# Modeling and Dynamic Simulation of a Large Scale Helium Refrigerator Lv C.<sup>a,c</sup>, Qiu Y.N.<sup>a,c</sup>, Wu J.H.<sup>a,\*</sup>, Xie X.J<sup>b</sup>, Li Q<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Technical Institute of Physics and Chemistry Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China <sup>D</sup>State Key Laboratory of Technologies in Space Cryogenic Propellants, Technical Institute of Physics and Chemistry Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China <sup>C</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

# Introduction

Dynamic simulation is one powerful tool to study transient behaviors of large scale helium refrigerators. CERN and National Institute for Fusion Science(NIFS) had developed their own simulators. In addition, availability of the commercial software Aspen Hysys® in cryogenic system also had been proved. Although the commercial process simulators use easily, it is difficult to debug the process without source codes. Therefore, it is necessary to develop dedicated numerical model to study the transient behaviors of a newly developed 2kW@20K helium refrigerator in TIPC.

### Objectives

 $\blacktriangleright$  Developing the numerical model of the 2kW helium refrigerator; Simulating the start-up process of compressor and cool-down process of cold box; ≻Finding the optimal increasing rate of heat load.

# Results

### Simulation of start-up process of compressor



# Simulation of different increasing rates of heat load



Simulation produced acceptable trends during the compressor However, the operation. simulation time was faster than actual operation. The reasons f about it are as followings:1) the  $_{40}$  rescale time lag of signal transmission isn't take into account in the simulation, 2) the inertia of compressor is unknown.



Cases A and B represented the increasing rates of heat load were 0.5W/s, 0.2W/s, respectively.

Case C was set to maintain the temperature at the location 16 (T16) about 20K by adjusting the heat load (Q).

Elapsed time from zero to 2 kW of cases A, B, C, was 4.17h, 4.12h, 3.58h, respectively. The results represented that T16 in condition B was in the range of  $20 \pm 0.5$ K. Case B was easy to implement and had acceptable thermal disturbance. Therefore, it is recommended to use case B in control of the increasing rate of heat load.

### Conclusion

 $\checkmark$  The good agreement between the simulation and experiment data allows us to validate the component models.

 $\checkmark$  Simulation applied to the different increasing rates of heat load presents that there is a most suitable rate (case B) in actual operation Further experiments will be performed to verify this simulation result.

Simulation of cool-down process of cold box



Corresponding author. Tel.: +86-010-82543661; fax: +86-010-82543662. E-mail address:wujihao@mail.ipc.ac.cn

Presented at the ICEC-ICMC Conference, 2014 July 7 – 11, Enschede Netherlands; Session: C-01: Large scale refrigeration, liquefaction; Program I.D. number: 155



From the results, it may be observed that the process takes about 2.5h to go down to 20K.The error of cool-down time between simulation and real data is about 10%. However, the temperature deviation still existed in Figures .The reasons may be as following: 1) the initial cool-down sequence of cold box is unknown, 2) fitted equations may be more suitable for full load conditions, and the model should be further modified to improve the accuracy of simulation results.