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The strings between experiment and theory

Understanding QCD ...

➔ the easy case: $e^+e^-$

➔ the next-to-easy case $ep$

➔ the next-to-next-to-easy ... the complicated case $pp$
The general case

- Ambitious Aims....
- describe interaction of $A + B \rightarrow \text{anything}$

where anything can be:
- leptons
- stable hadrons
- new particles ....
The easy case: \( e^+e^- \rightarrow X \)

- use \( e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- \) and \( e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q} \rightarrow \text{hadrons} \)

- cross sections can be calculated in QED:
  \[
  \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow l^+l^-) = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s}
  \]
  \[
  \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}) = 3\frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s}e_q^2
  \]

- and for quarks
  ➔ but quarks carry color and fractional charge !!!!!
The easy case: $e^+e^- \rightarrow X$

- measure ratio of hadronic / leptonic cross section

$$R = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)} = N_c \sum_i e_q^2 = 3 \sum_i e_q^2$$

- for 3 quarks:

$$R = 3 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^2 \right] = 2$$

- including charm

$$R = 3 \left[ \frac{2}{3} + \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^2 \right] = 3.333$$
The early steps: $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

- sphericity: $S \sim \frac{3}{2}\langle \delta^2 \rangle$
  
  jet opening angle $\langle \delta \rangle = \langle P_t / P_\parallel \rangle$

- $S \sim 0$ for extreme jets

- $S \rightarrow 1$ for spherical events

⇒ evidence for 2-jet structure

Soding, Wolf Experimental Evidence on QCD
Transition from Quarks to Hadrons

  - quarks fragment independently
  - gluon are split: $g \rightarrow q\bar{q}$
  - not Lorentz invariant

- **Lund String Fragmentation** (Andersson, Gustafson, Peterson ZPC 1, 105 (1979),

---

Fig. 2.4. The final picture when $q_0$ and $\bar{q}_0$ move with large energies in opposite directions. The field has broken at many places by the production of $q\bar{q}$ pairs. Hatched areas indicate nonvanishing field.

H. Jung, DESY
Transition from Quarks to Hadrons

  - quarks fragment independently
  - gluon are split: \( g \rightarrow q\bar{q} \)
  - not Lorentz invariant

- **Lund String Fragmentation** (Andersson, Gustafson, Peterson ZPC 1, 105 (1979),
  - for \( q\bar{q} \) is similar to independent fragmentation
  - BUT is covariant and has no leftover
  - constraints on fragmentation function: \( q\bar{q} \) symmetric
  - transverse momentum distribution from tunneling effect
  - gluons act as kinks on the string: string effect

- **Cluster Fragmentation** (Webber NPB 238 (1984) 492)
  - pre-confinement of color
  - gluon split \( g \rightarrow q\bar{q} \)
How to find gluon jets?

➔ gluons act as kinks on strings

How to find the gluon jets, Andersson, Gustafson, Sjostrand, PLB 94,211 (1980)

TPC (PEP) H. Aihara, ZPC 28, 31 (1985)
How to find gluon jets?

- Jets ordered by energy, highest is quark (~94%), lowest is gluon (~70%)

\[ \sqrt{s} = 91.2 \text{ GeV} \]
How to find gluon jets?

jets ordered by energy, highest is quark (~94 %), lowest is gluon (~70%)

➔ clear evidence for string effect & color coherence
String effect in $W^+W^-$ production

- depending on string size and flight time strings could overlap
  and exchange soft gluons
- similar situation in $b \rightarrow cW^- \rightarrow ccs \rightarrow J/\psi X$
- generalise idea from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}g$ to $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$
- can also happen in $t\bar{t} \rightarrow W^+bW^-\bar{b}$

Jet final states in WW pair prod,
Gustafson, Pettersson, Zerwas, PLB 209,90, 1988
On Color rearrangement in hadronic $W^+ W^-$ events
String effect in $W^+W^-$ production

- measurement of E-flow between jets
- observe clear string effect...

