# V<sub>cb</sub> FROM INCLUSIVE SEMILEPTONIC B DECAYS

#### PAOLO GAMBINO UNIVERSITÀ DI TORINO & INFN

CKM 2014, VIENNA, 8/9/2014

#### **IMPORTANCE OF** $|V_{cb}|$



Since several years, exclusive decays prefer smaller  $|V_{ub}|$  and  $|V_{cb}|$ 

# Inclusive decays: basics

- **Simple idea:** inclusive decays do not depend on final state, long distance dynamics of the B meson factorizes. An OPE allows to express it in terms of B meson matrix elements of local operators
- The Wilson coefficients are perturbative, matrix elements of local ops parameterize non-pert physics: *double series in α<sub>s</sub>, Λ/m<sub>b</sub>*
- Lowest order: decay of a free *b*, linear  $\Lambda/m_b$  absent. Depends on  $m_{b,c}$ , 2 parameters at O(1/m<sub>b</sub><sup>2</sup>), 2 more at O(1/m<sub>b</sub><sup>3</sup>)...

$$\mu_{\pi}^{2}(\mu) = \frac{1}{2M_{B}} \left\langle B \left| \overline{b} (i \overline{D})^{2} b \right| B \right\rangle_{\mu} \qquad \mu_{G}^{2}(\mu) = \frac{1}{2M_{B}} \left\langle B \left| \overline{b} \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} b \right| B \right\rangle_{\mu} \right\rangle_{\mu}$$

#### **OBSERVABLES IN THE OPE**

$$\begin{split} M = & M_0 \Big[ 1 + c_1(r) \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} + c_2(r) \frac{\alpha_s^2}{\pi^2} \\ &- \frac{\mu_\pi^2}{2m_b^2} \Big( 1 + c_\pi^{(1)}(r) \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \Big) \\ &+ \frac{\mu_G^2}{m_b^2} \Big( c_G^{(0)}(r) + c_G^{(1)}(r) \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \Big) \\ &+ c_D(r) \frac{\rho_D^3}{m_b^3} + c_{LS}(r) \frac{\rho_{LS}^3}{m_b^3} \\ &+ O\Big( \alpha_s^3, \alpha_s^2 \frac{\Lambda^2}{m_b^2}, \alpha_s \frac{\Lambda^3}{m_b^3}, \frac{\Lambda^4}{m_b^4} \Big) \Big] \\ r = \frac{m_c^2}{m_b^2} \end{split}$$

OPE valid for inclusive enough measurements, away from perturbative singularities me semileptonic width, moments

The fit presented here includes 6 non-pert parameters

$$m_{b,c,}$$
  $\mu^2_{\pi,G,}$   $\rho^3_{D,LS}$ 

and all known corrections up to  $O(\Lambda^3/m_b^3)$ 

#### **EXTRACTION OF THE OPE PARAMETERS**



Global shape parameters (first moments of the distributions) tell us about B structure,  $m_b$  and  $m_c$ , total rate about  $|V_{cb}|$ 

OPE parameters describe universal properties of the B meson and of the quarks  $\rightarrow$  useful in many applications (rare decays,  $V_{ub},...$ )

#### LET'S FOCUS ON:

1. Status of higher order corrections

- 2. Estimate of residual theoretical errors
- 3. Additional constraints in the fits

#### **HIGHER ORDER EFFECTS**

- Reliability of the method depends on our ability to control higher order effect and quark-hadron duality violations.
- **Purely perturbative corrections** complete at NNLO, small residual error Melnikov, Biswas, Czarnecki, Pak, PG
- Higher power corrections  $O(1/m_Q^{4,5})$  known

Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev 2010

• **Mixed corrections** perturbative corrections to power suppressed coefficients completed at  $O(\alpha_s/m_b^2)$ Becher, Boos, Lunghi, Alberti, Ewerth, Nandi, PG

#### **HIGHER POWER CORRECTIONS**

Mannel, Turczyk, Uraltsev 1009.4622

Proliferation of non-pert parameters and powers of 1/m<sub>c</sub> starting 1/m<sup>5</sup>. At 1/m<sub>b</sub><sup>4</sup>

