

<u>Covariance analysis &</u> <u>finite temperature behaviour of</u> <u>Skyrme functionals</u>

Arnau Rios Huguet STFC Advanced Fellow Department of Physics University of Surrey

ISNET 2013 (Glasgow, 19 August 2013)



Xavier Roca-Maza

Brief Outline



- Covariance analysis
 - Following Xavi's notes (uploaded into indico)
 - Exercise: try to <u>reproduce</u> SLy5
 - Detailed analysis of issues
 - What do we learn?

• EDFs at finite temperature

- Instabilities in spin-isospin-polarized systems
- Analysis of liquid-gas phase transition

Skyrme EDF framework



• Establish energy density functional (Skyrme EDF)

$$\mathcal{E}_T = C_T^{\rho} \rho_T^2 + C_T^{\Delta \rho} \Delta \rho_T^2 + C_T^{\tau} \rho_T \tau_T + C_T^J \mathcal{J}_T^2 + C_T^{\nabla J} \rho_T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}$$

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) \phi_{\alpha}^{*}(\mathbf{r}') \qquad \rho_{T}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\sigma\tau} \rho(\mathbf{r}\sigma\tau, \mathbf{r}\sigma\tau)\tau^{T} \qquad \tau_{T}(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla \cdot \nabla' \rho_{T}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')|_{\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}'}$$
$$\mathcal{J}_{T}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{i}{2} \left(\nabla' - \nabla\right) \rho_{T}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')|_{\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}'} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{J} = \sum_{ijk} \epsilon_{ijk} \mathcal{J}_{jk} \mathbf{e}_{i}$$

• Solve Kohn-Sham equations (+ BCS) $h_{ij} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho_{ij}} \Rightarrow h_{ij} \phi_{\alpha} = \varepsilon_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}$ • Use density to compute energy $\rho(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) \phi_{\alpha}^{*}(\mathbf{r}') \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\rho)$

A typical Skyrme functional



 $\mathcal{E}_T = C_T^{\rho} \rho_T^2 + C_T^{\Delta \rho} \Delta \rho_T^2 + C_T^{\tau} \rho_T \tau_T + C_T^J \mathcal{J}_T^2 + C_T^{\nabla J} \rho_T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}$

T=0	T= 1
$C_1^{\rho} = -\frac{1}{8}t_0 \left(1 + 2x_0\right) - \frac{1}{48}t_3 \left(1 + 2x_3\right)\rho^{\alpha}$	$C_0^{\rho} = \frac{3}{8}t_0 + \frac{3}{48}t_3\rho^{\alpha}$
$C_1^s = -\frac{1}{8}t_0 - \frac{1}{48}t_3\rho^{\alpha}$	$C_0^s = -\frac{1}{8}t_0\left(1 - 2x_0\right) - \frac{1}{48}t_3\left(1 - 2x_3\right)\rho^{\alpha}$
$C_1^{\tau} = -\frac{1}{16}t_1\left(1+2x_1\right) + \frac{1}{16}t_2\left(1+2x_2\right)$	$C_0^{\tau} = \frac{3}{16}t_1 + \frac{1}{4}t_2\left(\frac{5}{4} + x_2\right)$
$C_1^J = -\eta_J \left[-\frac{1}{16} t_1 + \frac{1}{16} t_2 \right]$	$C_0^J = -\eta_J \left[-\frac{1}{16} t_1 \left(1 - 2x_1 \right) + \frac{1}{16} t_2 \left(1 + 2x_2 \right) \right]$
$C_1^{\nabla J} = -\frac{1}{2}b_4'$	$C_0^{\nabla J} = -b_4 - \frac{1}{2}b_4'$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{SLy5} \\ t_0 &= -2484.88 \,, \quad x_0 = 0.778 \\ t_1 &= 483.13 \,, \quad x_1 = -0.328 \\ t_2 &= -549.40 \,, \quad x_2 = -1.000 \\ t_3 &= 13763.0 \,, \quad x_3 = 1.267 \\ \sigma &= \frac{1}{6} \,, \quad b_4 = 63 \end{aligned}$$

Fit procedure



Given a set of *m* observables *O* used to calibrate the parameters **p** of a model, the optimum parametrization p_0 is determined by a fit with global quality measure

$$\chi^{2}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{O_{i}^{theo}(\mathbf{p}) - O_{i}^{ref}}{\Delta O_{i}^{ref}} \right)^{2}$$

$$O_{i}^{theo} : \text{calculated values}$$

$$O_{i}^{ref} : \text{reference/experimental values}$$

$$\Delta O_{i}^{ref} : \text{adopted errors}$$

- Values often chosen *ad hoc* (personal bias)⇒ <u>information</u> theory?
- Weights also chosen ad hoc \Rightarrow meaningul errors?
- Post-optimization test: $\Delta O_i^{ref} \sim O_i^{theo} O_i^{ref}$



Skyrme Lyon fit

UNIVERSITY OF SURREY

Table 4 Constraints used for the new Skyrme forces

	SLy4	SLy5	SLy6	SLy7	SLy10
UV14+UVII EOS ^a	×	×	×	×	x
Binding energies and $\langle r^2 \rangle_{ch}$	×	×	×	×	×
Splitting $3p\frac{3}{2}-3p\frac{1}{2}$ in ²⁰⁸ Pb	×	×	×	×	×
$x_2 = -1^{b}$	×	×	×	×	×
J^2 terms		×		×	×
Two-body cm correction			×	×	×
Two components in spin-orbit					×

^a See paper I, Section 3.3 for the discussion of these constraints.

