



Introductory Lecture on Microdosimetry

Paolo Colautti, INFN

2nd Annual ARDENT Workshop, Milano, 14-18 October 2013



We are well equipped with plots and tables to calculate the effects due to the exposition to known radiation fields.

HOWEVER

What doing when the radiation field is unknown? Or when we have not previous empirical data concerning the effects of that peculiar field?

IS IT POSSIBLE

to perform some kind of measurement, the data of which can be used to assess the biological damage of that radiation field?

MICRODOSIMETRY TRIES

to reply to this old question both with a full theoretical body, which *differently describe* the radiation interaction and with the matter, and with special detectors that able to measure new physical quantities.





The energy paradigm

Assumption: the effects are proportional to the absorbed energy



Macroscopic world. It works.

MESOSCOPIC WORLD

Microscopic world. It das not works.







The mesoscopic world is highly structured



Ionisation events occur stochastically around a carbon-ion track



The DNA wire and nucleosomes

The absorbed- energy density (absorbed dose) loses its original meaning in the mesoscopic world, since neither the radiation and the target can be described with continuous functions.





Microdosimetry is the theoretical and experimental investigation of imparted-energy *probability distributions* in a *"macroscopic"* volume of matter that is crossed by a *single ionizing particle*.

Dosimetry is the theoretical and experimental investigation of the *mean* imparted-energy in a "point" of the irradiated volume.

Microdosimetry is not a "small" dosimetry or a "microscopic" dosimetry





Ionizing particles transfer energy to the target through collisions with atoms and nuclei



- Q \smile expended energy in the (possible) nuclear reaction
- $T^a \sim$ primary particle kinetic energy
- $T^p \\$ secondary particle kinetic energy





Interactions with Q-value < 0

Interactions with Q-value = 0

Interactions with Q-value > 0







$T_r \simeq$ the small residual energy of the recoil, if it exists





 $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0}$



 $\Delta \varepsilon$ = energy expended for exitations, variation in binding energy, heat, non-ionizing recoils, etc...

All the interactions of interest for microdosimetry belong to this category





Q > 0



non ionizing particles





The transferred-energy time duration

The particle velocity **v** is:
$$v^2 = \left[1 - \frac{M^2}{(T+M)^2}\right] \cdot \beta^2$$

Nucleus radius : $R = R_0 \times A^{1/3}$
Atoms radius R_{atom} depends on
Z, temperature, chemical bond
and molecular bond
 $R = R_0 \times A^{1/3}$
 $R = R_0 \times A^{1/3}$
 $R_{HYDROSEN} \simeq 2.5 \cdot 10^{17} m$
 $R_{FERMIO} \simeq 2.9 \cdot 10^{10} m$

The interaction time of a minimum ionising energy (10 eV) particle

Interaction with the nucleus: $\sim 10^{-21} s$ for an electron $\sim 10^{-19} s$ for proton Interaction with a hydrogen atom: $\sim 10^{-16} s$ for an electron $\sim 10^{-15} s$ for proton Interaction with a fermio atom: $\sim 10^{-15} s$ for an electron $\sim 10^{-14} s$ for proton





The physical-chemical stage: 10⁻¹¹ – 10⁻¹² s

The absorbed energy gives rise to primary chemical species, which are highly unstable. At the end, only few free radicals survive

The chemical stage: 10⁻⁸ s

To the end, free radicals like OH, H and e_{aq} slow down, diffuse and reacts with the medium molecules. At the end of this stage there is the chemical equilibrium.

The biological-physiological stage

At the end of the chemical stage, the biological system reacts to the primary damages produced directly by the ionizing particle or indirectly by the free radicals. This stage can last a long time.





Thick targets: the spatial inchoate distribution

The energy transferred $\Delta \varepsilon$ in the point *P* does not depend on the time neither on the target volume

When the target is thick, the ionising particle interacts in different points P_j

The spatial distributions of P_i is called *inchoate distribution*

Are all the $\Delta \varepsilon_i$ contemporary?

A slow (10 eV) oxigen nucleus passes through 1 μ m target in **about 10**⁻¹⁰ s

It is a good approximation to assume that all the P_j transfer points are contemporary





The imparted energy ε in a volume V







"An event is the imparted energy to the volume V by an ionizing particle entering into the volume V or by a radioactive decay inside it."

