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## The Experiments



## The Experiments: TOTEM



Hybrid with "edgeless" Si detector


RP 147
RP 220


Same configuration on the other side of IP5

## The Experiments: ALFA (IP1)



Top view:


Same configuration on the other side of IP1

## The Experiments: LHCf (IP1)



## The Experiments: FP420 (IP1 and IP5)

FP420 R\&D Project

Four proton spectrometers proposed: at $\sim 420 \mathrm{~m}$ from IP1 and IP5, beam1 and beam2

Two (or three) stations for each spectrometer
Two pockets for each station: tracking and timing detectors

Mechanical design based on movable "Hamburg Pipe":


## Types of Background

## Characteristics:

## 1. Beam halo

from distant beam-gas interactions, betatron and momentum cleaning inefficiency: protons parallel to the beam; look like signal protons; reducible only by left-arm / right-arm coincidence scales with beam current; optics play a role.
2. Local beam-gas interaction products:
reducible by cuts on: track angle, hit multiplicity scales with beam current (but also the rest-gas density changes).
3. Beam-Beam background from interactions in the IP:

- diffractive proton component
directly reaching detectors or showering on beam pipe
- inelastic component
randomised and softened by secondary interactions with machine:
reducible by cuts on: track angle, hit multiplicity
scales with luminosity.


## Running Conditions

|  | TOTEM | ALFA | LHCf | FP420 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Luminosity <br> $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right]$ | $10^{28} \div 10^{33}$ | $10^{27}$ | $10^{29} \div 10^{30}$ | $10^{33} \div 10^{34}$ |
| k bunches | $43 \div 2808$ | 43 | 43 | 2808 |
| N protons <br> per bunch | $(1 \div 11.5) \times 10^{10}$ | $10^{10}$ | $(1 \div 4) \times 10^{10}$ | $(4 \div 11.5) \times 10^{10}$ |
| $\beta^{*}[\mathrm{~m}]$ | $0.5 \div 1535$ <br> $($ different physics $)$ | 2625 | $2 \div 11$ | 0.5 |
| min. det.-beam <br> approach | $\sim 10 \div 15 \sigma$ | $\sim 10 \div 15 \sigma$ | N/A | $15 \sigma$ |

## Beam Halo: TOTEM

From collimation group: Betatron cleaning inefficiency seen at RP 220 for $\beta^{*}=0.5 \mathrm{~m}$

Probability of hit in RP detector per lost proton:


| horizontal RP detector | $\mathrm{f}_{\text {halo }}=1.3 \mathrm{kHz}$ <br> $=4.2 \times 10^{-5} / \mathrm{b}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\text {coinc }}=0.04 \mathrm{~Hz}$ <br> $\mathrm{P}=1.4 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Beam Halo: TOTEM

From Igor Bayshev (IHEP): Distant beam-gas collisions (arcs beyond opposite TAS)
(scaled from $\mathrm{k}=2808, \mathrm{~N}=1.15 \times 10^{11}, \mathrm{~L}=10^{34} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ )


- No data available for the $\beta^{*}=1540 \mathrm{~m}$ scenario yet $\rightarrow$ for now only estimate by scaling.
- Background reduction by two-arm coincidence
- For selecting elastic events: collinearity cut


## Beam Halo: ALFA

Simulations provided by Igor Bayshev, IHEP


$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline k_{\text {bunch }}=43 \\
N=10^{10} \\
\Delta t_{\text {bunch }}=2.021 \mu \mathrm{~s} \\
\mathrm{~L}=10^{27} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

beam lifetime contribution from vacuum:

- 100 hrs for momentum and betatron cleaning (MC \& BC)
- 1000 hrs for distant beam-gas collisions (arcs)
- accidental coincidence rate inside detector acceptance of about 9 Hz (elastic: 27 Hz )
- potentially dangerous since all mimicking small t


## Beam Halo: ALFA

Beam halo rejection cuts


Exploit back-to-back signature of elastic events and vertex reconstruction
after vertex and acollinearity cuts still 140 k events survive!
(compared to 6.6 M elastic signal)


