

# Status report on L1 Tau Trigger with pixels for Phase 2

**Maria Teresa Grippo**<sup>1,2</sup>, **Konstantin Androsov**<sup>1,2</sup>, Fabrizio Palla<sup>1</sup>, Maria Agnese Ciocci<sup>1,2</sup>,  
Giuseppe Bagliesi<sup>1</sup>



<sup>1</sup> INFN Pisa <sup>2</sup> University of Siena



The research leading to these results has received funding from the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013/ under REA grant agreement n°317446 INFIERI "INtelligent Fast Interconnected and Efficient Devices for Frontier Exploitation in Research and Industry"


# Introduction

- ▶ Ongoing study of possible **Tau Pixel Trigger at LI for a  $\tau$  decaying into 3 prongs** was presented on:
  - ▶ Preliminary results on TTI Meeting 6<sup>th</sup> May:  
<https://indico.cern.ch/getFile.py/access?contribId=3&resId=0&materialId=slides&confId=250641>
  - ▶ During the Upgrade Week in Desy:  
<https://indico.cern.ch/getFile.py/access?contribId=8&resId=0&materialId=slides&confId=254097>
- ▶  $\tau$  to 3 prongs signal identifiable as an isolated triplet of clusters with similar size



# Single Module Standalone algorithm

- ▶ **Signal Phase Space** optimized for chosen visible  $\tau$   $P_T$  (40, 60 or 80 GeV) depending on layer in Pixel Barrel detector:
  - ▶ Cluster Size along local  $X \leq 3$
  - ▶ Cluster Size along local  $Y$  cut depending on module  $z$  position (from 8 to 12 pixels)
  - ▶ Charge per pixel between 6500 and 23500
  - ▶ Min/max separation
  - ▶ Max distance
  - ▶ Similarity on Size  $X$  and Size  $Y$  ( $\max \Delta\text{Size} \leq 1$ )
- ▶ **Isolation Region** :
  - ▶ Calculated to keep 80% of  $\tau$  signal in PU environment
  - ▶ Requiring no clusters with similar size inside isolation and signal regions





# Tau detection Efficiency and Algorithmic Efficiency for different Signal Phase Spaces

- ▶ Required minimum  $P_T$  of the 3 Prongs  $> 2$  GeV



*Tau Detection Efficiency =  $N$   $t \rightarrow 3$  prongs for which  $\exists$  associated selected triplet in the given layer /  $N$  of  $t \rightarrow 3$  prongs decayed within the layer acceptance*

*$N$  Algorithmic visible  $t = N$  of  $t \rightarrow 3$  prongs decayed in a single module in three different clusters within the layer acceptance*  
*Algorithmic Efficiency =  $N$  Algorithmic visible  $t$  for which  $\exists$  associated selected triplet in the given layer /  $N$  Algorithmic visible  $t$*



Tau with 140 PU


# Algorithm performance on MinBias events with 140 PU



- ▶ *Present Signal Phase Space parameters do not allow to distinguish between signal coming from  $\tau$  and signal coming from MinBias events using single layer information*

# Example of triplet population inside one module



- Accepted triplets in one Module for  $\tau \rightarrow 3$  prongs event with 140 PU



Visible  $P_T$  of  $\tau \sim 78$  GeV – 40 GeV Signal Phase Space parameters

# Study the source of Fake triplets in MinBias events


- ▶ Generated 10 Minimum Bias events with 140 PU, keeping Tracking Particle and Tracking Vertex information to access the MC truth
  - ▶ Samples made with standard condition and without Magnetic Field to study contribution from loopers (see F. Palla's presentation on June 6<sup>th</sup> - Upgrade Week Desy)
- ▶ Distribution of Number of triplets per Module vs Module z position:



- ▶ Algorithm accepts more fake triplets in the central region which is highly populated by clusters with small size along beam line

# Process Type of contributing tracks


- ▶ The main source of Fake triplets comes from Primary tracks
- ▶ There is also a huge contribution from unidentified processes, due to the fact that a significant amount of clusters does not have associated tracking Particles






# Tracking particles from primary processes

- ▶ Among the clusters produced by Primary Process, main contribution is due to  $\pi$



# Number of Tracking particles in one cluster


Tracking Particles per Cluster in Layer 1




- ▶ Low statistics for 0 Tesla sample is due to the higher amount of unassociated clusters on it.
- ▶ Without magnetic field applied, there are more merged clusters from different particles

# $P_T$ of tracking particles

Pt of tracking Particle in Layer 1



Minimal Prong  $P_T$  for  $\tau \rightarrow 3$  prongs  $P_T = 40$  GeV




- ▶ 97% of tracking particles has  $P_T$  less than 2 GeV
- ▶ There could be a possibility to reject these low  $P_T$  tracks matching triplets in different layers


# Tracking Vertex information

- ▶ Requiring that all clusters inside the triplet has tracking particle associated and that there are at least 3 contributing tracking particles inside the triplet


Min Delta z vertex in Layer 1



Max Delta z vertex in Layer 1



Tracking Vertexes per Triplet in Layer 1



- ▶ Most of tracking particles in one triplet come from different vertexes, therefore we expect that there should be no correlation between them.
- ▶ This fact tells us that primary origin of fakes can be due to random coincidences produced in high occupancy environment

# Conclusions and plans

---

- ▶ Preliminary study of MinBias background shows that majority of fake triplets are produced by uncorrelated  $\pi$  from low  $P_T$  primary processes.
  - ▶ We should try to use merged SimTracks to have a complete MC truth match for all the clusters to understand their source.
- ▶ Huge amount of accepted triplets in MinBias event does not allow to have standalone tau trigger in a single Pixel module
- ▶ Study the possibility to match triplets in different Pixel layers to reduce MinBias background