Q = 13.6 MeV <sup>8</sup>He:  $\tau_{\frac{1}{2}} = 119$  ms, Q = 10.6 MeV  $E_{\mu}>4$  GeV (visible) 10 12 14 16 X (S)



# Summary of Backgrounds



	Near	Halls	Far Hall		
	<b>B/S</b> %	σ <sub>B/S</sub> %	<b>B/S</b> %	σ <sub>B/S</sub> %	
Accidentals	1.5	0.02	4.0	0.05	
Fast neutrons	0.12	0.05	0.07	0.03	
<sup>9</sup> Li/ <sup>8</sup> He	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.2	
<sup>241</sup> Am- <sup>13</sup> C	0.03	0.03	0.3	0.3	
$^{13}C(\alpha, n)^{16}O$	0.01	0.006	0.05	0.03	

#### Total backgrounds are 5% (2%) in far (near) halls.



# Data Set Summary



### > 200k antineutrino interactions!

	AD1	AD2	AD3	AD4	AD5	AD6
Antineutrino candidates	69121	69714	66473	9788	9669	9452
DAQ live time (day)	127.5470		127.3763	126.2646		
Efficiency	0.8015	0.7986	0.8364	0.9555	0.9552	0.9547
Accidentals (/day)	9.73±0.10	9.61±0.10	$7.55 \pm 0.08$	$3.05 \pm 0.04$	$3.04 \pm 0.04$	$2.93 \pm 0.03$
Fast neutron (/day)	$0.77 \pm 0.24$	$0.77 \pm 0.24$	$0.58 \pm 0.33$	$0.05 \pm 0.02$	$0.05 \pm 0.02$	$0.05 \pm 0.02$
<sup>8</sup> He/ <sup>9</sup> Li (/day)	$2.9 \pm 1.5$		$2.0 \pm 1.1$		$0.22 \pm 0.12$	
Am-C corr. (/day)	$0.2\pm0.2$					
$^{13}C(\alpha, n)^{16}O(/day)$	$0.08 \pm 0.04$	$0.07 \pm 0.04$	$0.05 \pm 0.03$	$0.04 \pm 0.02$	$0.04 \pm 0.02$	$0.04 \pm 0.02$
Antineutrino rate (/day)	662.47 ±3.00	670.87 ±3.01	613.53 ±2.69	$77.57 \pm 0.85$	76.62 ±0.85	74.97 ±0.84

### **Consistent rates for side-by-side detectors**

Uncertainty currently dominated by statistics





# Far vs. Near Comparison



Compare the far/near measured rates and spectra



$$R = \frac{\text{Far}_{\text{measured}}}{\text{Far}_{\text{expected}}} = \frac{M_4 + M_5 + M_6}{\sum_{i=4}^{6} (\alpha_i (M_1 + M_2) + \beta_i M_3)}$$

 $M_n$  are the measured rates in each detector. Weights  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\beta_i$  are determined from baselines and reactor fluxes.

### R = 0.940 ± 0.011 (stat) ± 0.004 (syst)

Clear observation of far site deficit.

Spectral distortion consistent with oscillation.\*

\* Caveat: Spectral systematics not fully studied;  $\theta_{13}$  value from shape analysis is not recommended.



# **Rate Analysis**



### Estimate $\theta_{13}$ using measured rates in each detector.



Uses standard  $\chi^2$  approach.

Far vs. near relative measurement. [Absolute rate is not constrained.]

Consistent results obtained by independent analyses, different reactor flux models.

Most precise measurement of  $sin^2 2\theta_{13}$  to date.