H. Jung, DESY
String effect in $W^+W^-$ production

- Define:
  
  \[
  R_{\text{flow}} = \frac{\frac{dn}{d\chi_R} \ (\text{intra-}W)}{\frac{dn}{d\chi_R} \ (\text{inter-}W)}
  \]

- Observe clearly string effect

- Small color reconnection, extreme model with fat strings excluded...
Models for jet evolution

- **Parton Showering**
  - Color field of Lund string interpreted in terms of gluons
  - successive parton radiation, with DGLAP splitting function
  - ordering introduced explicitly:
    - virtuality, pt or angular ordered
  - need to take care of recoil
  - implemented in JETSET/PYTHIA/HERWIG

- **Color Dipole picture**
  - Color field of Lund string interpreted in terms of dipoles
  - radiation from dipole, including soft gluon interference
  - automatically satisfies color coherence (angular ordering)
  - in limits, DGLAP reproduced
  - implemented in ARIADNE

---

Gustafson, Pettersson, Dipole formulation of QCD Cascades
NPB 306 (1988) 746
Jet evolution: $q$ and $g$ jets

- select 3 jets, highest E-jet with secondary vertex (b-jet)
- 2 lower E jets are enriched gluon-jets
- use MC for corrections to true gluon

$e^+ e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}g$

$\Rightarrow$ gluon jets are wider ...

$\Rightarrow$ MC's with parton shower and CDM describe jet shapes
Jet evolution: $q$ and $g$ jets

- small differences of parton radiation as function of jet opening angle $\chi$

$\Rightarrow$ overall well description by shower MCs and CDM
Multijet production

- using cone jet algorithm
- shower MCs and ARIADNE are able to reproduce multi jets rates from low to highest CM energy
Lessons from $e^+e^-$

- pQCD describes total rates if color and fractional charge is included
- 3-jet and multijet event require color coherence:
  - string effect and angular ordering
  - color field can be specified in terms of gluons or dipoles
- q- and g- jet evolution well described by parton shower (ala DGLAP) approaches and CDM
- Multijet rates (up to $n > 4$ jets) still well described by shower MCs and CDM

➔ pQCD and soft QCD (hadronization) are understood .... really?

Is that all in QCD ??
Adding one more complication ....

the proton in the initial state
The fun with ep scattering

- Deep Inelastic Scattering is an incoherent sum of $e^+ q \rightarrow e^+ q$
- only 50% of $p$ momentum carried by quarks
- need a large gluon component
- partonic part convoluted with parton density function $f_i(x)$

$$\sigma(e^+ p \rightarrow e^+ X) = \sum_i f_i(x, Q^2) \sigma(e^+ q_i \rightarrow e^+ q_i)$$
The fun with ep scattering

- Deep Inelastic Scattering is a incoherent sum of $e^+ q \rightarrow e + q$
- only 50% of p momentum carried by quarks
- need a large gluon component
- partonic part convoluted with parton density function $f_i(x)$
- BUT we know, PDF depends on resolution scale $Q^2$

$$\sigma(e^+p \rightarrow e^+X) = \sum_i f_i(x, Q^2) \sigma(e^+q_i \rightarrow e^+q_i)$$
The fun with ep scattering: DGLAP

- QPM: $F_2$ is independent of $Q^2$

- $Q^2$ dependence of structure function: Dokshitzer Gribov Lipatov Altarelli Parisi

$F_2 = OPM + QCDC + BGF$

$\Rightarrow$ Test of theory: $Q^2$ evolution of $F_2(x, Q^2)$ !!!!
The fun with ep scattering

\[ \sigma(e^+p \to e^+X) = \sum f_i(x, Q^2) \sigma(e^+q_i \to e^+q_i) \]

- perfect description of precise measurements of HUGE range in \( x \) and \( Q^2 \)
- Theory works well.....
- extract parton densities, which are universal
- to be used at LHC.....

