

Conceptual design of cooling system for superconducting wind turbine generator

Shuichiro Fuchino, Mitsuho Furuse, Makoto Okano, Naotake Natori, Hirofumi Yamasaki

AIST, 1-1-1 Umezono, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8568, Japan

Background

The wind energy sector is one of the main energy sources concerned with environment and energy. However a particular trend is increasing turbine ratings, this trend causes the increase of the nacelle weigh. To solve this problem, superconducting wind turbine generators have the potential to provide a compact and light weight drive train.

Objectives

We propose a method of supplying a coolant in the cooling channel of the superconducting rotor by circulation pumps built in the rotor and rotating-stationary heat exchangers placed in the rotor to separate the refrigerant of the stationary system and the rotational one.

Problems

- ★ Nevertheless of these advantages, the cryogenic system has some problems. Because the use of a stationary refrigerator requires that a means be provided for the transfer of cooled helium gas from the stationary supply to the rotating field winding and for return of the gas from the rotor to a stationary reference frame.
- ★ It was possible by using the centrifugal force due to high speed rotation, so-called self-pumping effect that causes the refrigerant circulation. However the wind turbine speed is later as two orders of magnitude than normal generators or motors, the superconductors of the rotor must be force-cooled by cooling channel.
- ★ Moreover it is necessary to supply a high pressure helium gas, the sealing technology of high pressure cryogenic refrigerant has not been established yet.

Merits

Recent trends in wind generation

Larger wind turbine

Why?
 > Larger electricity generation (Power generation) \propto (Rotor diameter)²
 > Improvement of capacity factor
 > Higher altitude, higher wind speed

Offshore

Germany "Alpha Ventus"
 Japan (Fukushima) recovery of 7 MW

Why?
 > Better wind speeds
 > Space scarcity for installation of onshore (opposition to construction is much weaker)
 Japan's Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) is the 6th largest in the world.

Impact of introducing large generator

Trial calculations assuming replacement of 1.5MW wind turbines with larger capacity turbines. (Bay area with prevailing winds)

	1.5 MW (datums)	2.5 MW	5 MW	10 MW
Rotor diameter (D)	70 m	90 m	129 m	180 m
Interval of turbines (3D)	- 210 m	- 270 m	- 387 m	- 540 m
Number of turbines	17	12	9	6
Total output	25.5 MW	30 MW	45 MW	60 MW
Initial costs	5.1 billion Yen	6.0 billion Yen	9.0 billion Yen	12.0 billion Yen
Capacity factor	28.7%	29.8% $\times 1.2$	34.8% $\times 1.5$	40.2%
Annual generation	64.1 GWh	78.4 GWh	137 GWh	211 GWh
Annual income (10 ¥/kWh)	0.6 billion Yen	0.8 billion Yen	1.4 billion Yen	2.1 billion Yen
Profit for 20years	7.7 billion Yen	9.7 billion Yen	18.4 billion Yen	30.2 billion Yen

Hachiyuu wind farm (Akita, Japan)
17 wind turbines along 2.9 km coast

Components of HTS-G to be developed

- HTS racetrack coil module
- Cryogenic gas transfer coupling
- Highly reliable refrigerator

Compressor for cryogenic gas
 HTS racetrack coil module
 Donut-shape vacuum vessel
 Vacuum vessel
 HTS coil
 Rotor (HTS coil modules and iron core)
 Stator (Copper winding)
 Cryogenic gas transfer coupling
 Iron core
 HTS racetrack coil modules

Rotational-Stationary Heat Exchangers

Design conditions

- Stationary side Inlet temperature T_{i0} : 25 K, Inlet pressure P_{i0} : 2.1 atm
- Rotational side Inlet temperature T_{i0} : 40 K, Inlet pressure P_{i0} : 1.0 atm
- Stationary side outlet temperature T_{o0} : 35 K, Outlet pressure P_{o0} : 2.1 atm
- Rotational side outlet temperature T_{o0} : 30 K, Outlet pressure P_{o0} : 1.0 atm
- Heat exchange amount Q : $C_{p0}m_0(T_{i0}-T_{o0})=C_{pr}m_r(T_r-T_{o0})=700$ W

$m_r = 13.5$ g/s (Mass flow rate of refrigerant)
 $m_s = 13.5$ g/s (mass flow rate of pumps: $1.1\text{E}/\text{s} \times 8 \text{ pumps} \times 1.5 \text{ kg}/\text{m}^3 @ 35 \text{ K}$)
 $C_{p0} - C_{pr} = 5.2$ kJ/kg/K @ 25~40 K

Problems of Large capacity wind turbine

Mass of large capacity wind turbine

- Output $\propto D^{2.1}$
- Rotor mass $\propto D^{2.6}$, Head mass $\propto D^{1.95}$

D: Rotor diameter
 Estimated size of 10 MW wind turbine
 - D ~ 180 m
 - Head mass ~ 400 t
 Feasible?

Comparison of generators

Design of 10 MW (3.3 kV, 1.75 kA) generators

Generator	PMSC Permanent magnet synchronous	S-SCG Supercond. with iron-core	NS-SCG Field winding Supercond.	FS-SCG Fully supercond. air-core
Rotor	Null	1.8×10^6	1.45×10^6	2.0×10^6
Current density [A/m ²]	Null	1.8	2.4	8.4
J_{max} [T]	Null	1.8	2.4	8.4
Stator				
Copper loss [kW]	440	311	226	Null
AC loss [kW]	Null	Null	Null	1.9
Total weight (tuna)	273.7	164.4	107.8	63.6

Field winding supercond. air-core
 Fully supercond. air-core
 Field winding supercond. with iron-core
 Fully supercond. air-core

Superconducting wire length (mm)
 S-SCG: 53.7, NS-SCG: 1240, FS-SCG: 196
 MgB₂: 0, 0, 231

MgB₂ armature windings
 HTS field windings
 Fully superconducting generator

Results

Circulation Pump

Dynamic Pressure Gas Bearing
 Built-in motor
 Magnetic Rotary-Reciprocating Link Mechanism
 Cylinder
 Pumping Space
 Vacuum Insulation
 GFRP Piston

Mass flow rate: 1E/s @ 150 Hz of reciprocating cycle
 High reliability of non-contact bearing

Shell & Tube Heat Exchanger

Heat transfer rate $Q = UA(T_r - T_c) = 700$ W
 $1/U = 1/(1/h_c + \delta/\lambda_s + 1/h_r)$
 Overall heat transfer coefficient $U = 1.9$ W/m²/K
 Heat transfer surface area $A = Q/U\Delta T = 700/1.9/5 = 74$ m²
 (Surface area of pipes in 1.2 cm d_o : 37 m²) \rightarrow Fins or baffle plates are necessary to increase surface area

Heat transfer coefficient of flow circular inside tube ($Re < 2300$)
 $Nu = 3.657 + 0.0668Gz / (1 + 0.04Gz^{2/3})$
 Graetz number $Gz = RePr(d/L)$
 $h = Nu \lambda_c / d$

Pressure drops $\Delta P = 2f\rho v^2 d/L$
 Friction coefficient $f = 16/Re$
 Stationary side $\Delta P_s = 0.075$ (Pa)
 Rotational side $\Delta P_r = 0.026$ (Pa)

Weight of heat exchanger
 Weight of aluminum pipes: $34.6 \text{ cm}^3 \times 2.7 \times 1,000 \sim 93$ kg
 SUS Shell ($D_o: 1\text{m}, L: 1.5\text{m}, t: 2\text{mm}$): $12,600 \text{ cm}^3 \times 7.8 \sim 100$ kg