### $sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.089 \pm 0.010 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.005 \text{ (syst)}$

# RENO

A collaboration of about 40 members from 12 Korean institutions. The antineutrinos are from 6 reactors on a straight line. There are 1 far and 1 near detectors, 16.5 tons of Gdloaded liquid scintillator each, both located inside a mountain.
### **RENO Collaboration**



- (12 institutions and 40 physicists)
- Chonbuk National University
- Chonnam National University
- Chung-Ang University
- Dongshin University
- Gyeongsang National University
- Kyungpook National University
- Pusan National University
- Sejong University
- Seokyeong University
- Seoul National University
- Seoyeong University
- Sungkyunkwan University

- Total cost : \$10M
- Start of project : 2006
- The first experiment running with both near & far detectors from Aug. 2011





## **RENO Experiment**



Reactors: 6 x 2.8 GW<sub>th</sub>

Detectors: Near and Far Each 16.5 t Gd loaded scintillator





## Reno Daily IBD Rate





RENO (NuTel 2013 Seon-Hee Seo)



## **Double Chooz**

A large international collaboration of about 200 members. Both far and near detectors are 10 m<sup>3</sup> of Gd-loaded liquid scintillator. The far detector was completed in April 2011, while the near detector is still under construction with expected completion date in2013.

### **Double Chooz collaboration**





6

### **Double Chooz experiment**

edf

 $\overline{\nu_{e}}$ 

Chooz Reactors 4.27GW<sub>th</sub> x 2 cores



Near Detector L = 400m 10m<sup>3</sup> target 120m.w.e. 2013 ~



Far Detector L = 1050m  $10m^3$  target 300m.w.e.April 2011 ~



## Double Chooz Daily IBD Rate



### two independent measurements of $\theta_{13...}$



rate+shape analysis  $\rightarrow$  clear  $\theta_{13}$  E/L pattern & BG constrains

**DC-II(Gd):**  $\sin^2(2\theta_{13})=0.109\pm0.04 \ [0.030^{\text{stat}}\pm0.025^{\text{syst}}]$ **DC-II(H):**  $\sin^2(2\theta_{13})=0.097\pm0.05 \ [0.034^{\text{stat}}\pm0.034^{\text{syst}}]$ 

Anatael Cabrera (CNRS-IN2P3 & APC)

Wednesday, 13 March 13

## Summary of $\theta_{13}$ results

Reactor-based neutrino experiments have measured  $\theta_{13}$  to a precision better than the other 2 angles. Continuation of current measurements will begin to constrain the unitarity of the 3flavor paradigm of neutrinos, and provide help to CP violation measurements.

## Current $\theta_{13}$ Landscape



#### [5] Daya Bay:

Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 171803 (2012)  $sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.092 \pm 0.016$  (stat)  $\pm 0.005$ (syst)

#### [6] **RENO**:

Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 191802 (2012)  $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.113 \pm 0.013$  (stat)  $\pm 0.019$ (syst)

#### [8] Double Chooz:

Phys. Rev. D, 86, 052008 (2012)  $sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.109 \pm 0.030$  (stat)  $\pm 0.025$ (syst)

#### [9] Daya Bay: Chinese Physics C, 37, 011001(2013) $sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.089 \pm 0.010$ (stat) $\pm 0.005$ (syst)



## Backup

#### Some backup slides

## Non-zero measurements of $\theta_{13}$

**Daya Bay:** Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 171803 (2012)  $sin^2 2 \theta_{13} = 0.092 \pm 0.016$ (stat)  $\pm 0.005$  (syst) Result announced simultaneous by all collaborating institutions on March 8, 2012

**RENO**: Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 191802 (2012)  $sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.113 \pm 0.013$ (stat)  $\pm 0.019$  (syst)



## Background: ${}^{13}C(\alpha,n){}^{16}O$



<sup>13</sup>C ( $\alpha$ , n) <sup>16</sup>O  $n + p \longrightarrow n + p$  (1)  $n + {}^{12}C \longrightarrow n + {}^{12}C^*(4.4 \text{ MeV})$   $h + {}^{12}C + \Upsilon$  (2) <sup>13</sup>C ( $\alpha$ , n) <sup>16</sup>O\*(6.05 MeV)  $h + {}^{16}O + \Upsilon$  (3) <sup>13</sup>C ( $\alpha$ , n) <sup>16</sup>O\*(6.13 MeV)  $h + {}^{16}O + e^+ + e^-$  (4)