 $2M_B m_1 = \langle ((\vec{p})^2)^2 \rangle$   $2M_B m_2 = g^2 \langle \vec{E}^2 \rangle$   $2M_B m_3 = g^2 \langle \vec{B}^2 \rangle$  $2M_B m_4 = g \langle \vec{p} \cdot \operatorname{rot} \vec{B} \rangle$   $2M_Bm_5 = g^2 \langle \vec{S} \cdot (\vec{E} imes \vec{E}) 
angle$   $2M_Bm_6 = g^2 \langle \vec{S} \cdot (\vec{B} imes \vec{B}) 
angle$   $2M_Bm_7 = g \langle (\vec{S} \cdot \vec{p})(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{B}) 
angle$   $2M_Bm_8 = g \langle (\vec{S} \cdot \vec{B})(\vec{p})^2 
angle$  $2M_Bm_9 = g \langle \Delta(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}) 
angle$ 

can be estimated by **Lowest Lying State Saturation** approx by truncating  $\langle B|O_1O_2|B\rangle = \sum_n \langle B|O_1|n\rangle \langle n|O_2|B\rangle$ In LLSA good convergence of the HQE. First fit with  $1/m^{4,5}$ :  $\frac{\delta V_{cb}}{V_{cb}} \simeq -0.35\%$  Turczyk,PG preliminary **NEW:** Heinonen,Mannel 1407.4384 more systematic, discrepancies to be clarified LLSA might set the scale of effect, not yet clear how much it depends on

assumptions on expectation values. Large corrections to LLSA have been found. Mannel, Uraltsev, PG, 2012

Allowing 80% gaussian deviations from LLSA seem to leave Vcb unaffected.

$$O(lpha_s/m_b^2)$$
 EFFECTS

Boos,Becher,Lunghi 2007 Ewerth,Nandi, PG 2009 Alberti,Ewerth,Nandi,PG 2012 Alberti,Nandi,PG 2013

Hadronic tensor 
$$W^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{2m_B} \sum_{X_c} \delta^4 (p_b - q - p_X) \langle \bar{B} | J_L^{\dagger\alpha} | X_c \rangle \langle X_c | J_L^{\beta} | \bar{B} \rangle$$

 $m_b W^{\alpha\beta} = -W_1 g^{\alpha\beta} + W_2 v^{\alpha} v^{\beta} + i W_3 \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} v_\rho \hat{q}_\sigma + W_4 \hat{q}^{\alpha} \hat{q}^{\beta} + W_5 (v^{\alpha} \hat{q}^{\beta} + v^{\beta} \hat{q}^{\beta})$ 

$$W_{i} = W_{i}^{(0)} + \frac{\mu_{\pi}^{2}}{2m_{b}^{2}}W_{i}^{(\pi,0)} + \frac{\mu_{G}^{2}}{2m_{b}^{2}}W_{i}^{(G,0)} + \frac{C_{F}\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \left[W_{i}^{(1)} + \frac{\mu_{\pi}^{2}}{2m_{b}^{2}}W_{i}^{(\pi,1)} + \frac{\mu_{G}^{2}}{2m_{b}^{2}}W_{i}^{(G,1)}\right]$$

 $W_i^{(\pi,n)}$  can be computed using **reparameterization invariance** which relates different orders in the HQET: e.g. for i=3 at all orders

$$W_3^{(\pi,n)} = \frac{5}{3}\hat{q}_0 \frac{dW_3^{(n)}}{d\hat{q}_0} - \frac{\hat{q}^2 - \hat{q}_0^2}{3} \frac{d^2 W_3^{(n)}}{d\hat{q}_0^2}$$
 Manohar 2010

Proliferation of power divergences, up to  $1/u^3$ , and complex kinematics  $(q^2, q_0, m_c, m_b)$  W<sub>i</sub><sup>(G,1)</sup> requires proper matching.