^b See paper I, Section 3.6 for the discussion of these constraints.

- More theoretical uncertainty coming from assumptions themselves
- (Difficult to quantify such systematic errors. How to do it?)
- Numerical uncertainty as well (generally easier to find out)

Chabanat et al., Nucl. Phys. A 627, 710 (1997); ibid 635, 231 (1998) 7

UNEDF fit





Large pool of nuclei: 28 sph (mass+radii), 44 deformed (mass), 8 odd-even staggering

Kortelainen et al., Phys. Rev. C 82, 024313 (2010); ibid 85, 024304 (2012)

Covariance analysis



• Minimum of
$$\chi^2$$
 $\partial_{\mathbf{p}}\chi^2(\mathbf{p})\big|_{\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{p}_0} = 0$

• Estimate around minimum $\chi^2(\mathbf{p}) \sim \chi^2(\mathbf{p_0}) + (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p_0})_i \underbrace{\mathcal{M}_{ij}}_{\frac{1}{2}\partial_{p_i}\partial_{p_j}\chi} (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p_0})_j$

Error			Correlation						
	$e(\mathbf{p}_i) = \sqrt{(\mathcal{M}^{-1})_{ii}}$ Errors in free parameters $= = \operatorname{sort}(\operatorname{Eii})$	0 (Correla Cij = H	ation Mat Eij/sqrt	trix ===== (Eii Ejj)	$\mathcal{C}_{ij} =$	$= \frac{\mathcal{N}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{M}_i}}$	$\frac{\mathcal{I}_{ij}^{-1}}{\mathcal{I}_i^{-1}\mathcal{M}_{jj}^{-1}}$	= L
	$t_0 = -2475.408000 + /- 149.455460$ $t_1 = 482.842000 + /- 58.537029$ $t_2 = -559.374000 + /- 144.534277$ $t_3 = 13697.070000 + /- 1672.926947$ $x_0 = 0.741185 + /- 0.189191$ $x_1 = -0.146374 + /- 0.468173$ $x_3 = 1.162688 + /- 0.340537$	1 0 -0 -0 0 -0	t_0 1.0000 0.9837 0.9854 0.9997 0.6766 0.8110 0.6158	t_1 0.9837 1.0000 0.9575 -0.9870 -0.7066 0.8489 -0.6553	t_2 0.9854 0.9575 1.0000 -0.9863 -0.6601 0.7843 -0.5964	t_3 -0.9997 -0.9870 -0.9863 1.0000 0.6798 -0.8154 0.6197	x_0 -0.6766 -0.7066 -0.6601 0.6798 1.0000 -0.9327 0.9928	x_1 0.8110 0.8489 0.7843 -0.8154 -0.9327 1.0000 -0.9311	x_3 -0.6158 -0.6553 -0.5964 0.6197 0.9928 -0.9311 1.0000

Covariance analysis



• Minimum of
$$\chi^2$$
 $\partial_{\mathbf{p}}\chi^2(\mathbf{p})\big|_{\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{p}_0} = 0$

• Estimate around minimum $\chi^2(\mathbf{p}) \sim \chi^2(\mathbf{p_0}) + (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p_0})_i \underbrace{\mathcal{M}_{ij}}_{\frac{1}{2}\partial_{p_i}\partial_{p_j}\chi} (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p_0})_j$

Error				
$e(\mathbf{p}_i) = \sqrt{(\mathcal{M}^{-1})_{ii}}$				
Errors in f	ree parameters			
e_i = sqrt(Eii)			
t_0 =	-2475.408000 +/- 149.455460			
t_1 =	482.842000 +/- 58.537029			
t_2 =	-559.374000 +/- 144.534277			
t_3 =	13697.070000 +/- 1672.926947			
x_0 =	0.741185 +/- 0.189191			
x_1 =	-0.146374 +/- 0.468173			
x_3 =	1.162688 +/- 0.340537			

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{SLy5} \\ t_0 &= -2484.88 \,, \quad x_0 = 0.778 \\ t_1 &= 483.13 \,, \quad x_1 = -0.328 \\ t_2 &= -549.40 \,, \quad x_2 = -1.000 \\ t_3 &= 13763.0 \,, \quad x_3 = 1.267 \\ \sigma &= \frac{1}{6} \,, \quad b_4 = 63 \end{aligned}$$

Covariance analysis: observables



• Observable: dependence on parameters

$$A(\mathbf{p}) = A(\mathbf{p_0}) + (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p_0})\partial_{\mathbf{p}}A(\mathbf{p})|_{\mathbf{p_0}} + \mathcal{O}(\delta \mathbf{p}^2)$$