H. Jung, DESY
The proton PDFs ...

- quark and gluon PDFs

ZEUS

$Q^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$

- $xg \times 0.05$
- $xd \times 0.05$
- $xS \times 0.05$
- $xU$

- ZEUS-O (prel.) 94-00
- correlated error
- model dependence error
- MRST 2001
- H1 PDF 2000

$Q^2 = 2 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 20 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 200 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 2000 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 20000 \text{ GeV}^2$

$Q^2 = 200000 \text{ GeV}^2$

➔ Very large gluon density, even at small resolution scales $Q^2$
Remember the pre-HERA times

- Just before HERA started in 1992, new PDF fits (NLO DGLAP) were released, using all existing high precision data.


- 1st HERA data 1992

Theory recap: what are we doing?

** gluon bremsstrahlung **

\[
\sim \frac{1}{k^2} \left( \frac{1}{z} + \ldots \right)
\]

- collinear singularities
  - factorized in pdf
- evolution in \( Q^2 \sim k_t^2 \), or \( k_t^2 \) or ?

\[
\sigma = \sigma_0 \int \frac{dz}{z} C^a \left( \frac{x}{z} \right) f_a (z, Q^2)
\]

- \( k_t \) dependent pdf \( \rightarrow \)
  - unintegrated pdf
- evolution in \( x \)

\[
\sigma = \int \frac{dz}{z} d^2 k_t \hat{\sigma} (\frac{x}{z}, k_t) F(z, k_t)
\]
Questions from this …

- Strong rise of structure function at small $x$:
  - where is it coming from?
  - typical BFKL behavior?
  - or
  - steep starting distribution at which scale?
  - or
  - generated dynamically from a small scale (GRV ansatz)?
- if high parton density at small $x$, do we also observe saturation and parton recombination
- How is initial state parton cascade generated?
How many gluons are there?

- number of gluons in long. phase space $dx/x$:
  $$xg(x, \mu^2)dx/x$$

- occupation area:
  nr of gluons $x$ (trans size)$^2$
  $$g(x, \mu^2) \frac{1}{\mu^2}$$

- saturation starts when:
  $$\frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{\mu^2}xg(x, \mu^2)\frac{dx}{x} \geq \pi R^2$$

- gluon density is very large:~90 or 45 Gluons !!!!!

- with $R \sim 1 \text{GeV}^{-1}$ we obtain:
  $$\frac{0.2}{10 \text{GeV}^2}100 \sim \pi R^2 \sim \pi$$ !!!!!
High energy behavior of x section

\[ \sigma(\gamma^* p) = \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha}{Q^2} F_2(x, Q^2) \]
\[ = \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha}{Q^2} \sum e_q^2 x q(x, Q^2) \]
\[ x = \frac{Q^2}{W^2 + Q^2} \]

- rising x-section with \( W^2 \)
- at large energies can become larger than \( \sigma_{tot} \)
- mechanism needed which tames rise at large energies

⇒ saturation !!!
Saturation and geometric scaling

- Saturation scale:
  \[ Q_s^2(x) \sim \frac{\alpha_s(Q_s^2)g(x, Q_s^2)}{\pi R^2} \]

- Define new variable:
  \[ \tau(x) = \frac{Q^2}{Q_s^2(x)} \]

- scaling observed from small \( x < 0.01 \)
  ➔ all \( F_2(x, Q^2) \) points depend on only one variable: \( \tau \)
  ➔ Is this really saturation ????

\[ Q_s^2(x) = Q_0^2 \left( \frac{x_0}{x} \right)^\lambda = \left( 3 \cdot 10^{-4} \right)^{0.29} \]

Stasto et al PRL 86 (2001) 596

\[ Q_s^2(x) = Q_0^2 \left( \frac{x_0}{x} \right)^\lambda = \left( 3 \cdot 10^{-4} \right)^{0.29} \]
Saturation and geometric scaling

- Saturation scale:
  \[ Q_s^2(x) \sim \frac{\alpha_s(Q_s^2)g(x, Q_s^2)}{\pi R^2} \]

- Define new variable:
  \[ \tau(x) = \frac{Q^2}{Q_s^2(x)} \]

- Scaling observed form
  small \( x < 0.01 \)

  \[ \text{BUT}, \text{ saturation scale is very small, only at } x \sim 10^{-4} \]
  \[ Q_s \sim 1 \text{ GeV} \]

  \[ \text{BUT, also depends on gluon} \]
The dipoles sneak in again ...

