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## Nuclear Landscape

Big variety of nuclei in the nuclear chart, $A \sim 2 \ldots 300$

Systematic ab initio calculations only possible in the lightest nuclei

Hard many-body problem: approximate methods suited for different regions

Interacting Shell Model:
Solve the problem choosing the (more) relevant degrees of freedom Use realistic nucleon-nucleon (NN) and three-nucleon (3N) interactions
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## The Interacting Shell Model



## Basis states 3D Harmonic Oscillator

Configuration space is separated into

- Outer orbits: orbits that are always empty
- Valence space: the space in which we explicitly solve the problem
- Inner core:
orbits that are always filled

$$
H|\Psi\rangle=E|\Psi\rangle \rightarrow H_{e f f}|\Psi\rangle_{e f f}=E|\Psi\rangle_{e f f}
$$

Full diagonalization code ANTOINE Caurier et al. RMP77 427(2005)

## Forces and Currents in Chiral EFT

Chiral EFT: low energy approach to QCD for nuclear structure energies Approximate chiral symmetry of QCD: pions pseudo-Goldstone bosons

Short-range couplings are fitted to experiment once
Systematic expansion of nuclear forces

|  | ${ }^{2 x}$ | Name | **** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0 | XH | - | - |
| no | Xtaldat | - | - |
| Nio | H18 | HH1X X | - |
| wio | $x \in \operatorname{lol} \mid$ <br>  |  |  |

Weinberg, van Kolck, Savage, Epelbaum, Kaiser, Meißner...

NN forces up to $\mathrm{N}^{3} \mathrm{LO}$ 3 N forces up to $\mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{LO}$

NN fitted to:

- NN scattering
- $\pi$-N scattering
$3 N$ fitted to:
- ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ Binding Energy
- ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ radius


## 3N Forces

## Treatment of 3 N forces:

normal-ordered 2B: 2 valence, 1 core particle $\Rightarrow$ (effective) Two-body Matrix Elements (TBME)

normal-ordered 1B: 1 valence, 2 core particles
 $\Rightarrow$ (effective) Single particle energies (SPE)

residual 3B:
$\Rightarrow$ Estimated to be suppressed by $N_{\text {valence }} / N_{\text {core }}$


## Residual 3N Forces

Results with normal-ordered two-body part of 3 N forces In extreme neutron-rich oxygen isotopes, 3 N forces between 3 valence neutrons can give a relevant contribution


Repulsive residual 3 N contributions
Small compared to normal-ordered 3N force, but increase with $N$

Very good agreement with resonances in ${ }^{25} \mathrm{O}$ and ${ }^{26} \mathrm{O}$
Caesar, Simonis et al PRC88 034313 (2013)

Challenge: include continuum

## Ca isotopes: Masses

Ca isotopes: explore nuclear shell evolution $N=20,28,32$ ?, 34?


Ca measured from ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ca}$ core
3 N forces repulsive contribution, chiral NN-only forces too attractive

Flat behavior towards ${ }^{60} \mathrm{Ca}$ does not allow clear prediction of the dripline

Sensitivity to SPEs MBPT (calculated from NN+3N forces) Empirical (from GXPF1 interaction) Estimate of the uncertainty

## Two-Neutron separation energies

Compare $S_{2 n}=-[B(N, Z)-B(N-2, Z)]$ with experiment


Precision measurements with TITAN changed AME $2003 \sim 1.74 \mathrm{MeV}$ in ${ }^{52} \mathrm{Ca}$

More flat behavior in ${ }^{50} \mathrm{Ca}-{ }^{52} \mathrm{Ca}$

3 N forces needed in theoretical calculation

Gallant et al. PRL 109032506 (2012)

## ${ }^{54} \mathrm{Ca}$ and $N=32$ shell closure

Compare $S_{2 n}=-[B(N, Z)-B(N-2, Z)]$ with experiment


Very recently ${ }^{53,54} \mathrm{Ca}$ measured at ISOLDE

Excellent agreement with theoretical prediction
$S_{2 n}$ evolution:
${ }^{52} \mathrm{Ca}-{ }^{54} \mathrm{Ca}$ similar to
${ }^{48} \mathrm{Ca}-{ }^{50} \mathrm{Ca}$, point to
$N=32$ shell closure

Wienholtz et al.
Nature 498346 (2013)

## Two-neutron separation energies

Compare $S_{2 n}=-[B(N, Z)-B(N-2, Z)]$ with experiment


Phenomenology masses/gaps as input, differ markedly beyond ${ }^{54} \mathrm{Ca}$

