XXII. International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects

DIS2014

Exclusive Photoproduction of ρ^0 Meson with Forward Neutron at HERA

Sergey Levonian

On behalf of H1 Collaboration

Introduction

- First observation of exclusive photoproduction on (virtual) pion
  - ▷ Unique for HERA (before that γ, π beams did exist, but no target)
  - ▷ Extends further (very powerful) VM field at HERA



- Key observables:
  - ▷ $x_L = E_n/E_p$ (or $x_\pi = 1 - x_L$) distribution: $\sim f_{\pi/p}(x_L)$
  - ▷ W dependence: $\sim W^\delta$ – nature of exchange object(s)
  - ▷ t-slope of ρ^0 ($b \propto R^2$ in geometric picture)

- Main experimental difficulty:
  - ▷ Trigger (tagged γp – too large W to observe VM;
    untagged γp – too high rates/prescales)
  - ▷ Limited acceptance for forward π and N ($\eta_{\text{lab}} \geq 6$)

Introduction

- First observation of exclusive photoproduction on (virtual) pion
  - ▷ Unique for HERA (before that γ, π beams did exist, but no target)
  - ▷ Extends further (very powerful) VM field at HERA



- Key observables:
  - ▷ $x_L = E_n/E_p$ (or $x_\pi = 1 - x_L$) distribution: $\sim f_{\pi/p}(x_L)$
  - ▷ W dependence: $\sim W^\delta$ – nature of exchange object(s)
  - ▷ t-slope of ρ^0 ($b \propto R^2$ in geometric picture)



FNC

- Main experimental difficulty:
  - ▷ Trigger (tagged γp – too large W to observe VM;
    untagged γp – too high rates/prescales)
  - ▷ Limited acceptance for forward π and N ($\eta_{\rm lab} \geq 6$)

- Advantages of H1@HERA2
  - ▷ Improved FNC (distinguish and measure n and γ/π^0)

Introduction

- First observation of exclusive photoproduction on (virtual) pion
  - ▷ Unique for HERA (before that γ, π beams did exist, but no target)
  - ▷ Extends further (very powerful) VM field at HERA



- Key observables:
  - ▷ $x_L = E_n/E_p$ (or $x_\pi = 1 - x_L$) distribution: $\sim f_{\pi/p}(x_L)$
  - ▷ W dependence: $\sim W^\delta$ – nature of exchange object(s)
  - ▷ t-slope of ρ^0 ($b \propto R^2$ in geometric picture)



FNC

- Main experimental difficulty:
  - ▷ Trigger (tagged γp – too large W to observe VM;
    untagged γp – too high rates/prescales)
  - ▷ Limited acceptance for forward π and N ($\eta_{\text{lab}} \geq 6$)

- Advantages of H1@HERA2
  - ▷ Improved FNC (distinguish and measure n and γ/π^0)
  - ▷ Powerful fast track trigger
    (allows untagged soft γp to be collected)

FTT



Reaction of interest and the analysis phase space

$$\gamma^* + \mathbf{p} \longrightarrow \rho^0 \, \pi^+ \, \mathbf{n}$$
$$\rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$$

Photoproduction:	$Q^2 < 2$ GeV^2	$(\langle Q^2 \rangle = 0.05$ GeV$^2)$
Low p_t:	$\|t\| < 1$ GeV^2	$(\langle \|t\| \rangle = 0.20$ GeV$^2)$
Small mass:	$0.3 < m_{\pi\pi} < 1.5$ GeV	(m_{ρ^0})
π^+, π^- in CT:	$20 < W_{\gamma p} < 100$ GeV	$(\langle W_{\gamma p} \rangle = 48$ GeV$)$
Leading n:	$E_n > 120$ GeV;	$\theta_n < 0.75$ mrad

⇓

No hard scale present $\Rightarrow$ Regge framework is most appropriate

Contributing processes and their modelling



Pompyt $\times$ Pythia6

(π-flux) (elastic $\gamma\pi \rightarrow \rho^0\pi$)

Signal (DPP)



DiffVM

(elastic, p-diss, γ-diss, double diss)

Background

$$W_{\gamma p} \simeq \sqrt{2(E - p_z)_\rho E_p}$$

$$W_{\gamma\pi} \simeq W_{\gamma p}\sqrt{1 - x_L}$$

- DPP expectations: $f_{\pi/p}(x_L, t) \Rightarrow x_L$ shape, $p^2_{t,\rho}$ slope, $b = b_{\text{eff}}(M_{\pi N})$
- Diffractive bgr is well known (but has an irreducible part: $M_Y = N^\star \rightarrow n\pi^+$)

