

Internal Peer Review Process

November 18, 2013 | B. Landgraf, A. Krämer-Flecken

Outline

Motivation


Needs of an internal reviewing process

Conclusions


Motivation

- Is a tool for counting of publications enough?
- Juser is the last step of a long way towards a publication
- What happens before Juser comes into play
- Internal publication rules apply for each institute
- How to manage an internal peer review
- Process between scientist, peer and director
- TecPub, web based publication tool is an example for such an process

Needs of an internal reviewing process I



Needs of an internal reviewing process II



Conclusions

- Internal peer review of publications is necessary
- Reviewing involves the interaction between scientist, peer and director
- Guarantees a detailed discussion of a paper before publication
- Should be included in Juser
- Would increase the acceptance of Juser at FZJ