The event size is the energy-imparted value E

The event size is 0 when

$$T_1^a = \overset{\circ}{O}_{ij} T_{ij}^p - \overset{\circ}{O}_j Q_j$$





"The volume V is the event **site**" "The site mean chord \overline{d} is the site **size**"

If **V** is a convex body, $\overline{d} = 4V/A$

where A is the volume surface

For a sphere $\overline{d} = 2/3 \times D$ where D is the sphere olivameter

For a cylinder of diameter **D** and height **D** $\overline{d} = 2/3 \times D$

N.B. The expression "site size" is also used to point out the "equivalent value" of **D**. See later on.





 $\overline{\varepsilon} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{N} \varepsilon_{j} \cdot n_{j}}{\sum_{0}^{N} n_{j}}$ $N \quad \text{number of single events} \quad \text{in } V$ $E_{j} \quad \text{energy imparted to } V$ $by \quad \text{the jth event}$

The mean imparted energy $\overline{\epsilon}$ is a deterministic quantity, while ϵ is a stochastic quantity

Since the main contribution to the imparted energy is due to reactions with Q = 0,

 $\overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}}$ can be approximated with the energy released by a charged particle in continuous slowing down approximation (CSDA).

$$\varepsilon = T_1^a - \sum_{ij} T_{ij}^{\rho}$$

$$\overline{\varepsilon} = (S / \rho)_V \cdot \rho_V \cdot \overline{d}_V$$

$$f = \int_{V_1} \int_{V_2} \int_{V_1} \int_{V_2} \int_{V_2$$





"Two different sites are said to be equivalent when the *mean imparted energies* to the sites are equal"

The equivalence of a human tissue site and a gas site is of great interest for experimental microdosimetry

$$\overline{\varepsilon}_{T} = \overline{\varepsilon}_{G}$$

Substituting:

$$(\mathbf{S} / \rho)_{\mathbf{T}} \cdot \rho_{\mathbf{T}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{d}}_{\mathbf{T}} = (\mathbf{S} / \rho)_{\mathbf{G}} \cdot \rho_{\mathbf{G}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{d}}_{\mathbf{G}}$$

If the gas has the same atomic composition of the tissue, then $(S/\rho)_T = (S/\rho)_G$, then

$$\rho_{T} \cdot \overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{T} = \rho_{G} \cdot \overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{G}$$

this equation is used in experimental microclosimetry to properly fill the TEPC with a Lissue - equivalent gas mixture





Two equivalent sites have different mass

The mass of a site of density ρ and mean chord \overline{d} is:

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{f} \cdot \rho \cdot \overline{\mathbf{d}}^3 \qquad \text{where } f \text{ is volume-shape-} \\ \text{-depending constant}$$

Therefore, if the site shapes are equal:

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{G}} = \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{T}} \left(\frac{\overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{\boldsymbol{G}}}{\overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{\boldsymbol{T}}}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{S} / \boldsymbol{\rho}\right)_{\boldsymbol{T}}}{\left(\boldsymbol{S} / \boldsymbol{\rho}\right)_{\boldsymbol{G}}}$$

The masses of two equivalent sites of the same shape scale with the square of the mean chord ratio





It does not takes into account the matter phase

It does not takes into account the particle track size. When the lateral extension of the primary track is larger than the site mean chord, we enter in the "nanometric domain".



When the site equivalent size is < 100 nm we enter into the nanometric domain





The nanometric world is weird

$$\overline{\varepsilon} \neq \left(\mathbf{S} / \rho \right)_{\mathbf{V}} \cdot \rho_{\mathbf{V}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{d}}_{\mathbf{V}}$$



N.B. $w^{a}(T) = \P(W^{a}(T)) / \P T$





It is the quotient of the energy imparted to the volume, by a single particle or radioactive decay inside it, and the volume mean chord

$$y = \frac{\varepsilon}{\overline{d}}$$

The physical dimension is J/m but, similarly to LET, y is used to be expressed in $keV/\mu m$, where the linear dimension is that one of the tissue-equivalent site size.