Gaussian distribution of parametrizations around minimum

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{p}) = \mathcal{N} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\delta \mathbf{p}_i \mathcal{M}_{ij} \delta \mathbf{p}_j\right)$$

• Average of observable

$$\langle A \rangle = \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p} \, A(\mathbf{p}) \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{p}) \sim A(\mathbf{p_0})$$

Correlations

$$C_{AB} = \langle (A(\mathbf{p}) - \langle A \rangle) (B(\mathbf{p}) - \langle B \rangle) \rangle \sim \partial_{\mathbf{p}_i} A(\mathbf{p})|_{\mathbf{p}_0} (\mathcal{M})_{ij}^{-1} \partial_{\mathbf{p}_j} B(\mathbf{p})|_{\mathbf{p}_0}$$

• Pearson correlations coefficient

$$\mathcal{C}_{AB} = \frac{C_{AB}}{\sqrt{C_{AA}C_{BB}}}$$

Numerical details



• Derivatives up to first order

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{p_i} \partial_{p_j} \chi \sim \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{p}_i} O_k^{theo}}{\Delta O_k^{ref}} \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{p}_j} O_k^{theo}}{\Delta O_k^{ref}}$$

• Finite differences (valid to 2nd order)

$$\partial_{\mathbf{p}_{i}}O \sim \frac{O(\dots, p_{0i} + \Delta p_{i}, \dots) - O(\dots, p_{0i} - \Delta p_{i}, \dots)}{2\Delta p_{i}}$$
$$\partial_{\mathbf{p}_{i}}^{2}O \sim \frac{O(\dots, p_{0i} + \Delta p_{i}, \dots) - 2O(\mathbf{p}_{0}) + O(\dots, p_{0i} - \Delta p_{i}, \dots)}{(\Delta p_{i})^{2}} \quad \text{(error estimate)}$$

• Step sizes:
$$\Delta \chi^2(\mathbf{p}) \sim 1$$

 $(\Delta p_i)^2 \equiv e(p_i) = (\mathcal{M}^{-1})_{ii} = 2(\partial_{p_i}^2 \chi^2)^{-1}$
 $\sim 2h^2 (\chi_{p_i-h} - 2\chi_0 + \chi_{p_i+h})^{-1}$

Correlations I



SLy5-min



Correlations II





Roca-Maza et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **106** 252501 (2011)

Correlations II



SLy5-min: correlation with GDR SLy5-min: correlation with PDR SLy5-min: correlation with m_{-1}



Correlations III

Phys. Rev. C 81, 051303 (2010)





15

Theoretical predictions



Theoretical Segre chart



Nuclear energy density functional
Compute all even-even isotopes simultaneously
≈7000 bound nuclides

Symmetry energy?



Correlation between $S(\mathbf{p})$ & $a_a(A)$



Isovector correlations





- Somewhat bad isospin properties
- Covariance analysis?
- Rosh Sellahewa's PhD Thesis





- Covariance analysis able to identify underlying connections
- Can be used to break correlations
- But error matrices need to be published!
- And then need to be used

• Following: easy example of what to do with these

Heating nuclei up?



N

 \geq

N

+

 \geq

 \mathcal{O}

β=0



<u>Temperatures</u> I MeV~I0^{II} K



Pochodzalla et al, PRL 75 1040 (1995)

Chandra X-Ray Observatory 3C58

SN 1181 remnant (SNR3C58) Pulser PSRJ0205+6449

+N~Z systems
+Hot for a short time
+Finite systems

Heavy ion collisions

Neutron stars

+N>>Z systems +Hot for a short time +Infinite system

20

 $\beta = 1$





- •Simultaneous description of gas and liquid phase
- •3 points of physical importance





- •Simultaneous description of gas and liquid phase
- •3 points of physical importance





- •Simultaneous description of gas and liquid phase
- •3 points of physical importance





- •Simultaneous description of gas and liquid phase
- •3 points of physical importance





•Maxwell criterion: $\mu_g = \mu_l$, $P_q = P_l$

- •Simultaneous description of gas and liquid phase
- •3 points of physical importance

A. Rios, Nucl. Phys. A **845**, 58 (2010) 21

Prediction of critical point



Predicted vs calculated critical temperature



Triangles: old Skyrme $m^* \neq m$

Diamonds: Gogny

UNIVERSITY OF Empirical correlations Correlation between flashing and critical points 24 0.07 22 \mathbf{C} 200.06 18 16 **F** 0.05 14 0.08 0.09 0.1 12 16 14 $\rho_{f} [\text{fm}^{-3}]$ $\rho_{c} = \frac{2}{3}\rho_{f}$ T_{f} [MeV] $T_c = (1.3 - 1.75)T_f$

Are these built-in the parametrization?

















Correlations at finite T: SLy5-min









Thank you!

a.rios@surrey.ac.uk

+ 2014 IoP Nuclear Physics Conference (April) Continue the discussion there!