Frontier Capabilities Working Group Summary

Mark Palmer

for the Study Group Conveners as presented to the U.S. HEPAP (Sep 5, 2013)





October 16, 2013




Outline

- Study Group Structure for Accelerator-based Facilities Convener: W. Barletta
- Accelerator Capabilities Overview
 - ⇒ Summary

In advance: My acknowledgments particularly to Bill, as well as the sub-group conveners and various contributors to the Frontier Capabilities Group

Accelerator Capabilities

- Convener: Bill Barletta (MIT, USPAS)
- Sub-groups
 - Proton Colliders
 - M. Battaglia (UCSC), M. Klute (MIT), S. Prestemon (LBNL), L. Rossi (CERN)
 - Lepton Colliders
 - M. Klute (MIT), M. Battaglia (UCSC), M. Palmer (FNAL), K. Yokoya (KEK)
 - Intensity Frontier Protons
 - J. Galambos (ORNL), M. Bai (BNL), S. Nagaitsev (FNAL)
 - Intensity Frontier Electrons and Photons
 - G. Varner (Hawaii), J. Flanagan (KEK), J. Byrd (LBNL)
 - Accelerator Technology:
 - G. Hoffstaetter (Cornell), W. Gai (ANL), M. Hogan (SLAC), V. Shiltsev (FNAL)



ACCELERATOR-BASED FACILITY SUMMARY

The HEP Accelerator "Big Questions"

The Following Questions Are Inherently Long Term

- How would one build a 100 TeV scale hadron collider?
- How would one build a lepton collider at >1 TeV?
- How would one generate 10 MW of proton beam power?
- Can multi-MW targets survive? If so, for how long?
- Can plasma-based accelerators achieve energies & luminosities relevant to HEP?
- Can accelerators be made 10x cheaper per GeV? Per MW?

Energy Frontier Proton Colliders I


The Key Questions

- What luminosity is possible for the LHC?
 - Strategies for increased integrated luminosity
 - Avoid impaired data and degradation of the detectors
- What energy can be achieved in the LHC tunnel?
- What is the future for the Energy Frontier Hadron Colliders beyond the LHC?
 - What are the challenges of a 100 TeV collider?
- What is the accelerator R&D roadmap for LHC and post-LHC capabilities?

The Near-Term Priorities – Full Exploitation of the LHC

- Continue a strong LHC Accelerator R&D Program

 → Hi Lumi LHC Construction Project
- Develop the technologies required for a High Energy LHC
 ⇒ Achieve Engineering Readiness
 - Next generation Nb₃Sn magnets (~15T scale)
 - Advanced beam control technology
 - ⇒ Represents the most critical near-/mid-term development effort for higher energy hadron colliders
- However, the high energy reach of the LHC is limited...
 - without magnet engineering materials beyond Nb₃Sn (high temperature SC development being pursued)
 - with challenges in managing synchrotron radiation
 - ⇒ Focused engineering is not a substitute for innovative R&D



Energy Frontier Proton Colliders II

Long-Term Options Beyond the LHC

- Extensive interest was expressed at Snowmass in a 100 TeV pp collider
 - US-led VLHC study (~2001) still valid ⇒ updated with a Snowmass whitepaper
 - Interest at CERN (coupled to TLEP study)
 - US participation in a new design study would help inform plans for future technology R&D
 ⇒ Participation in such a study is recommended


Accelerator R&D Roadmap: LHC Upgrades ⇒ post-LHC

- Advanced magnets
 - New engineering conductors (e.g., small filament HTS)
 - Improved temperature margin, stress management techniques, magnet protection, and structural

materials

- Beam dynamics
 - Effects of marginal synchrotron radiation damping
 - Beam physics of the injection chain
 - Control of beam halo
 - Noise & ground motion effects
- Machine protection & beam abort dumps (multi-GJ beams)
- Interaction Region design & technology options

NOTE: Strong technology overlap with muon / intensity machines



Energy Frontier Lepton & Photon Colliders I

The Key Questions

Can ILC & CLIC designs be improved using new technologies?

- Can they be constructed in stages? what is a staging plan?
- What would be the parameters of a Higgs factory as a first stage?
- Higgs factories
 - Could a Higgs factory be constructed in the LHC tunnel?
 - What would be parameters of a photon collider Higgs factory
 - Could one build a μ+μ- collider as a Higgs factory?
- Could one design a multi-TeV μ+μ- collider?
- What is the accelerator R&D roadmap?