Coupled-Cluster calculations good agreement with adjusted 3N forces

Wienholtz et al.
Nature 498346 (2013)

## Shell closures and $2_{1}^{+}$energies

Correct closure at $N=28$ when 3 N forces are included

Holt et al. JPG39 085111(2012) Holt, JM, Schwenk, JPG40 075105 (2013)


- 3N forces enhance closure at $N=32$
- 3N forces reduce strong closure at $N=34$ (1.7-2.2 MeV) Measured at 2.04 MeV , suggest $N=34$ shell closure Steppenbeck et al. Nature 502 207(2013)
$2_{1}^{+}$energy in ${ }^{54} \mathrm{Ca}$ complemented with mass measurements in ${ }^{55,56} \mathrm{Ca}$ confirm magic number $N=34$ and test theoretical calculations


## ${ }^{48} \mathrm{Ca}$ spectrum

Challenge: doubly-magic nucleus ${ }^{48} \mathrm{Ca}$, $N=28$ shell closure not reproduced with NN forces


Spectra in reasonable overall agreement with experiment
$2_{1}^{+}$state well reproduced, energy slightly too high
$0_{1}^{+}$state too low especially compared to phenomenological interactions

## $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{M} 1)$ and $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{E} 2)$ Transitions



| Isotope | Transition | GXPF1A | MBPT | EXP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{46} \mathrm{Ca}$ | $2^{+} \rightarrow 0^{+}$ | 9.2 | 13.3 | $25.4 \pm 4.5$ |
|  |  |  |  | $36.4 \pm 2.6$ |
| ${ }^{46} \mathrm{Ca}$ | $6^{+} \rightarrow 4^{+}$ | 3.6 | 4.8 | $5.38 \pm 0.29$ |
| ${ }^{47} \mathrm{Ca}$ | $3 / 2^{-} \rightarrow 7 / 2^{-}$ | 3.6 | 1.0 | $4.0 \pm 0.2$ |
| ${ }^{48} \mathrm{Ca}$ | $2^{+} \rightarrow 0^{+}$ | 11.9 | 10.3 | $19 \pm 6.4$ |
| ${ }^{49} \mathrm{Ca}$ | $7 / 2^{-} \rightarrow 3 / 2^{-}$ | 4.0 | 0.22 | $0.53 \pm 0.21$ |
| ${ }^{50} \mathrm{Ca}$ | $2^{+} \rightarrow 0^{+}$ | 9.1 | 11.2 | $7.4 \pm 0.2$ |

## Proton dripline at $N=8$



Holt, JM, Schwenk PRL110 022502 (2013)

Compare $\mathrm{NN}+3 \mathrm{~N}$ theory to isobaric mass-multiplet formula (IMME) $E\left(A, T, T_{z}\right)=E\left(A, T,-T_{z}\right)+2 b(A, T) T_{z}$

Isospin-symmetry breaking terms predicted by chiral EFT
Coulomb included in calculations
Proton dripline not certain predicted at ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Mg}$ or ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Si}$ : $S_{2 p}=-0.12$ (Theory) / +0.01 (IMME)

Excitation spectra for $\mathrm{N}=8$ isotones predicted: ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Mg},{ }^{21} \mathrm{Al},{ }^{22} \mathrm{Si}$

## Masses and spectra of $N=20$ isotones




Dripline robustly predicted at ${ }^{46} \mathrm{Fe}$
Good description of ${ }^{48} \mathrm{Ni}$ : $S_{2 p}=-1.02$ (Th) vs -1.28(6) (Exp) Pomorski (2012)
Spectra: ideal ground for spectroscopic studies

## Summary and Outlook

Shell Model based on chiral EFT (NN+3N forces)
Good agreement with experimental shell evolution and spectroscopy:

- Oxygen dripline, unbound ${ }^{25,26} \mathrm{O}$ reproduced with residual 3 N forces
- Predicted neutron rich Ca $S_{2 n}$ 's with NN+3N forces agree with recent measurements of ${ }^{51,52} \mathrm{Ca}$ (TRIUMF) and ${ }^{53,54} \mathrm{Ca}$ (ISOLTRAP)
- Shell structure: prominent closure at $N=32$
- Ca spectroscopy: spectra, electromagnetic strengths
- Dripline and spectra of proton-rich $N=8,20$ isotones predicted


## Outlook:

Heavier isotope and isotone chains: include $\mathrm{T}=0$ (pn) TBMEs
Explore uncertainties in the theoretical calculation
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