> irreducible background at small t in the luminosity region!
must be subtracted

Statistical Subtraction


- signal and irreducible background appear in asymmetric configurations: +/- and -/+
- pure background is also present in symmetric configurations +/+ and -/-
- the irreducible background can be calculated by inverting randomly (left/right) the vertical sign of the hits
- halo asymmetries can be corrected for using data
- free of MC, good systematics
- error contribution to $\Delta \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}: 1.1-1.5 \%$ (total error $\Delta \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}=2.8-3.2 \%$ )



## Beam-Halo: LHCf

Proton collisions with TCT during LHCf operation: 10 kHz but background for the detectors probably negligible:

- TCT (Tungsten, 1 m long, 10 interaction lengths) is thick enough to stop the beam-halo particles and the secondaries.
- The secondary particles produced in beam-halo / TCT collisions will not reach the LHCf detectors:
- Charged particles swept away by D1 magnet.
- Neutral particles collimated at TAS $(\mathrm{s}= \pm 20 \mathrm{~m}, 37 \mathrm{~mm}$ aperture $)$

- never calculated in detail but halo colliding with beam pipe estimated $\ll 20 \%$ (very conservative number)
- If needed, reduction by coincidences between the two arms and by reconstructing the $\pi^{\circ}$ invariant mass


## Beam Halo: FP420

## Halo from Distant Beam-Gas Scattering

$10^{6}$ beam-gas interactions simulated along all the LHC cold regions
Distributions recorded at FP420 here are normalised for a beam-gas lifetime of 500h and compared to momentum cleaning beam halo normalised for an off-momentum beam lifetime of 150 h


Halo from local beam-gas scattering expected to be very small, but needs to be investigated.

## Beam Halo: FP420

## Halo from Momentum Cleaning

Off momentum particles surviving beam cleaning
Simulation of $2 \times 10^{6}$ protons hitting momentum cleaning collimators.




FP420 is sensitive to off-momentum protons because of big dispersion (by design of the experiment).

Halo from betatron cleaning not shown but very small.

## Local Beam-Gas Background: TOTEM

## Simulation by V. Talanov:

- Simulate flux of particles in the RP station at 220 m generated by beam-gas interactions and subsequent collisions with machine elements content: particle ID, $x, y, \theta_{x}, \theta_{y}, E$, stat. weight, no time or correlation info
- $\quad \beta^{*}=1540 \mathrm{~m}, 156$ bunches, $1.15 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{b}\left(\Rightarrow \mathrm{L}=2.4 \times 10^{29} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$
- done:
beam 1 from left TAS to right RP220 station LHC optics version 6.4RP220


Particles included: $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n}, \pi^{+}, \pi, \mathrm{e}^{+}, \mathrm{e}^{-}, \gamma$ with $\mathrm{E}_{\text {kin }}>100 \mathrm{keV}$

- distant interactions (before left TAS) not included.


## Local Beam-Gas: TOTEM <br> Analysis Strategy

- Get hits at $\mathrm{s}=220 \mathrm{~m}$
- Extrapolate to $\mathrm{s}=216 \mathrm{~m}$ using track angle information
- Calculate single-pot and 2-pot coincidence rates using detector geometry
- For $\gamma$ and n GEANT4 simulation to assess interaction and detection probability

- Refine 2-pot angular cut with coincidence road:

Divide detector in groups of 32 strips à $66 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\sim 2 \mathrm{~mm})$

Include 2 neighbours into coincidence condition (determined by angular spread of signal $p$ )


## Local Beam-Gas: TOTEM

Reduction by Two-Unit Coincidence

## $\mathrm{E}_{\text {kin }}$ : Protons and Pions

all traversing the scoring plane


simple coincidence 216 mx 220 m pcoincidence

$\pi^{+}$coincidence


## Local Beam-Gas: TOTEM <br> Reduction by Two-Unit Coincidence

## $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{kin}}$ : Photons

all traversing the scoring plane

simple coincidence 216 mx 220 m

$\mathrm{E}<20 \mathrm{keV}$ :
photons stopped by $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Inconel window
$20 \mathrm{keV}<\mathrm{E}<100 \mathrm{keV}$ :
photons create isolated hits;
fake tracks suppressed by majority coincidence in 5 planes per projection $(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})$ within road width
$\mathrm{E}>100 \mathrm{keV}$ :
photons create Compton $\mathrm{e}^{-}$; above $1 \mathrm{MeV}: \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$pairs $\rightarrow$ Tracks
Interaction probability taken into account for background trigger rate estimate.