Analysis Summary

- Data sample
  - ▷ $2006-2007e^+$ runs, $\sqrt{s}=319$ GeV, $\mathcal{L}=1.16$ pb^{-1} $\simeq$ 6600 events in final sample
  - ▷ Trigger: $\langle\epsilon_{L1}\rangle\simeq 0.8,\ \ \langle\epsilon_{L2}\rangle\simeq 1.0$
- Tracking
  - ▷ 2 tracks with $p_t^{tr}>0.2$ GeV, $20^o<\theta^{tr}<160^o$ fitted to event vertex $|z_{vx}|<30$ cm, net charge $=0$
  - ▷ Effective mass range: $0.6<M_{\pi\pi}<1.1$ GeV (analysis); $\Rightarrow\sigma(\rho^0)$ for $0.28<M_{\pi\pi}<1.5$ GeV
- FNC
  - ▷ High energy neutron, $E_n>120$ GeV, within good acceptance region: $\theta_n<0.75$ mrad
  - ▷ Background fraction determined from x_L shape: $F_{\rm bg}=0.36\pm 0.06$ (subtracted from the data)
- Exclusivity
  - ▷ Nothing above noise level in the detector except two tracks from ρ^0 decay and the leading neutron
- Cross section measurement phase space and precision
  - ▷ Photoproduction: $Q^2<2$ GeV^2, $20<W_{\gamma p}<100$ GeV
  - ▷ Leading neutron: $0.35<x_L<0.95$, $p_{t,n}<x_L\cdot 0.69$ GeV
  - ▷ ρ^0 meson: $0.28<M_{\pi\pi}<1.5$ GeV, $p_{t,\rho}<1$ GeV

$$\delta_{\rm stat}=2.1\%\oplus\delta_{\rm sys}=15.5\%\oplus\delta_{\rm norm}=5.9\%\Rightarrow\delta_{\rm tot}=16.6\%$$

ρ-meson shape



$$\frac{dN(M_{\pi\pi})}{dM_{\pi\pi}} \propto BW_\rho(M_{\pi\pi}) \left(\frac{M_\rho}{M_{\pi\pi}}\right)^{n_{RS}}$$

$$M = 764 \pm 3 \text{ MeV}$$

$$\Gamma = 154 \pm 5 \text{ MeV}$$

$$n_{RS} = 4.17 \pm 0.27$$



Analysis region: $0.6 < M_{\pi^+\pi^-} < 1.1$ GeV extrapolated using BW to the full range: $0.28 < M_{\rho^0} < 1.5$ GeV

Control Plots for basic kinematics



Data points are shown with stat. errors only; green band represents estimated bgr fraction uncertainty

OPE and pion fluxes

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_{\gamma p}(W^2,x_L,t)}{dx_L dt} = f_{\pi/p}(x_L,t)\sigma_{\gamma\pi}((1-x_L)W^2)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\gamma p}}{dx_L} = \int_{t_0(x_L)}^{t_{min}(x_L)} f_{\pi/p}(x_L,t)dt \cdot \sigma_{\gamma\pi}(W_{\gamma\pi})$$

where $t = -\frac{p_{t,n}^2}{x_L} - \frac{(1-x_L)(m_n^2 - m_p^2 x_L)}{x_L}$

$\sigma_{\gamma\pi}(W_{\gamma\pi}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_\pi(x_L)}\frac{d\sigma_{\gamma p}}{dx_L}$ and $\overline{\sigma_{\gamma\pi}}(\langle W_{\gamma\pi}\rangle) = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma p}}{\int \Gamma_\pi}$



OPE and pion fluxes

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_{\gamma p}(W^2,x_L,t)}{dx_L dt} = f_{\pi/p}(x_L,t)\sigma_{\gamma\pi}((1-x_L)W^2)$$

$$\boxed{\frac{d\sigma_{\gamma p}}{dx_L} = \int_{t_0(x_L)}^{t_{min}(x_L)} f_{\pi/p}(x_L,t)dt \cdot \sigma_{\gamma\pi}(W_{\gamma\pi})}$$

where $t = -\frac{p_{t,n}^2}{x_L} - \frac{(1-x_L)(m_n^2 - m_p^2 x_L)}{x_L}$

$\sigma_{\gamma\pi}(W_{\gamma\pi}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_\pi(x_L)}\frac{d\sigma_{\gamma p}}{dx_L}$ and $\overline{\sigma_{\gamma\pi}}(\langle W_{\gamma\pi}\rangle) = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma p}}{\int \Gamma_\pi}$



<u>Problem:</u> too many different fluxes on the market



Typical examples:

$$f_{\pi^+/p}(x_L,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{g_{p\pi N}^2}{4\pi}(1-x_L)\frac{-t}{(m_\pi^2-t)^2}\exp\left[-R_{\pi n}^2\frac{m_\pi^2-t}{1-x_L}\right]$$

– H. Holtmann et al., *Nucl. Phys.* **A596** (1996) 631.

$$f_{\pi^+/p}(x_L,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{g_{p\pi N}^2}{4\pi}(1-x_L)^{1-2\alpha'_\pi t}\frac{-t}{(m_\pi^2-t)^2}\exp[-R_\pi^2(m_\pi^2-t)]$$

– B. Kopeliovich et al., *Z. Phys.* **C73** (1996) 125.