- geometric scaling found with dipole model
- implement energy momentum conservation to dipole model

\[
\sigma_{\gamma^* p}^{tot} = \int d^2 r \int_0^1 dz \left( |\psi_L(z, r)|^2 + |\psi_T(z, r)|^2 \right) \sigma_{dp}(z, r)
\]

- largest effect from q masses and energy-mom conservation
- only small effect from saturation.....
- Ahh, yes, also DGLAP did describe the data

Walking down the ladder ...

- **D* production in DIS**

  - $2 < Q^2 < 100$, $0.05 < y < 0.7$
  - $|\eta^{D^*}| < 1.5$, $1.5 < p_T^{D^*} < 15$

- Good description of inclusive D* production by **NLO (HVQDIS)** but also using kt-factorization and uPDFs (CASCADE) ...
Problems in Collinear Approximation

heavy quarks at HERA

\[ \text{charm (HERA)} \]

\[
\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dp_t} \text{ (1/GeV)}
\]

Heavy quarks in

\[ \text{beauty (Tevatron)} \]

\[
\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dp_t} \text{ (1/GeV)}
\]

Higgs in pp

\[ \text{Higgs (LHC)} \]

\[
\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dp_t} \text{ (1/GeV)}
\]

➔ NLO corrections will be very large for these LO processes .....
Doing much better with uPDFs ...

Heavy quarks at HERA

Heavy quarks in $pp$

Higgs in $pp$

doing kinematics correct at LO, reduces NLO corrs,.... NEED uPDFs !!!!

Walking further down the ladder

DIS di-jet measurements
(H1 EPJC 33 (2004) 477)

\[ 5 < Q^2 < 100 \text{ GeV}^2 \]
\[ -1 < \eta < 2.5 \]
\[ E_T > 5 \text{ GeV} \]

\( \Rightarrow \) calculation with at least 3 hard partons essential ...
More on the ladder ...

- H1 prel data \(5 < Q^2 < 100 \text{ GeV}^2\)
  \(-1 < \eta < 2.5\)
  \(E_T > 5 \text{ GeV}\)

⇒ None of the calculations can describe measurements !!!
uPDFs from di-jets: $k_t$-dependence

- **H1 prel data**
  - $5 < Q^2 < 100$ GeV$^2$
  - $-1 < \eta < 2.5$
  - $E_T > 5$ GeV

- determine small $k_t$
  region with
    
    \[
    x A(x, \mu_0^2) = N x^{-Bg} \cdot (1 - x)^4 \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{(k_{t0} - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \right)
    \]

- large $k_t$ from evolution

→ perfect description of shape and rate
Evolution of uPDFs and x-section

- unintegrated PDFs (uPDFs): keep full $k_t$ dependence during perturbative evolution
  - using Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi, Balitski-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov or Ciafaloni-Fiorani-Marchesini/Liinked Dipole Chain evolution equations
  - CCFM/LDC treats explicitly real gluon emissions
  - according to color coherence ... angular ordering
  - angular ordering includes DGLAP and BFKL as limits...

- cross section (in $k_t$ factorization):
  
  $\frac{d\sigma^{jets}}{dE_T d\eta} = \sum \int \int \int dx_g \ dQ^2 \ d\ldots \left[ dk_{1}^2 x_g A_i(x_g, k_{1}^2, \bar{q})\right] \hat{\sigma}_i(x_g, k_{1}^2)$

  - can be reduced to the collinear limit:
  
  $\frac{d\sigma^{jets}}{dE_T d\eta} = \sum \int \int \int dx \ dQ^2 \ d\ldots x f_i(x, Q^2) \hat{\sigma}_i(x, Q^2, \ldots)$
uPDFs from di-jets: intrinsic $k_t$

\[ xA(x, k_{\perp}, \bar{q}) = \int dx_0 A_0(x_0, \mu_0) \times \frac{x}{x_0} \tilde{A}\left(\frac{x}{x_0}, k_{\perp}, \bar{q}\right) \]

- different intrinsic $k_t$-distributions only accessible in uPDFs
- sensitive to the mix of small and large $k_t$
  \[ \Rightarrow \text{small } k_t \text{ determines total } x\text{-section} \]
  \[ \Rightarrow \text{large } k_t \text{ influences perturbative tails ...} \]

\[ xA(x, k^2, \mu^2) \]

\[ \mu=3.0 \text{ GeV} \]
\[ \mu=5.0 \text{ GeV} \]
\[ \mu=10.0 \text{ GeV} \]


BUT ...
Looking forward ...