## Local Beam-Gas: TOTEM

## Rate Evolution with Cuts

$\beta^{*}=1540 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{k}=156$ bunches, $\mathrm{N}=1.15 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{p} /$ bunch, 2 vert. +1 hori. detectors:

|  | p | n | $\pi^{+}$ | $\pi^{-}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{-}$ | $\gamma$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 220 m pot | 344 Hz | 174 Hz | 616 Hz | 406 Hz | 4630 Hz | 3361 Hz | 94.72 kHz |
| simple <br> coinc. <br> 216 x 220 | 307 Hz | 131 Hz | 479 Hz | 289 Hz | 75 Hz | 122 Hz | 10.17 kHz |
| coinc. <br> within <br> roads | 303 Hz | 129 Hz | 385 Hz | 220 Hz | 21 Hz | 14 Hz | 3.90 kHz |
| with det. <br> efficiency | 303 Hz | 13 Hz <br> $($ all showers $)$ | 385 Hz | 220 Hz | 21 Hz | 14 Hz | $<330 \mathrm{~Hz}$ <br> $(95 \% \mathrm{CL})$ |

Total Single Arm Rate:

$$
0.9-1.3 \mathrm{kHz}=(5-7) \times 10^{-4} / \mathrm{b}
$$

No multiplicity cuts applied.
No simulation for $\beta^{*}=0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ scenario ( $\mathrm{k}=2808, \mathrm{~N}=0.4 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{p} /$ bunch )
$\rightarrow$ Results scaled with current, correcting also for higher gas density

## Local Inelastic Beam-Gas Background: ALFA

Simulations provided by Igor Azhgirey, IHEP


The comparison of the rate of distant and local beam-gas background shows that the latter contribution can be neglected.

## Beam-Gas Background: LHCf

MC simulation:

- geometry: includes the TAS and beam pipes from IP to +/-140 m.
- gas density: from LHC-project-Report 783
- Luminosity: $10^{29} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

$\underset{\text { (Arm 1) }}{\gamma \text { Spectrum }}$



## Beam-Gas: LHCf

## Background Reduction

- Double arm coincidence

Expected gas density: $<\rho>\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ equiv. $)=1.8 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ (at start-up)
$\rightarrow$ Beam-gas collision rate: 16 Hz , Signal rate from p-p: 6 kHz
$\rightarrow$ single arm: $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}=375$, double arm: $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}=10^{7}$
If gas density is higher: $\left\langle\rho>\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.\right.$ equiv. $)=10^{14} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$
$\rightarrow$ single arm: $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}=10$, double arm: $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}=10^{4}$

- $\pi^{0}$ Analysis

Reconstruct $\pi^{0}$ from 2 photons In case of high residual gas density $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ equiv. $\left.=10^{14} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right)$ :
$\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}=10$ for single photons, $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}=120$ for $\pi^{0}$.


## Beam-Beam Background: TOTEM

Note: This is based on an old simulation from 2003 with limited information.
New data are available, but not yet analysed.

Source:
N. Mokhov et al.: FERMILAB-Conf-03/086 and LHC Project Report 633

Simulation of background at $L=10^{33} \mathbf{c m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ from:

- pp in IP5 (minimum bias with DPMJET)
- beam-gas scattering: contributes $0.1 \%-1 \%$

Information available:

- fluxes of charged hadrons, neutrons, electrons, photons
averaged over Si detectors at:
145 m (vertical), 149 m (vertical), 220 m (vertical), 220 m (horizontal); but no angle or energy distributions at these positions;
- angular distributions at TAN to study efficiency of angular cuts


## Beam-Beam Background: TOTEM

Subtract peak of diffractive protons ( $|\mathrm{y}|<2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) (signal for TOTEM) to obtain pure background.