The Japanese Initiative – Proposal to Host the ILC

- The Japanese initiative is welcomed
- With the release of the TDR by the ILC Global Design Effort, the ILC technical design is ready for a decision
 - Major US contributions to and leadership roles in machine physics & technology:
 SRF, high power targetry (e+ source), beam delivery, damping rings, and beam dynamics
 - Importance of an upgrade path to higher energy (> 500 GeV) & luminosity (> 10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹)
 has been emphasized
- The US accelerator community is capable of contributing...

 As supported by the physics case and as part of a balanced program

 The US can offer an experienced & ready team

ge?

Excitement & boundary condition

The driven by the Hiss discovery are driven by the Hiss services are driven by the Hiss

Energy Frontier Lepton & Photon Colliders II

HF Concepts span

a broad range of

technical readiness

Alternative Higgs Factory Approaches

- e⁺e⁻ ring in a very large tunnel (50-100km circumference)
 - Significant extrapolation, but from large experience base
 - Energy reach & luminosity are very strongly coupled
 - Largest luminosity at Z peak, falling rapidly √s increases
 - Tunnel could support a 100 TeV proton collider option
- Muon collider Feasibility assessment underway
 - Options for Higgs Factory (s-channel H production) ⇒ 10 TeV collider on Fermilab site
- Photon collider
 - Options for add-on to a linear collider and for a standalone facility presented
 - Builds on US expertise in high power lasers (overlap with laser wakefield accelerator needs)

Accelerator R&D Roadmap: Research For a Compact Multi-TeV Collider


Motivated by lower cost, smaller footprint, and higher energy capability

- Support the integrated US R&D program toward demonstrating muon collider feasibility (MAP)
 - Enable completion of the feasibility assessment near the end of this decade
 - Closely connected with intensity frontier & intense neutrino sources
 - Strong magnet synergies with LHC energy upgrades
- Stay involved in high gradient, warm linac approach (CLIC)
 - Practical energy reach: wakefield control, accelerating gradient
 - Industrialization path to be developed
- Continue R&D in wakefield accelerators (plasmas & dielectric)
 - Fruitful physics programs with high intellectual content
 - Feasibility issues: Positron acceleration, multi-stage acceleration, control of beam quality, plasma instabilities at 10's of kHz rep rate Maximizing RF Efficiency is Crucial!
 - All variants require an integrated proof-of-principle test



Muon Collider Concept

on Fermilab Site



High Intensity Proton Sources I

The Key Questions

- 1) What secondary beams are needed for IF experiments?
- 2) What proton beams are needed to generate these?
 - > 1 MW , flexible timing structure
- 3) Can these be made by existing machines?
- 4) What new facilities are needed to deliver 1)?
- 5) What accelerator / target R&D is needed to realize 4?

Approach to Setting Priorities – Intensity Frontier ⇒ Diversity

- Survey of anticipated particle physics requirements for secondary beams (i.e. neutrino, kaon, muon, neutron,...)
 - 19 secondary beam requests filled out by experiment advocates
- Derived primary proton beam characteristics
- Common characteristics of required beams
 - High average power (> 1 MW)
 - Flexible time structure
- Compared with existing proton beam characteristics
 - 20 existing proton beam lines + 14 planned upgrades
- ⇒ Conclusion: Next generation of intensity frontier experiments will require proton beam intensities & timing structures beyond the capabilities of any existing accelerators

High Intensity Proton Sources II


Project X – Can Provide a World-Leading Facility for Intensity Frontier

Research

- Based on a modern multi-MW SCRF proton linac
 - Flexible "on-demand" beam structure
- Could serve multiple experiments over broad energy range
 - Supports 0.25 120 GeV
- Platform for future muon accelerator facilities: IF/v Factory (NuMAX) & EF/Muon Collider
- Complete, integrated concept Reference Design Report
 - arXiv:1306.5022
- R&D program underway to mitigate risks in Reference Design
 - Undertaken by 12 U.S. & 4 Indian laboratories and universities
- ⇒ Construction could be initiated in the last half of this decade