# MATCHING AT $O(\alpha_s)$ possible gluon insertions $\frac{2i}{\pi} \int d^4x \, e^{-iq \cdot x} \, T[J_L^{\dagger \mu}(x) J_L^{\nu}(0)] = \sum_i c^{(i)\mu\nu}_{\{\alpha\}}(v,q) \, O_i^{\{\alpha\}}(0) \, d^4x \, e^{-iq \cdot x} \, T[J_L^{\dagger \mu}(x) J_L^{\nu}(0)] = \sum_i c^{(i)\mu\nu}_{\{\alpha\}}(v,q) \, O_i^{\{\alpha\}}(0) \, d^4x \, e^{-iq \cdot x} \, T[J_L^{\dagger \mu}(x) J_L^{\nu}(0)] = \sum_i c^{(i)\mu\nu}_{\{\alpha\}}(v,q) \, O_i^{\{\alpha\}}(0) \, d^4x \, e^{-iq \cdot x} \, T[J_L^{\dagger \mu}(x) J_L^{\nu}(0)] = \sum_i c^{(i)\mu\nu}_{\{\alpha\}}(v,q) \, O_i^{\{\alpha\}}(0) \, d^4x \, e^{-iq \cdot x} \, T[J_L^{\dagger \mu}(x) J_L^{\nu}(0)] = \sum_i c^{(i)\mu\nu}_{\{\alpha\}}(v,q) \, O_i^{\{\alpha\}}(0) \, d^4x \, e^{-iq \cdot x} \, T[J_L^{\dagger \mu}(x) J_L^{\nu}(0)] = \sum_i c^{(i)\mu\nu}_{\{\alpha\}}(v,q) \, O_i^{\{\alpha\}}(0) \, d^4x \, e^{-iq \cdot x} \, T[J_L^{\dagger \mu}(x) J_L^{\nu}(0)] = \sum_i c^{(i)\mu\nu}_{\{\alpha\}}(v,q) \, O_i^{\{\alpha\}}(0) \, d^4x \, e^{-iq \cdot x} \, T[J_L^{\dagger \mu}(x) J_L^{\nu}(0)] = \sum_i c^{(i)\mu\nu}_{\{\alpha\}}(v,q) \, O_i^{\{\alpha\}}(0) \, d^4x \, e^{-iq \cdot x} \, T[J_L^{\dagger \mu}(x) J_L^{\nu}(0)] = \sum_i c^{(i)\mu\nu}_{\{\alpha\}}(v,q) \, O_i^{\{\alpha\}}(0) \, d^4x \, e^{-iq \cdot x} \, T[J_L^{\dagger \mu}(x) J_L^{\nu}(0)] = \sum_i c^{(i)\mu\nu}_{\{\alpha\}}(v,q) \, O_i^{\{\alpha\}}(0) \, d^4x \, e^{-iq \cdot x} \, T[J_L^{\dagger \mu}(x) J_L^{\nu}(0)] = \sum_i c^{(i)\mu\nu}_{\{\alpha\}}(v,q) \, O_i^{\{\alpha\}}(v,q) \, D^{\{\alpha\}}_{\{\alpha\}}(v,q) \, D^{\{\alpha\}}_{\{\alpha$

Taylor expansion around on-shell b quark matched onto HQET local operators. Analytic formulae. RPI relations reproduced. Unlike  $\mu_{\pi}$ ,  $\mu_{G}$  gets renormalized, therefore Wilson coefficients scale-dependent.

### NUMERICAL RESULTS

In on-shell scheme ( $m_b=4.6$ GeV,  $m_c=1.15$ GeV) without cuts

$$\Gamma_{B \to X_c \ell \nu} = \Gamma_0 \left[ \left( 1 - 1.78 \, \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\mu_\pi^2}{2m_b^2} \right) - \left( 1.94 + 2.42 \, \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) \frac{\mu_G^2(m_b)}{m_b^2} \right]$$

$$\langle E_{\ell} \rangle = 1.41 \text{GeV} \left[ \left( 1 - 0.02 \, \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\mu_{\pi}^2}{2m_b^2} \right) - \left( 1.19 + 4.20 \, \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) \frac{\mu_G^2(m_b)}{m_b^2} \right]$$

$$\ell_2 = 0.183 \,\text{GeV}^2 \left[ 1 - 0.16 \,\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} + \left( 4.98 - 0.37 \,\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) \frac{\mu_\pi^2}{m_b^2} - \left( 2.89 + 8.44 \,\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) \frac{\mu_G^2(m_b)}{m_b^2} \right]$$

Similar results in the kinetic scheme. NLO corrections generally O(15-20%) of tree level coefficients, **shifts in some cases larger than experimental error**. Impact on  $V_{cb}$  requires new fit of semileptonic moments.

Mannel, Pivovarov, Rosenthal (1405.5072) have computed the  $\mu_G$  correction to the width in the limit m<sub>c</sub>=0 and find compatible result.

# $\mu_G^2$ -SCALE DEPENDENCE



Relative NLO correction to the coefficients of  $\mu_G$  in the width (blue), first (red) and second central (yellow) leptonic moments as a function of the renormalization scale. Smaller corrections for smaller scale.

#### New Contributions $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s/m_b^2)$ :



ICHEP2014

Kristopher J. Healey

#### **THEORETICAL ERRORS**



Theoretical errors are generally the **dominant** ones in the fits. We estimate them in a **conservative** way by mimicking higher orders varying the parameters by fixed amounts.