- DIS and forward jet:
  \[ 2 < \eta_{\text{jet}} < 4.3 \]
  \[ x_{\text{jet}} > 0.036 \]
  \[ 0.5 < \frac{p_{t \text{jet}}^2}{Q^2} < 2 \]

→ NLO factor 2 toooo low
Looking forward...

DIS and forward jet:

- $2 < \eta_{jet} < 4.3$
- $x_{jet} > 0.036$
- $0.5 < \frac{p_{t,jet}^2}{Q^2} < 2$

→ Hm...... not too bad.... BUT
Looking forward ...

- DIS and forward jet:
  \[ 2 < \eta_{\text{jet}} < 4.3 \]
  \[ x_{\text{jet}} > 0.036 \]
  \[ 0.5 < \frac{p_{t \text{ jet}}^2}{Q^2} < 2 \]

\[ \Rightarrow \text{CDM (ARIADNE) best ...} \]
what did we learn ... 

- inclusive DIS x-section well described by NLO DGLAP
  - x-section rises strongly for small $x$
  - large gluon/sea quark densities
  - is linear evolution enough?
  - saturation effects?

- understanding parton evolution at high energies still challenging:
  - hadronic final state not really well understood
  - $k_t$ distribution, $\Delta \phi$ needs further investigations ....
  - forward jet production ....

  ➔ best description still by CDM (ARIADNE) although effects from extended dipoles...

  ➔ best theory .. CCFM/LDC ....

still does not provide a very good description.....
Adding another complication ....

two protons in the initial state
The easy case in pp ....

Measurement of Z0 and Drell-Yan production cross-section using dimuons in anti-p p collisions at $S^{*}(1/2) = 1.8$-TeV.

\[
\sigma(q\bar{q} \to l^+l^-) = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3\times3s} e_q^2
\]
Diagrammatically, Resummation is doing

\[ \frac{d\sigma}{dq_T^2 dy} \bigg|_{q_T \to 0} \sim \frac{1}{q_T^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{2n-1} \alpha_s^n \ln^m \left( \frac{Q^2}{q_T^2} \right) \cdot C_m^n \]

Monte-Carlo programs \textsc{Isajet}, \textsc{Pythia}, \textsc{Herwig} contain these physics.
Transverse Momentum of W/Z

Measurements of Inclusive W and Z Cross Sections in p anti-p Collisions at $s^{1/2} = 1.96$ TeV


**FIG. 12:** Tuned PYTHIA 6.21 $d\sigma/dp_T$ in pb per GeV/c (on average) of $\gamma^*/Z \rightarrow ee$ pairs in the mass region $66 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < M_{ee} < 116 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ (histogram) versus the measurement made by CDF in Run I (points).
PDF fits including $q_+^*$ resummation

New Task of Global Analysis
Include Transverse Momentum $p_T$ distributions
- New Data: include not only rapidity ($\gamma$) but also $p_T$ of Drell-Yan pairs and $Z$ bosons

QCD $p_T$ Resummation
Global Analysis
hep-ph/0212159
Brock, Landry, Nadolsky, CPY

CP. Yuan, DIS2007
Q\textsubscript{T} spectrum: small x improved ...

- in standard p\textsubscript{T} resummation, no small x effects are included.
- at large energies (small x) BFKL effects might play a role... diffusion of transverse momenta, qt broadening...
- obtain effective p\textsubscript{T}-broadening by HERA data on transverse energy flow... include that for q\textsubscript{T} spectra of W/Z (Berge, Nadolsky, Olness, Yuan hep-ph/0410375)

➔ Interesting physics coming with hard QCD processes !!!!
uPDFs calling ...., again ...