Capabilities with Narrower Experimental Scope

- DAEδALUS: Decay At Rest anti-neutrinos short baseline ν oscillations
 - 3 multi-MW H₂⁺ cyclotrons/target stations located ~2-20km from experiment large hydrogenous detector
 - First stage: IsoDAR compact cyclotron 15 m from Kamland
 - International collaboration with strong industry connection
- nuSTORM: Neutrinos from STORed Muons
 - Supports sterile neutrino & neutrino cross-section experimental program using existing accelerator technology - also muon accelerator R&D
 - Muon storage ring sends well-characterized beams to near & far detectors at 50 m & 1900 m
 - Potential first step towards a long baseline neutrino factory capability




High Intensity Proton Sources III

Intensity Frontier Accelerator R&D Issues

- High quality, high current injection systems
 - Low emittance, high current ion sources
 - Effective beam chopping
 - Space charge control
- SCRF acceleration (Project X, muons)
- Multi-MW cyclotrons DAEδALUS
- Radiation resistant magnets
- Very high efficiency extraction
- & Understanding and controlling beam loss
 - Efficient collimation
 - Beam dynamics simulations of halo generation
 - Large-dynamic-range instrumentation

High Power Targetry – A Particularly Challenging R&D Issue

- Displacements & gas production are the main underlying damage mechanisms
 - Particulars depend on primary beam characteristics, material, ...
 - Can not simply scale from nuclear power experience
- Targets are difficult to simulate
 - Radiation effects need validating (inhomogeneous, time-varying)
 - Thermo-mechanical models complex
 - Poorly understood failure criteria (classical limits may be too conservative)
- Need controlled, instrumented in-beam tests & Need a source before you can test materials
 - Takes a long time to build up data (accelerated testing)





Requires a structured R&D program ⇒ International RADIATE collaboration has been formed

High Intensity Electron and Photon Beams I


The Key Questions

- What additional accelerator capabilities at heavy flavor factories are required to realize the full range of physics opportunities?
- What new or existing accelerator-based facilities provide opportunities for dark sector / axion searches ?
- What are new physics opportunities using high power electron and photon physics?
- What accelerator and laser R&D is required to realize the physics opportunities in these areas?

Capabilities Desired For Heavy Flavor Factories

- Super B-Factory (SuperKEKB):
 - Important US contributions to design by both labs & universities
 - Participation in commissioning & machine studies desirable
 - Luminosity upgrades (needs physics case)
 - Polarized beams (refine physics case)
 - Technical feasibility
- Tau-charm Factory beyond BEPC-II
 - What kind of facility would be interesting? (needs physics case)
 - What luminosity is needed? Is polarization necessary?

Factory machines require BOTH high intensity & low emittance beams



High Intensity Electron and Photon Beams II

Opportunities for HEP Using FEL Facilities

- "Flashlight through a wall" experiments using high-intensity photon beams in strong magnetic fields
 - JLab/MIT: Dark Light axion search
- · Search parameters are unconstrained
 - Use existing facilities
- keV level searches can use X-ray FELs
- More speculative: Generating low emittance muon beams from intense positron beams

Accelerator Development Roadmap for e⁺e⁻ Intensity Frontier Machines:

Exploit synergy with light sources

- Beam stability & control
 - Examples: Electron cloud & fast ion instabilities
- · Coherent Synchrotron Radiation issues with short bunches
- High-rate injection
 - High top-up rate to compensate for low lifetimes
 - Timing jitter, and attendant energy jitter
- Low-emittance beam issues
- Beam instrumentation

Presents an ongoing opportunity for collaboration across a broad accelerator community

Accelerator Technology Test Beds I

The Charge

- Identify broad range of test capabilities existing or needed
- Category 1: Provides testing beam physics / accelerator components to manage technical risks in planned projects
- Category 2: Integrates proof-of-practicality tests
- Category 3: Provides tests of physics feasibility of concepts / components

Identified Capabilities

- 35 existing facilities were identified
 - Beam / no-beam
 - US & overseas

Hadron Colliders: LHC Lumi & Energy Upgrades, VLHC				
Technical Challenges	Existing Capabilities	Planned Capabilities		
High performance SC wire	Critical industry couplings			
High Field SC magnets	LBNL, FNAL, BNL, CERN			
SR and photon stops	Electron storage rings			
Collimation	LHC, RHIC, FNAL-MI			
Injectors - SCRF	SNS (limited)	PXIE@FNAL		
Injectors – Space charge	FNAL-Booster, AGS, PS	ASTA@FNAL		
Beam cooling (optical, coherent)		ASTA@FNAL, RHIC Cool		