Inversely: accepting only diffractive region cuts background rate by factor $10^{-2}$.

Beam-beam background rate $[\mathrm{MHz}]$ for 1 horizontal detector at $L=10^{33} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ :

|  | ch. hadrons | n | $\mathrm{e}+-$ | $\gamma$ | total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| before cuts | 3.7 | 1.5 | 19.1 | 155.8 | 180.1 |
| after angular <br> cuts and effic. | $0.56-2.48$ | $0.0009-0.004$ | $0.038-0.09$ | $0.005-0.45$ | $0.6-3.0$ |
| Selecting <br> diffract. region |  |  |  |  | $0.006-0.03$ |

## Beam-Beam Background: LHCf

Background produced by particles from p-p collisions in IP1 interacting with beam pipe.



The $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ of this background is
$>10$ for photons with $\mathrm{E}>100 \mathrm{GeV}$ and
$>140$ for photons with $\mathrm{E}>350 \mathrm{GeV}$.
$\rightarrow$ Not a problem.

## Beam-Beam Background: FP420

Protvino simulations (preliminary) for a total inelastic cross section of 80 mb

- track diffractive protons losses on MB. B11 (last dipole upstream FP420)
- produce secondary particles and store their distribution at FP420 entrance

e 0.015 neutrons / bx with $\mathrm{E}>14.5 \mathrm{MeV}$
24 photons / bx
e with $\mathrm{E}>10 \quad \mathrm{MeV}$

Manchester BDSIM simulations (preliminary) for a total cross section of 100 mb

- track diffractive protons losses along the line from IP to MB. B11
- produce secondary particles and store their distribution at FP420 entrance


```
0.11 neutrons / bx
photons and charged particles to be assessed
```


## TOTEM Summary: Background Estimates

Rates for RP 220:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L}=10^{29} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \\ & \left(\mathrm{k}=156, \mathrm{~N}=7.4 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{b}\right) \\ & \beta^{*}=1540 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \mathbf{2} \text { vertical + } \mathbf{1} \text { horizontal detector } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L}=10^{33} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \\ & \left(\mathrm{k}=2808, \mathrm{~N}=4 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{b}\right) \\ & \beta^{*}=0.5 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \mathbf{1} \text { horizontal detector only } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Beam-Gas (single arm) | before cuts: $246 \times 10^{-4} / \mathrm{b}$ (b=bunch) <br> (hadrons: $3.6 \times 10^{-4} / \mathrm{b}$ ) <br> after cuts: $3.0 \times 10^{-4} / \mathrm{b}$ <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ background | before cuts: $248 \times 10^{-4} / \mathrm{b}$ after cuts: $1.9 \times 10^{-4} / \mathrm{b}$ <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ leading background |
| Beam-Beam (single arm) | before cuts: $177 \times 10^{-4} / \mathrm{b} \quad$ (?) after cuts: $(0.4 \div 2) \times 10^{-4} / \mathrm{b}(?)$ <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$ background | before cuts: $6 / \mathrm{b}$ <br> after cuts: $(0.02 \div 0.1) / \mathrm{b}$ (?) <br> $1^{\text {st }}$ leading background |
| Beam Halo (single arm) | Betatron cleaning: $1 \times 10^{-4} / \mathrm{b}$ distant beam-gas: $11 \times 10^{-4} / \mathrm{b}$ <br> $1^{\text {st }}$ background | Betatron cleaning: $0.4 \times 10^{-4} / \mathrm{b}$ distant beam-gas: $22 \times 10^{-4} / \mathrm{b}$ <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$ background |
| 2-arm coincidence | ( $237 \div 289$ ) $\times 10^{-8} / \mathrm{bx}$ | (0.0004 $\div 0.01) / \mathrm{bx}$ |
| Signal (example) | $17 \times 10^{-4} / \mathrm{bx}$ (elastic events) | 0.003 / bx (DPE events) |
| S/B | $(0.6 \div 0.7) \times 10^{3}$ <br> improvable with collinearity cut | $7.5 \div 0.3$ <br> selecting diffract. det. regions: factor $10^{4}$ |