Example alpha rate in AD1	238U	<sup>232</sup> Th	235U	<sup>210</sup> Po
Bq	0.05	1.2	1.4	10

Potential alpha source:

<sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>235</sup>U, <sup>210</sup>Po:

Each of them are measured in-situ:

U&Th: cascading decay of

Bi(or Rn) – Po – Pb

<sup>210</sup>Po: spectrum fitting

Combining  $(\alpha,n)$  cross-section, correlated background rate is determined.

Near Site: 0.04+-0.02 per day,B/S  $(0.006 \pm 0.004)\%$ Far Site: 0.03+-0.02 per day,B/S  $(0.04 \pm 0.02)\%$ 



#### Reactor Flux Expectation Antineutrino flux is estimated for each reactor core

#### Flux estimated using:

$$S(E_{\nu}) = \frac{W_{th}}{\sum_{i} (f_i/F)e_i} \sum_{i}^{istopes} (f_i/F)S_i(E_{\nu})$$

Reactor operators provide:

- Thermal power data:  $W_{th}$ 

- Relative isotope fission fractions:  $f_i$ 

Energy released per fission:  $e_i$ V. Kopekin et al., Phys. Atom. Nucl. 67, 1892 (2004)

Antineutrino spectra per fission: *S<sub>i</sub>(E<sub>v</sub>)* K. Schreckenbach et al., Phys. Lett. B160, 325 (1985) A. A. Hahn et al., Phys. Lett. B218, 365 (1989) P. Vogel et al., Phys. Rev. C24, 1543 (1981) T. Mueller et al., Phys. Rev. C83, 054615 (2011) P. Huber, Phys. Rev. C84, 024617 (2011)



Isotope fission rates vs. reactor burnup

## Flux model has negligible impact on far vs. near oscillation measurement

FPCP 2013

## Accidental Background (Method II)

- An alternative method
  - Off-window fits with two choices of windows
- Based on the difference between two methods, the systematic error is below 1%. No systematic error is assigned to the accidental background.



#### Comparison of accidental rates (per day) among different methods

	EH1-AD1	EH1-AD2	EH2-AD1	EH3-AD1	EH3-AD2	EH3-AD3
Theoretical	9.73±0.03	9.61±0.03	$7.55 \pm 0.03$	3.05±0.02	3.04±0.02	2.93±0.02
Off-window1	$9.69 \pm 0.03$	$9.59 \pm 0.03$	$7.54 \pm 0.03$	3.06±0.02	3.03±0.02	$2.95 \pm 0.02$
Rel. diff.	-0.4%	-0.5%	-0.2%	0.2%	-0.2%	0.6%
Off-window2	$9.77 \pm 0.05$	$9.66 \pm 0.05$	$7.61 \pm 0.04$	3.05±0.02	3.02±0.02	$2.94 \pm 0.02$
Rel. diff.	0.4%	0.5%	0.8%	0.0%	-0.6%	0.5%

### The RPC muon detector

**Resistive Plate Chambers (RPCs) are placed** above the water pools to detect muons entering the pool with high efficiency. The RPC system, combined with the water pool instrumented as a Cerenkov detector, will allow us to measure muon-induced background to reach the ultimate sensitivity.



#### Decistive Dlate Chambers



- Streamers are formed in the gas gap between two resistive electrodes with a gas gain of ~  $10^9$ .
- The Daya Bay RPCs are made from Bakelite with resistivity controlled to 0.5 2.5 X  $10^{12} \Omega$ .cm.
- The gas mixture is Argon, R134a, Isobutane and a trace amount of SF6.
- The signal is read out from outside using strips at a threshold of 40 mV.