- uPDFs (single and double unintegrated)
- can have sizable $k_t$
- respect kinematics .....
The fun with beauty in pp (<2002)

- data are ~ 2 larger than prediction at NLO
- at this time ...
- speculations on possible SUSY contributions etc..
The fun with beauty in pp

- b-X-section described by
  - PYTHIA
  - NLO if proper PDF, frag.fct and resummation is included
  - CASCADE/LDCMC with uPDFs

bbar at TeVatron (NLO catches up)

- Improvements:
  - consistent treatment and determination of fragmentation function (consistent at NLO...)
  - improved PDFs (mainly from HERA)
  - inclusion of resummation effects (either analytically or via parton showers, as in MC@NLO)

Points: CDF
Curves: FONLL

\[ \sigma(p_T(J/\psi) > 1.25 \text{ GeV}) \text{ BR: } \]
\[ 19.9^{+3.8}_{-3.2} \text{ nb (CDF) } \]
\[ 18.3^{+8.3}_{-5.9} \text{ nb (FONLL) } \]
Underlying event – Multiple Interaction

- Basic partonic perturbative cross section

  ➔ diverges faster than $1/p^2_{\perp \min}$ as $p_{\perp \min} \to 0$ and exceeds eventually total inelastic (non-diffractive) cross section

- Interaction x-section exceeds total x-section
  - happens well above $\lambda_{QCD}$
  - still in perturbative region

New ansatze:
Small-$x$ dipole evolution beyond the large-$N(c)$ limit.
Hadronic collisions in the linked dipole chain model.
Double-Parton Interactions at LHC

- **x-section for** $p + p \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$

  **single parton exchange (SP)**
  \[
  \sigma^{SP} \sim f^2 \hat{\sigma}(2 \rightarrow 4)
  \]

  **double parton exchange (DP)**
  \[
  \sigma^{DP} \sim f^4 \hat{\sigma}^2(2 \rightarrow 2)
  \]

- **PYTHIA predictions:**
  \[
  \sigma^{DP} = 0.8 \cdots 11.1 \ \mu b
  \]

  ➔ Depending on model for underlying event/multi-parton interactions...
**Multi-Parton Interactions at LHC**

- **Higgs:** \( p + p \rightarrow W + H + X \)
  - with \( W \rightarrow l\nu, \ H \rightarrow b\bar{b} \)

- Double parton scattering:
  - \( p + p \rightarrow b\bar{b}X \)
  - \( p + p \rightarrow W + X \)
  - \( p + p \rightarrow W + b\bar{b} + X \)
what did we learn from pp?

- soft gluon resummation/parton showering is important...
  - pt spectrum of $W/Z$ ... but also for heavy quarks ....
  - $k_t$ of incoming partons can be large ... 
  - important to treat kinematics properly....
  - and include it in PDF fits
    - calling for uPDFs

- high parton densities
  - multiparton interactions are significant ..
  - BUT ...
    - collinear factorization is not appropriate for high density systems
  - calling for better treatment applying small $x$ machinery ....
  - multiparton interactions can contribute significantly to high pt 
    discovery channels .... like $pp \rightarrow W + H + X \rightarrow W + b\bar{b} + X$
The strings between experiment and theory

Investigations in QCD call for
The strings between experiment and theory

Investigations in QCD call for

➔ multipurpose physics and physicists
The strings between experiment and theory

Investigations in QCD call for

\[ \Rightarrow \text{multipurpose physics and physicists} \]

\[ \Rightarrow \text{physicists in-between the chairs ...} \]
The strings between experiment and theory

Investigations in QCD call for

➔ multipurpose physics and physicists
➔ physicists in-between the chairs ...
➔ or just for people like you
  here in Lund
  and in the MCnet
➔ people having knowledge of both experiment and theory
and now?

**Detailed understanding of QCD is still challenging, but there is a bright future at the next QCD colliders, and never forget ...**
Dipoles are forever,
They are all I need to please me,
They can stimulate and tease me, .....