## ALFA Summary: Background Estimates

|  | $\mathrm{L}=10^{27} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{k}=43, \mathrm{~N}=1 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{b}\right)$ <br> $\beta^{*}=2625 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> 2 vertical detectors |
| :--- | :---: |
| Local Beam-Gas | negligible |
| Beam-Beam | negligible |
| Beam Halo <br> (mainly distant beam-gas) | 2-arm coincidence: 9 Hz |
| Signal (elastic events) | 27 Hz |
| S/B before cuts | 3 |
| S/B after vertex \& collin. cuts, <br> before statistical bg reconstruction | 50 |
| contribution to $\Delta \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}$ | $1.1-1.5 \%$ |

## LHCf Summary: Background Estimates

|  | $\mathrm{L} \sim 10^{29} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{k}=43, \mathrm{~N}=1 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{b}\right)$ <br> $\beta^{*}=11 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Local Beam-Gas <br> (single arm) | $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}=375$ |
| Beam-Beam | $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}>140$ for $\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}>350 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| Beam Halo <br> (single arm) | $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B} \gg 5$ (improvable by $\pi^{0}$ reconstr.) |
| Double-arm coincidence | $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B} \gg 2.5 \times 10^{3}$ |

## FP420 Summary: Background Estimates

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{L} \sim 10^{34} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \\
\left(\mathrm{k}=2808, \mathrm{~N}=11.5 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{b}\right) \\
\beta^{*}=0.5 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

|  | IP1 | IP5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Halo from distant beam-gas (single arm) | 0.1 / b | 0.1 / b |
| Halo from momentum cleaning (single arm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1: } 7 \times 10^{-4} / b \\ & \text { B2: } 4 \times 10^{-2} / b \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B} 1:<10^{-5} / \mathrm{b} \\ \mathrm{~B} 2: 8 \times 10^{-4} / \mathrm{b} \end{gathered}$ |
| Halo from betratron cleaning (single arm) | negligible |  |
| Beam-Beam: showers from diffractive protons (single arm) prelimin |  |  |
| Local beam-gas (single arm) | small, to be studied |  |

## Background Measurements, Data Exchange

## Common to all forward experiments:

- single-beam runs
- non-colliding bunches in two-beam operation
would be useful to identify beam-gas + beam-halo backgrounds.
- To be provided to the machine: trigger rates
- Desired from the machine:

1. BLM data
2. collimator positions
3. machine vacuum measurements
4. BPM data
5. Optics information, beam quality information (bunch sizes, emittances etc.)

## Summary

- Backgrounds are managable.

At $\mathrm{L}<10^{29} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ halo from distant beam-gas scattering is dominant At $\mathrm{L}>10^{29} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \quad \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{p}$ induced background takes over

- Not all studies are completed.
- Comparisons between forward experiments should be extended.
- Signal exchange schemes are not yet at a finalised level.


## Appendix

## TOTEM: Background Measurements, Data Exchange

- to be provided to the machine:

1. RP detector and coincidence rates (monitored at least at 1 Hz ):
e.g. (left top \& right top) compared with (left top \& right bottom)
to subtract beam-gas and beam halo backgrounds
But: detectors retracted and off during injection;
2. Radiation monitors (always on; can see injection anomalies; read out every 20 s)

Interpretation of the rates observed will need experience.

- needed from the machine:

1. BLM data
2. collimator positions
3. machine vacuum measurement
4. BPM data

Acceptable in terms of:

- luminosity variations in time: luminosity monitored by TOTEM, varies anyway within a fill (factor $\sim 3$ ) $\rightarrow$ not problematic
- satellite bunches: problematic particularly with zero crossing-angle
- bad vacuum conditions in IR: to be avoided


## ALFA: Background Measurements, Data Exchange

- to be provided to the machine:

RP trigger rates (single arm) are a good measure of beam halo
But: detectors retracted and off during injection;

- needed from the machine:

1. BLM data
2. collimator positions
3. machine vacuum measurement
4. BPM data

Acceptable in terms of:

- luminosity variations in time: luminosity monitored by ALFA, variations within factor $\sim 3$ not problematic
- satellite bunches: problematic particularly with zero crossing-angle $\rightarrow<1 \%$
- bunch-to-bunch variations: $<20 \%$
- bad vacuum conditions in IR: to be avoided


## LHCf: Background Measurements, Data Exchange

- to be sent to the machine:
- trigger rate, also asynchronised to the bunch crossings
- energy distributions
- position distributions
- luminosity monitoring
- desired from the machine:
- nearby BLM data
- Radmon data from the TAN
- vacuum information
- Gas density 100 x higher than foreseen could be accepted.


## FP420: Data exchange and Answers to Questionnaire

- Information that could be given to LHC

Installed detectors:
Q 3D silicon for tracking $\rightarrow$ information about off-momentum beam halo particles
Q Gastof and Quartic for timing
instrumentation for alignment and calibration

- special BPMs with high accuracy
- fixed to LHC beam pipe
- movable with FP420 pipe
- Wire Position Sensors
- BLMs
- Information needed from LHC
- IR3 collimator positions (and efficiency?)
- beam

Q orbit stability, optics errors, bunch-to-bunch variations
Q emittances (beam size, momentum spread ...)

During injection, ramp and squeeze: detectors in retracted position
$\rightarrow$ no danger due to unexpected losses/background
Physics results should not be affected by beam condition variations (e.g. bunch to bunch variations etc..) once such variations are known.

## Reserve

## Beam Halo Simulation from Collimation Group

Beam halo distributions at specific locations in the ring for $\beta^{*}=0.5 \mathrm{~m}$. Examples at 220 m :

For horizontal losses:


For vertical losses:


## Beam Halo at $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{*}=0.5 \mathrm{~m}$

$\mathrm{k}=2808$ bunches
$\mathrm{N}=0.4 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{p} /$ bunch
$\tau=34 \mathrm{~h}$
$f_{\text {loss }}=0.9 \mathrm{GHz}$ (assume $f_{\text {loss, hori }}=f_{\text {loss vert }}$ )
$\mathrm{f}_{\text {halo }}$ for correctly-shaped detectors at $10 \sigma+0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ :

|  | single arm | double arm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 horizontal RP detector $\mathrm{P}=2 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{f}_{\text {halo }}=1.8 \mathrm{kHz} \\ & =4.5 \times 10^{-5} / \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{f}_{\text {coinc }}=0.08 \mathrm{~Hz} \\ =2 \times 10^{-9} / \mathrm{bx} \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 vertical RP detectors $\mathrm{P}=1 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{f}_{\text {halo }}=0.9 \mathrm{kHz} \\ & =2.3 \times 10^{-5} / \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{f}_{\text {coinc }}=0.02 \mathrm{~Hz} \\ & =0.5 \times 10^{-9} / \mathrm{bx} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 hori. + 2 vert. det. - overlap $\mathrm{P}=2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{f}_{\text {halo }}=2.3 \mathrm{kHz} \\ & =5.6 \times 10^{-5} / \mathrm{b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{f}_{\text {coinc }}=0.12 \mathrm{~Hz} \\ & =3.1 \times 10^{-9} / \mathrm{bx} \end{aligned}$ |

## $\mathrm{E}_{\text {kin }}$ : Protons and Neutrons

all traversing the scoring plane


simple coincidence 216 mx 220 m

n coincidence

estimated neutron - detector interaction probability: $0.5 \%$

## $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{kin}}$ : Pions

all traversing the scoring plane


simple coincidence $216 \mathrm{~m} \times 220 \mathrm{~m}$ $\pi^{*}$ coincidence

$\pi^{+}$coincidence


## $\mathrm{E}_{\text {kin }}$ : Electrons and Positrons

all traversing the scoring plane


simple coincidence 216 mx 220 m
$e^{*}$ coincidence



## Photons in Silicon


$\mathrm{E}<20 \mathrm{keV}$ :
photons stopped by $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Inconel window
$20 \mathrm{keV}<\mathrm{E}<100 \mathrm{keV}$ : photons create isolated hits; fake tracks suppressed by majority coincidence in 5 planes per projection (u, v) within road width:
$\binom{5}{5}$ or $\binom{5}{4}$ in u
$\binom{5}{5}$ or $\binom{5}{4}$ in v
$\mathrm{E}>100 \mathrm{keV}$ : photons create Compton $\mathrm{e}^{-}$; above 1 MeV : $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$pairs
$\rightarrow$ Tracks

