Charge collection measurements on MICRON RD50 detectors

G. Kramberger Jožef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana the work done in collaboration with <u>Santa Cruz</u> and Liverpool

RD50 MICRON 6" project



•36 processed, 20 received

- •Fz (topsil) and MCz (okmetic) wafers of p&n type material
- •n-on-n, n-on-p, p-on-n structures (pixels, strips, diodes)

<u>Strips:</u> ATLAS strips geometry 80 μm pitch (w/p~1/3)
 <u>Pads:</u> 2.5 x 2.5 mm², multiple guard rings
 <u>Material selected for the study!</u>

	MCz-p	MCz-n	Fz-p	Fz-n
Wafers	2552-6,7	2553-11; 2552-10,14	2551-1,3,4,6,7	2535-11; 2535-8,9
Resitivity	1.5 Ω cm	2 k Ω cm	14 k Ω cm	~20 k Ω cm, ~3 k Ω cm
Orientation	<100>	<100>	<100>	<100>

Neutron and Proton and Pion (Aug. '07) irradiation of SSD and Diodes •Liverpool and Santa Cruz: CCE with SSD •Ljubljana: CCE with Diodes, C-V, I-V



<u>Single particle irradiations:</u>•Reactor neutron irradiations: for each material 3 fluences

1, 5, 10.10^{14} cm⁻² – **12 diodes**

- 6 strip detectors

•200 MeV pion irradiations: for each material 3 fluences 1.5, 3.2 (3.9), ~6.5(5.6)·10¹⁴ cm⁻² – 12 diodes
•24 GeV proton irradiations: for each material 5 fluences 1.85, 4.81,11,19.3,48.7·10¹⁴ cm⁻² – 20 diodes The fluences are in - range of upgraded ATLAS - SCT

Samples annealed in steps to 80 min@60°C (this is still ongoing study ...):

Measurement techniques

Charge collection

- pads, 25 ns shaping, T=-10°C (JSI), most probable "peak" charge shown
- Strips, SCT128A, T=-25°C (Liverpool), most probable cluster charge "peak" charge shown
- Strips, binary 100 ns, T=-30°C shaping (SCIPP), mean charge shown



■ CV (10 kHz, T=20C)

6/5/2008

What do we want to know?

The work has been motivated by ATLAS strips! Fluences

- •target fluence 10¹⁵ cm⁻² for short strips (composition 50% neutrons, 50% charged hadrons)
- •target fluence $5 \cdot 10^{14}$ cm⁻² for long strips (neutrons dominate >80%)

All strip detectors studied are with n⁺ readout (n-on-p and n-on-n) – "electron collection"
Pion and proton irradiated diodes used for material study

Answer to the following questions:

- Is V_{fd} from C-V relevant for Q(CCE)-V?
- What charge can we expect at 500 V for different materials?
- How much does charge collection depend on different readout (pads, strips, binary, analog)
- What is the most promising material ?
 - Is there acceptor removal with neutrons?
 - What is introduction rate of stable damage?
- Is there any difference in CCE for equivalent fluence of different particles and materials

C-V Measurements (neutrons)



•all detectors have negative space charge (decrease of V_{fd} during short term annealing)
•Leakage current agrees with expectations (α~3.5-5.5·10⁻¹⁷A/cm)

Slope of V_{fd} increase with fluence •MCz (p and n type): 55 V/10¹⁴ cm⁻² ($g_c \sim 0.8 \text{ cm}^{-2}$) – lower stable damage than seen before ? •Fz (p and n type): 125 V/10¹⁴ cm⁻² ($g_c \sim 1.8 \text{ cm}^{-2}$) – in agreement with previous results There is no evidence of acceptor removal (neutron irradiated samples)

It seems that MCz should perform better – do we see this performance in CCE!

6/5/2008

Charge collection pads (neutrons)



V_{fd} from CV (denoted by arrows) agrees well with the kink in CCE
The slope of charge increase with voltage is directly related to V_{fd}:

increase of V_{fd} can be measured by the change of slope and vice versa
Similar V_{fd} = similar slope -> same E field or not very important, true for pads

High resistive non-depleted bulk is well reflected in linear increase of charge – different from non-irr.