### **RPC installation**











### **Detector Filling**





5/22/2013



Detector target filled from GdLS in ISO tank.

Load cells measure 20 ton target mass to 3 kg (0.015%)

 $\left(\frac{N_{\rm p,f}}{N_{\rm p,n}}\right)\left(\frac{L_{\rm n}}{L_{\rm f}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\epsilon_{\rm f}}{\epsilon_{\rm n}}\right) \left[\frac{P_{\rm sur}(E,L_{\rm f})}{P_{\rm sur}(E,L_{\rm n})}\right]$ 

3 fluids filled simultaneously, with heights matched to minimize stress on acrylic vessels

 $\frac{N_{\rm f}}{N_{\rm n}}$ 

- Gadolinium-doped Liquid Scintillator (GdLS)
- Liquid Scintillator (LS)
- Mineral Oil (MO) Kwong Lau
   FPCP 2013

## Automated Calibration System



#### 3 Automated calibration 'robots' (ACUs) on each detector



Three axes: center, edge of target, middle of gamma catcher

Top view



3 sources for each z axis on a turntable (position accuracy < 5 mm):

- 10 Hz <sup>68</sup>Ge (0 KE  $e^+ = 2 \times 0.511$  MeV  $\gamma$ 's)
- 0.5 Hz  $^{241}$ Am- $^{13}$ C neutron source (3.5 MeV n without  $\gamma$ ) + 100 Hz  $^{60}$ Co gamma source (1.173+1.332 MeV  $\gamma$ )
- LED diffuser ball (500 Hz) for  $T_0$  and gain



### Muon Tagging System

Dual tagging systems: 2.5 meter thick two-section water shield and RPCs

- Outer layer of water veto (on sides and bottom) is 1m thick, inner layer >1.5m.
   Water extends 2.5m above ADs
  - 288 8" PMTs in each near hall
  - 384 8" PMTs in Far Hall
- 4-layer RPC modules above pool
  - 54 modules in each near hall
  - 81 modules in Far Hall
- Goal efficiency: > 99.5%
   with uncertainty <0.25%</li>
   5/22/2013





## Dava Ray • The Daya Bay RPC Modules are 2



- m x 2 m
- There are 4 layers of bare RPCs, each with 1 readout plane, inside an Al box
- There are 2 x and 2 y 25-cm wide strips per module
- The spatial resolution is about 8 cm per coordinate
- There are 54 modules each in EH1 and EH2, and 81 In EH3.
- The RPCs are triggered by having 3 out of 4 layers hit per module
- The muon detection efficiency based on RPCs alone is > 95%. **FPCP 2013** 59

### Data acquisition and analysis

Antineutrino interactions are selected based on their characteristic time sequence of a prompt signal followed by delayed energetic neutron capture signal by Gadolinium. Relative detection efficiencies are known to high precision via calibration and Monte Carlo simulation.



#### Multiplicity Ensure exactly one prompt-delayed coincidence



Uncorrelated background and IBD signals result in ambiguous prompt, delayed signals.

-> Reject all IBD with >2 triggers above 0.7 MeV in -200µs to +200µs.
 Introduces ~2.5% IBD inefficiency, with negligible uncertainty

5/22/2013





## **Trigger Perf**

#### **Trigger Thresholds:**

- AD: >45 PMTs (digital trigger) >0.4 MeV (analog trigger)
- Inner Water Veto: > 6 PMTs
- Outer Water Veto: >7 PMTs
- RPC: 3/4 layers in module

#### **Trigger Efficiency:**

- No measureable inefficiency >0.7 MeV
- Minimum energy expected for prompt antineutrino signal is ~0.9 MeV.



## Background

Background rates are determined from data whenever possible or from data and simulation.

## Result

The efficiency-corrected background-subtracted yields at the far hall are compared to predictions from those of near halls. A 6.0 % deficit at the far site was observed. Our analysis with the increased statistics (2.5 X) showed that  $\theta_{13}$  is large and consistent with our RPL result.