**Duality violation**, expected to be suppressed, would manifest as inconsistency in the fit.

#### **THEORETICAL CORRELATIONS**



Correlations between theory errors of moments with different cuts difficult to estimate

- 1. 100% correlations (unrealistic but used previously)
- 2. corr. computed from low-order expressions
- 3. constant factor  $0 < \xi < 1$  for 100 MeV step
- 4. same as 3. but larger for larger cuts

always assume different central moments uncorrelated 1. and 2. are **strongly disfavored** when new corrections are included



#### **THEORETICAL CORRELATIONS**



## NEW SEMILEPTONIC FIT

Alberti, Healey, Nandi, PG

- updates the fit in Schwanda, PG, 1307.4551
- kinetic scheme calculation based on 1107.3100; hep-ph/0401063
- NNLO partonic: it includes all *O*(*α*<sub>s</sub><sup>2</sup>) corrections Czarnecki, Pak, Melnikov, Biswas, PG
- reassessment of theoretical errors, realistic correlations
- **external constraints**: precise heavy quark mass determinations, plus mild constraints on  $\mu^2_G$  from hyperfine splitting and  $Q^3_{LS}$  from sum rules

Previous fits: Buchmuller, Flaecher hep-ph/0507253, Bauer et al, hep-ph/0408002 (1S scheme)

#### **CHARM MASS DETERMINATIONS**



Remarkable improvement in recent years.  $m_c$  can be used as precise input to fix  $m_b$  instead of radiative moments

# **PRELIMINARY RESULTS**

NEW	th corr scenario	$m_b^{kin}$	<i>m</i> <sub>c</sub> (3GeV)	$\mu^{2}\pi$	$Q^3D$	$\mu^2_G$	$Q^3LS$	BR(%)	$10^{3}  V_{cb} $
	4.	4.539	0.988	0.454	0.149	0.296	-0.142	10.67	42.41
	uncertainty	0.021	0.013	0.077	0.044	0.063	0.097	0.16	0.83
Schwanda PG 2013	${\rm th. corr. scenario}$	$m_b^{kin}$	$m_c^{(3Ge)}$	$^{\rm V)}\mu_\pi^2$	$ ho_D^3$	$\mu_G^2$	$ ho_{LS}^3$ B	$R_{c\ell\nu}(\%)$	$10^3  V_{cb} $
	4.	4.541	0.987	0.414	0.154	0.340	-0.147	10.65	42.42
	uncertainty	0.023	0.013	0.078	0.045	0.066	0.098	0.16	0.86

Without mass constraints  $m_b^{kin}(1 \,\text{GeV}) - 0.85 \,\overline{m}_c(3 \,\text{GeV}) = 3.701 \pm 0.019 \,\text{GeV}$ 

- results depend little on assumption for correlations and choice of inputs, 2% determination of V<sub>cb</sub>
- 20-30% determination of the OPE parameters



#### **RESULTS: BOTTOM MASS**



The fits give  $m_b^{kin}(1\text{GeV})=4.539(21)\text{GeV}$ , independent of th corr. scheme translation error  $m_b^{kin}(1\text{GeV})=m_b(m_b)+0.37(3)\text{GeV}$ 

## V<sub>cb</sub> VISUAL SUMMARY



# **NEW PHYSICS?**

The difference with FNAL/MILC is **quite large**: 3σ or about 8%. The perturbative corrections to inclusive total 5%, the power corrections about 4%.

#### Right Handed currents disfavored since

Chen, Nam, Crivellin, Buras, Gemmler, Isidori, Pokorski...

$$|V_{cb}|_{incl} \simeq |V_{cb}| \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} |\delta|^2\right)$$
$$|V_{cb}|_{B \to D^*} \simeq |V_{cb}| \left(1 - \delta\right) \qquad \delta = \epsilon_R \frac{\tilde{V}_{cb}}{V_{cb}} \approx 0.08$$
$$|V_{cb}|_{B \to D} \simeq |V_{cb}| \left(1 + \delta\right)$$

# CONCLUSIONS

- Theoretical efforts to improve the OPE approach to semileptonic decays continue. All effects O(α<sub>s</sub>Λ<sup>2</sup>/m<sub>b</sub><sup>2</sup>) implemented. No sign of inconsistency in this approach so far. Calculation of O(α<sub>s</sub>Λ<sup>3</sup>/m<sub>b</sub><sup>3</sup>) effects ongoing.
- Renewed activity on higher power corrections, unlikely to shift *V*<sub>cb</sub> but need to be studied.
- **New fit** results: *V*<sub>*cb*</sub> stable, competitive *m*<sub>*b*</sub> determination based on precise *m*<sub>*c*</sub>
- Exclusive/incl. tension in V<sub>cb</sub> remains large and mysterious (3σ, 8%). It cannot be explained by right-handed current. Thorough investigations required at Belle-II.