Differently than *LET*, *y* is a stochastic variable, the value of which fluctuates. For a given site size and radiation field, there is only some probability F(y) that the lineal energy is equal or less than *y*. The probability density function of *y* is: $f(y)=\partial F(y)/\partial y$

We can define D(y) as the fraction of dose delivered by an event equal or less than y. The dose probability density, called also weighted distribution, is: $d(y)=\partial D(y)/\partial y$

The *y* mean-values define the *"quality"* of the radiation field for a given site size.





The y mean-values

The frequency-mean lineal energy

The dose-mean lineal energy

The dose probability density can be calculated, if *f(y)* is known

The dose-mean lineal energy is then

$$f$$
 irst moment of $f(y)$
↓
 $\overline{y}_F = () y × f(y) × dy$

 $\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}_{\boldsymbol{D}} = (\boldsymbol{y} \times \boldsymbol{d}(\boldsymbol{y}) \times \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{y})$

$$d(y) = \frac{y}{\overline{y}_F} f(y)$$

$$\overline{y}_{D} = \frac{1}{\overline{y}_{F}} \stackrel{()}{()} y^{2} \times f(y) \times dy$$

$$f$$
second moment of $f(y)$





The specific energy, *z*

Differently than y, z may be due to 1 or more events

Z distributions due to 1 energy deposition event only

It is the quotient of the energy imparted to the volume, by one particle or a radioactive decay inside it, and the volume mass

$$z = \frac{\varepsilon}{m}$$

The physical dimension is *J/Kg* but, similarly to absorbed dose, *z* is used to be expressed in *Gray,* where the mass *m* is that one of the site.

Differently than **absorbed dose**, **z** is a stochastic variable, the value of which fluctuates. For a given site size and radiation field, there is only some probability $F_1(z)$ that the specific energy, due to only 1 event, is equal or less than **z**. The associated probability density function of is:

 $f_1(z) = \partial F_1(z) / \partial z$

We define $D_1(z)$ as the fraction of dose delivered by an event equal or less than z. The associated probability density, is:

$$d_1(z) = \partial D_1(z) / \partial z$$





The z mean values for 1 single event

The frequency-mean specific energy per event

The dose-mean specific energy per event

The dose- and frequency- probability densities are each other depending

Therefore, the dose-mean specific energy per event

$$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{1F} = (\mathbf{\hat{D}} \mathbf{z} \times \mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{z}) \times \mathbf{dz}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{z}}_{1\mathbf{D}} = \mathbf{\hat{0}} \mathbf{z} \times \mathbf{d}_1(\mathbf{z}) \times \mathbf{d}\mathbf{z}$$

$$\boldsymbol{d}_1(\boldsymbol{z}) = \frac{\boldsymbol{z}}{\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}_{1F}} \boldsymbol{f}_1(\boldsymbol{z})$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{z}}_{1D} = \frac{1}{\overline{\mathbf{z}}_{1F}} \stackrel{\sim}{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{z}^2 \times \mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{z}) \times \mathbf{dz}$$





Relationship between y and z

for single event distributions only



where

Equivalent-site diameter *D [µm]* Lineal energy *y [keV/µm]* Specific energy *z [Gray]*





z distribution due to 0 energy-deposition events is the Dirac δ function

 $f_0(z) = \delta(z)$

z distribution due to 2 energy-deposition events is the convolution of 2 single-event distributions

$$f_2(z) = \overset{\circ}{0} f_1(z') \times f_1(z - z') \times dz'$$

z distribution due to 3 energy-deposition events is the convolution of 3 single-event distributions

$$\boldsymbol{f}_3(\boldsymbol{z}) = (\boldsymbol{\hat{j}} \ \boldsymbol{f}_1(\boldsymbol{z}') \times \boldsymbol{f}_2(\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{z}') \times \boldsymbol{dz'}$$

z distribution due to n energy-deposition events is the convolution of n single-event distributions

$$f_n(z) = \bigcap f_1(z') \times f_{n-1}(z-z') \times dz'$$

N.B. 1) Differently than single-event distributions, multi-event distribution includes the 0 events. 2) $d_n(z)$ is not defined





The mean value of the multi-event specific energy distribution *f(z)* is:

$$\overline{z} = i \int z \cdot f(z) \cdot dz$$

By definition the absorbed dose **D** is:

 $\boldsymbol{D} = \lim_{m \to 0} \overline{\boldsymbol{Z}}$

For microscopic volumes and a large number of events, we can assume that the specific energy is almost uniformly distributed in the site. Therefore, we can write :

D » z

The mean event number for a given absorbed dose **D** is:

$$\overline{n} = \frac{\overline{z}}{\overline{z}_{1F}} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{total dose} \\ \text{single-event dose} \end{array}$$



The multi-event imparted-energy $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ is the summation of \boldsymbol{n} independent single-event contributions

 \mathcal{E}_{i} , which are Poisson distributed. Also \mathcal{E}_{i} is the summation of independent $\Delta \mathcal{E}_{i}$ energy-transfer points, which are in turn Poisson distributed. The absorbed dose D is therefore the result of a **Compound Poisson Process** (convolution of different populations of objects, all of them Poisson distributed).

Let $P(n;\overline{n})$ the probability to get in the site exactly n single events, where \overline{n} is the mean event number at the dose D, then:

$$P(n;\overline{n}) = \frac{\overline{n}^n}{n!} \times e^{-\overline{n}}$$

$$\mathbf{P}(n) = \frac{\overset{\mathfrak{g}}{\overset{\mathsf{O}}{\overset{\mathsf{O}}{\overline{\mathbf{z}}_{1F}} \overset{\mathsf{O}}{\overset{\mathsf{O}}{\overline{\mathbf{z}}_{1F}} \overset{\mathsf{O}}{\overset{\mathsf{O}}{\overline{\mathbf{z}}_{1F}}}}{\underline{n!}} \times \mathbf{e}^{-\frac{\underline{D}}{\overline{\mathbf{z}}_{1F}}}$$

That is:



The specific energy distribution at the dose *D*

It is the probability to get in the site just *n* events by the *n*-event *z*-distribution:

$$f(\boldsymbol{z};\boldsymbol{D}) = \bigotimes_{0}^{n} \underbrace{\overset{\mathfrak{g}}{\overset{\mathsf{C}}{\overset{\mathsf{D}}{\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}_{1F}} \overset{\mathfrak{g}}{\overset{\mathsf{D}}{\overset{\mathsf{C}}{\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}_{1F}} \overset{\mathfrak{g}}{\overset{\mathsf{C}}{\overset{\mathsf{C}}{\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}_{1F}} \overset{\mathsf{C}}{\overset{\mathsf{C}}{\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}_{1F}} \times \boldsymbol{e}^{-\frac{\boldsymbol{D}}{\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}_{1F}} \times \boldsymbol{f}_{n}(\boldsymbol{z})}$$







Critical sites

They are the sites that have experienced at least 1 event \neq 0

In order to calculate the mean specific energy $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}^*$ in critical sites, it is necessary to remove the 0event contribution from \mathbf{Z}

$$\bar{z}^* = \frac{\hat{0} z \times f(z; D) \times dz}{1 - P(0)} \quad \text{the macroscopic dose}$$

$$\bar{z}^* = \frac{D}{1 - e^{-D/\bar{z}_{1F}}} \quad \leftarrow \text{the microscopic dose}$$

The mean event number in critical sites at a given **D** absorbed dose is:

$$\overline{n}(D) = \frac{D}{\overline{z}^*}$$





Critical sites



The mean event number in critical sites at a given **D** absorbed dose is:

$$\overline{n}(D) = \frac{D}{\overline{z}^*}$$





When microscopic and macroscopic dose are equal?



For a given radiation field and site size, it depends on the absorbed dose





The therapeutic 62 MeV-proton beam of Lacassagne (Nice) medical centre







Microscopic and macroscopic dose in therapeutic 62 MeV-proton beam







• H.H. Rossi, M. Zaider. *Microdosimetry and Its Applications*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1996

• Albrecht M. Kellerer. *Fundamental of Microdosimetry*. IN The Dosimetry of Ionizing Radiation. Eds. K.R.Kase, B.E.Bjärngard, F.H.Attix. Academic Press, Inc. 1985, 77-162

• ICRU Report 36. *Microdosimetry*. 1983