Pion fluxes confronted with H1 data

Make restricted selection of π-fluxes on the basis of shape comparison only



Example of fluxes excluded by the data

(too soft pions 'in the proton')

Fluxes compatible with H1 data

($\chi^2 = 2.1$ to 5.5 for 6 points)

Total cross sections

γp

$$\sigma_{\gamma\mathrm{p}} = \frac{\sigma_{ep}}{\int f_{\gamma/e}(y, Q^2)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}Q^2} = \frac{N_{\mathrm{data}} - N_{\mathrm{bgr}}}{\mathcal{L}(A \cdot \epsilon)\mathcal{F}} \cdot C_\rho$$

Where

- N_{bgr} – diffractive dissociation bgr from MC
- $\mathcal{L}$ – integrated luminosity
- $A \cdot \epsilon$ – correction for detector acceptance and efficiency
- $\mathcal{F}$ – photon flux integrated over kinematic domain $20 < W < 100$ GeV, $Q^2 < 2$ GeV^2
- C_ρ – numerical factor accounting for extrapolation to full ρ^0 mass range

For OPE dominated range, $0.35 < x_L < 0.95$, and $20 < W_{\gamma\mathrm{p}} < 100$ GeV, $\theta_n < 0.75$ mrad

$$\sigma(\gamma\mathrm{p} \to \rho^0\mathrm{n}(\pi^+)) = (280 \pm 6_{\mathrm{stat}} \pm 46_{\mathrm{sys}})\ \mathrm{nb}$$

$\gamma\pi$

$$\sigma_{\gamma\pi}(\langle W_{\gamma\pi}\rangle) = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma\mathrm{p}}}{\int f_{\pi^+/p}(x_L, t)dx_L dt},$$

and for $\langle W_{\gamma\pi}\rangle = 22$ GeV

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{el}}(\gamma\pi^+ \to \rho^0\pi^+) = (2.03 \pm 0.34_{\mathrm{exp}} \pm 0.51_{\mathrm{model}})\ \mu\mathrm{b}$$

Taking interpolated value of $\sigma(\gamma p \to \rho^0 p) = 9.5 \pm 0.5\ \mu$b at corresponding energy, we obtain

$r_{\mathrm{el}} = \sigma^{\mathrm{el}}_{\gamma\pi}/\sigma^{\mathrm{el}}_{\gamma p} = 0.21 \pm 0.06$ (cf. $r_{\mathrm{tot}} = \sigma^{\mathrm{tot}}_{\gamma\pi}/\sigma^{\mathrm{tot}}_{\gamma p} = 0.32 \pm 0.03$ [ZEUS, 2002])

Total γp and $\gamma\pi$ cross sections

Inner error bars – statistical uncertainty
outer error bars – $\sqrt{\text{stat}^2 + \text{sys}^2}$

Inner error bars – total experimental uncertainty
outer error bars – $\sqrt{\text{exp}^2 + \text{model}^2}$





Regge motivated power low fit W^δ yields $\delta < 0$

(in qualitative agreement with DPP and in contrast to MC,
$\delta_{MC} = 0.08 \pm 0.02$*, which is expected from purely* $I\!P$ *exchange)*

Holtmann flux is used for the central values.

Conservative model uncertainty $\sim 25\%$

Differential cross sections in η



Different energy dependence in data and MC is also reflected in η shape

Differential cross section in p_t^2



Differential cross section in p_t^2





Geometric interpretation: $\langle r^2 \rangle = 2b_1 \cdot (\hbar c)^2 \simeq 2\ \mathrm{fm}^2 \Rightarrow (1.6 R_p)^2 \Rightarrow$ ultra-peripheral process

Differential cross section in p_t^2







DPP $2 \to 3$

ISR



$M(n\pi)$/GeV

Geometric interpretation: $\langle r^2 \rangle = 2b_1 \cdot (\hbar c)^2 \simeq 2$ fm^2 $\Rightarrow (1.6 R_\mathrm{p})^2 \Rightarrow$ ultra-peripheral process

DPP explanation: low mass $\pi^+ n$ state $\rightarrow$ large slope, high masses $\rightarrow$ less steep slope

Summary

- Photoproduction cross section for exclusive ρ^0 production associated with leading neutron is measured for the first time at HERA.

- Differential cross sections for the reaction $\gamma \mathrm{p} \longrightarrow \rho^0 \mathrm{n} \pi^+$ exhibit features typical for exclusive double peripheral process.

- The elastic photon-pion cross section, $\sigma(\gamma\pi^+ \longrightarrow \rho^0\pi^+)$, is extracted in the OPE approximation.