Detectors irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} = 5 \cdot 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (neutrons) FZ n-p (2551-7) neutron irradiated Φ_{eq} =5x10¹⁴ cm⁻² MCz n-p (2552-7), neutron irradiated 5x10¹⁴ cm⁻² 2.50E+04 2.50E+04 pad, peak charge pad, peak charge strips_analog, peak charge o strip binary, mean charge 2.00E+04 2.00E+04 strips analog, peak charge • 1.50E+04 Charge 1.00E+04 **1**.50E+04 **ega 1**.00E+04 no beneficial annealing for strips (analog/binary) 5.00E+03 5.00E+03 10% less 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 600 800 1000 bias voltage [V] 200 400 600 8 bias voltage [V] 1000 0 200 400 1200 1400 1600 800 1200

•The values for strips are <u>underestimated</u>, due to non-completed beneficial annealing

•The beneficial effect of electron collection at low bias voltages, due to strip segmentation depletion growth in that region (at high voltages the effect becomes smaller)

•the charge on neighbors in binary is often below the threshold (high) – reduction of "visible" mean charge (binary) = most probable charge (analog) \rightarrow around ~20% charge in analog comes from neighbors

Detectors irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} = 1 \cdot 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (neutrons)



The values for strips are <u>underestimated</u>, due to non-completed beneficial annealing
Similar charge collection properties of MCz and Fz (similar V_{fd})!
For material characterization pads are very useful -> a reasonable agreement with strips!

•The higher the fluence (larger V_{fd} larger trapping) the more the strips will outperform the pads – also seen in simulations!

6/5/2008

Fz-p vs. MCz-n and "V_{fd}" of strip detectors



Pion irradiated diodes





6/5/2008

Proton irradiated diodes



6/5/2008

diodes after mixed irradiations, 12th RD 50 Workshop, Liubliana, June 2008



For Φ_p =1.93e15 cm⁻² only two detectors could be over-depleted before the onset of micro discharges.

Remember: MCz-n is at the maximum of V_{fd} – for positive space charge the reverse annealing "should" be beneficial.

As in the case of neutron and pion irradiated samples:

•the difference in V_{fd} can be clearly seen in CCE •CCE for over-depleted samples is the same for all samples



The Fz n-p performs best of all at the highest fluence. Around 5100 e at 500V, which should be considerably more for SSD!

The estimated V_{fd} from the slope of the Q-V plot and assuming "saturated" charge of 16000e would give V_{fd} of around 1500V (far less than for "normal" Fz)

It seems "double peak" becomes visible at high fluences!



6/5/2008

V_{fd} from C-V vs. V_{fd} from Q-V (pads, strips)



Correlation of Vfd (CCE, CV)

 V_{fd} from C-V is determined for pad detectors (80min @ 60°C – end of beneficial annealing)

• V_{fd} from CV underestimates the onset of saturation in CCE by max. 100-150 V •It seems that correlation is even better for protons and pions (electric field?) •after V_{fd} the collected charge continues to increase due to shorter drift



Charge collection efficiency (CCE)



At the same equivalent fluence, charge hadrons seem to be more damaging thus confirming the $\tau_{au,eff}$ measurements: $dQ_{od}/d\Phi_{eq} \sim 600 \text{ e}/1e14 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for neutrons $dQ_{od}/d\Phi_{eq} \sim 850 \text{ e}/1e14 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for pions $dQ_{od}/d\Phi_{eq} \sim 800 \text{ e}/1e14 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for protons

The measured trapping probabilities from TCT are around 40% too large to give the agreement with measured charge!

There is no dependence of **Q**_{od} on material!

The over depletion is more important at lower V_{fd} and less at high V_{fd} as the $\langle E \rangle$ is already very high and drift velocities close to saturated in large part of the detector



Except the Fz-p (irradiated with protons) all diodes lying on the "ideal line" are MCz. With SSD you should get more...

Neutron irradiated detectors



Conclusions

- V_{fd} is an important parameter \rightarrow CCE at 500V is higher for smaller V_{fd} MCz n,p perform better than Fz
- Pions/protons seem to be more damaging at the same $\Phi_{
 m eq}$
 - Trapping times seem to be longer than measured by ~35% at Φ_{eq}=10¹⁵ cm⁻²:
 - at 500 V (12-14 ke for 5·10¹⁴ cm⁻² and 8-10 ke for 10¹⁵ cm⁻²)
 - for an over-depleted detector 17-18 ke at 10¹⁵ cm⁻²
- Different measurement techniques show good agreement as expected the strips (n⁺ readout) perform better than pads
- It is still an ongoing process