## Beam-Gas Rate Evolution with Cuts

$\mathrm{k}=156$ bunches, $\mathrm{N}=1.15 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{p} /$ bunch ( 1 horizontal detector only):

|  | p | n | $\pi^{+}$ | $\pi^{-}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{-}$ | $\gamma$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 220 m pot | 131 Hz | 68 Hz | 223 Hz | 196 Hz | 2054 Hz | 1392 Hz | 38.86 kHz |
| simple <br> coinc. <br> 216 x 220 | 114 Hz | 49 Hz | 143 Hz | 135 Hz | 26 Hz | 21 Hz | 3.2 kHz |
| coinc. <br> within <br> roads | 113 Hz | 48 Hz | 115 Hz | 103 Hz | 7 Hz | 2 Hz | 1.2 kHz |
| with det. <br> efficiency | 113 Hz | 5 Hz <br> (all showers) | 115 Hz | 103 Hz | 7 Hz | 2 Hz | $<100 \mathrm{~Hz}$ <br> $(95 \% \mathrm{CL})$ |

Total Single Arm Rate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 340-440 \mathrm{~Hz} \quad \text { for } \mathrm{k}=156 \text { bunches, } \mathrm{N}=1.15 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{p} / \text { bunch } \\
& \left(=2 \times 10^{-4} / \mathrm{b}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

No multiplicity cuts applied.

## Backgrounds in T1/T2

available:

- $\quad$ simple beam-gas simulation: Pythia $p(7 \mathrm{TeV})$-p(rest) interaction position distribution flat from -20 m to +15 m
- beam-gas rate from rest-gas densities (A. Rossi):
for 156 bunches à $1.15 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{b}$ :

| Gas $X$ | $\sigma(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{X})[\mathrm{mb}]$ | $\rho\left[\right.$ molec. $\left./ \mathrm{m}^{3}\right]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 94 | $1.2 \times 10^{11}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | 568 | $1.2 \times 10^{10}$ |
| CO | 840 | $3.4 \times 10^{8}$ |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | 1300 | $4.2 \times 10^{8}$ |

Interaction rate: $\mathrm{kNc} / \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{LHC}} \Sigma \sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \rho_{\mathrm{i}}=0.4 \mathrm{~Hz} / \mathrm{m}$ per beam
from left TAS (aperture limit) to right T2: $(20 \mathrm{~m}+14 \mathrm{~m}) \times 0.4 \mathrm{~Hz} / \mathrm{m}=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ per beam missing:

- impact of more distant interactions
- full simulation with full geometry (shieldings etc.),

2 scoring planes: entrances of T1, T2;
details: particle energies, angles, bunch crossing information

- muon halo in CMS


# Machine background 



| Luminosity: | $10^{27}$ | $10^{34} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LUCDD signal rate: | 16 Hz | 32 MHz |
| Muon rate | 0.06 Hz | 40 Hz |
| Hadron rate $>20 \mathrm{GeV}$ <br> (hitting the TAS from the back) | $<50 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | $<31 \mathrm{kHz}$ |

From a calculation by V. Talanov that gives beam gas background entering the ATLAS cavern.

It is assumed that only hadrons with $\mathrm{E}>20 \mathrm{GeV}$ can penetrate the TAS

## LUCID

open issue: beam-gas background for LUCID

- The beam-gas background entering LUCID from the back has been estimated to be at a small level
- The beam gas entering LUCID from the front is presumably rather small (length ratio) but could be dangerous, since it is pointing to LUCID
- Can we get a background calculation for this contribution at a scoring plane of the LUCID front face ( $\sim 17 \mathrm{~m}$ )?


## LHCf: Transverse projection in the TAN slot