#### Even a rim ant Curver

Negligible reactor flux uncertainty (<0.02%) from precise survey.

#### **Detailed Survey:**

- GPS above ground
- Total Station
- underground
- Final precision: 28mm

#### Validation:

- Three independent calculations
- Cross-check survey
- Consistent with reactor plant and design plans



### The Daya Bay Detector

Eight neutrino detectors, each holding 20 tons of liquid scintillator doped with Gadolinium, are deployed to measure the energy and time of antineutrino interactions electronically. The detectors are submerged in water to shield them from ambient radioactivity background. Active muon detectors are installed to veto residual cosmic muons which can produce cosmogenic background.

# - With 2.5x more data, the Daya Bay reactor neutrino experiment measures a far/near antineutrino deficit at ~2 km:

R = 0.944 ± 0.007 (stat) ± 0.003 (syst)

[PRL value: R = 0.940 ± 0.011 (stat) ± 0.004 (syst)]

- Interpretation of disappearance as neutrino oscillation yields:

 $sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.089 \pm 0.010 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.005 \text{ (syst)}$ 

[PRL value:  $sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.092 \pm 0.016$  (stat)  $\pm 0.005$  (syst)]

#### - Installation of final two antineutrino detectors this year



## A. Two Detector Comparison: arXiV:1202:6181

- Sep. 23, 2011 Dec. 23, 2011
- Side-by-side comparison of 2 detectors in Hall 1
- Demonstrated detector systematics better than requirements.
- To be published in Nucl. Inst. and Meth.

#### B. First Oscillation Result: arXiv:1203:1669

- Dec. 24, 2011 Feb. 17, 2012
- All 3 halls (6 ADs) operating
- First observation of  $\bar{\nu}_e$  disappearance
- Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 171803 (2012)

#### C. This Update:

- Dec. 24, 2011 May 11, 2012
- More than 2.5x the previous data set



Inverse beta decay has a distinctive signature

#### **Inverse β-decay (IBD):**

$$\overline{\nu}_{e} + p \to e^{+} + n$$

$$\downarrow \\ n + Gd \to x^{x+1} Gd + \gamma$$

#### **Prompt positron:**

Carries antineutrino energy  $E_{e^+} \approx E_v - 0.8 \text{ MeV}$ 



#### **Delayed neutron capture:**

Efficiently tags antineutrino signal

#### **Prompt + Delayed coincidence provides distinctive signature**

#### Detectors are optimized for inverse beta decay observation



CHERT C

observed vs expected rate...



**next:** plot observed vs expected IBD rate per day Anatael Cabrera @NuTel 2013

Wednesday, 13 March 13

Anatael Cabrera (CNRS-IN2P3 & APC)


## **Experiment Layout**



TABLE I. Overburden (m.w.e), muon rate  $R_{\mu}$  (Hz/m<sup>2</sup>), and average muon energy  $E_{\mu}$  (GeV) of the three EHs, and the distances (m) to the reactor pairs.

## **Uncertainty Summary**



## **Prompt Positron Spectra**





Kwong Lau

## Background: <sup>241</sup>Am-<sup>13</sup>C neutrons



Weak (0.5Hz) neutron source in ACU 13C can mimic IBD via inelastic scattering <sup>57</sup>Fe and capture on iron. All Three ACU Capture Position z vst(recE > 6 MeV) hCap Entries 5352 4500<sub>1</sub> Mean x Simulated neutron Mean y 3 RMS x RMSy 1 4000 capture position 12 3500 10 3000 8 2500 Prompt Delayed NeutronInelastic neutron Capture Constrain far site B/S to 0.3 ± 0.3%: - Measure uncorrelated gamma rays from ACU in data - Estimate ratio of correlated/uncorrelated rate using simulation MO GENTE - Assume 100% uncertainty from simulation LL/LUIJ

Kwong Lau