Injector studies need new, dedicated facilities

Lepton Colliders: ILC and Beyond				
Risk Reduction Areas	Existing Capabilities	Planned Capabilities		
ILC: SRF-system no beam	JLab, Cornell, Industry			
ILC: SRF system with beam	DESY, KEK, Cornell, LLNL	ASTA@FNAL		
ILC: FF, Damping Rings, e+ Production	KEK, Cornell, LLNL			
Practicality/Feasibility Tests	Existing Capabilities	Planned Capabilities		
CLIC NCRF 2-beam	CERN			
Muon Colliders – technical components	MuCool Test Area (MTA@FNAL)			
Muon Colliders – 4D/6D ionization Cooling	MICE@RAL	nuSTORM		
Wakefield accelerators – acceleration demo/staging	SLAC, LBNL, ANL	Upgrades to existing facilities		
Wakefield accelerators – luminosity / beam control		Needs integrated testbed		
Energy reach beyond the ILC will need new test capabilities				

Intensity Frontier Accelerators: Includes Project X, DAEδALUS, Neutrino Factory

Technical Challenges	Existing Capabilities	Planned Capabilities
Project X: H- source & chopping	SNS	PXIE@FNAL
Project X: CW SC RF (low beta)	Atlas@ANL	PXIE@FNAL
Project X: Pulsed SC RF, space charge		ASTA@FNAL
DAEδALUS: H ₂ + source	LNS Catania	
DAEδALUS: Multi-MW cyclotrons	PSI, RIKEN, ORNL, Best	
Neutrino Factory	See Muon Collider	
Instabilities, collimation, extraction	FNAL, RHIC	
Dedicated high power targetry		Critical Need

A new generation of IF machines needs new test facilities

Intensity Frontier Accelerators: Flavor Factories and Electron-Ion Colliders

Technical Challenges	Existing Capabilities	Planned Capabilities
Beam instabilities, Interaction region optics	Existing Rings	
IP designs, collimation	BNL, CERN	
Non-standard beam-beam		Needed
Intense polarized e⁻ source	JLab, BNL, Cornell	
CW SRF (β = 1)	Cornell, JLab, BNL, KEK	CERN
Heavy ion sources	MSU, LBNL	


Good test facility basis for technical design of HEP machines



Accelerator Capabilities: Summary

- A broad range of accelerator-based capabilities has been reviewed
- Key goals for the US Planning Process:
 - Fully exploit LHC capabilities and its upgrade path
 - ⇒ Complete its physics program
 - ⇒ Support the R&D for the next steps
 - The Japanese initiative to host a linear collider as a Higgs Factory is welcome
 - ⇒ The US accelerator community is capable of making significant contributions
 - ⇒ A clear upgrade path to higher energy and luminosity is necessary
 - The next generation of Intensity Frontier experiments require proton intensities and timing structures which are beyond current capabilities
 - ⇒ Project X can provide a world-leading facility to satisfy these needs
 - ⇒ Facilities with more narrowly defined scope may also have a role to play
 - Intensity Frontier colliders and photon sources have strong synergies with other parts of the accelerator community (eg, light sources)
 - Support for key R&D is required
 - ⇒ Participate in planning for a 100 TeV Collider
 - ⇒ Continue the R&D efforts toward a more compact multi-TeV lepton collider capability: Muon Collider, LC technologies (warm RF, wakefield)
 - ⇒ Dedicated test facilities will be required to develop future HEP capabilities





A FEW COMMENTS ON TLEP: MY VIEWS ONLY!

Some Personal Comments

- The TLEP concept was generally viewed as very interesting, but still in the preliminary stages
 - Considerable interest among HEP physicists, based on the potential cost and performance points
 - The coupling to an Energy Frontier pp machine lends this option considerable weight
 - There is a strong desire to let the Japanese Initiative proceed and then the situation can be re-evaluated
 - Moving towards a large tunnel should not be allowed to interfere with taking full advantage of the LHC
 - A full CDR is required
- Thus more detailed consideration after seeing how events of the next few years unfold, and while a full CDR is developed, seems reasonable...



THANK YOU