

# 125-GeV Higgs, What Next?


Chris Quigg

*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory*




Institute of Physics HEPP & APP · 7 April 2014 · RHUL

# Electroweak Symmetry Breaking



Interactions:  $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$  gauge symmetries

# Electroweak Symmetry Breaking



Interactions:  $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$  gauge symmetries  $\rightarrow U(1)_{EM}$

# A previously unknown agent hides the electroweak symmetry

- \* A force of a new character, based on interactions of an elementary scalar
- \* A new gauge force, perhaps acting on undiscovered constituents
- \* A residual force that emerges from strong dynamics among electroweak gauge bosons
- \* An echo of extra spacetime dimensions

# A previously unknown agent hides the electroweak symmetry

- \* A force of a new character, based on interactions of an elementary scalar
- \* A new gauge force, perhaps acting on undiscovered constituents
- \* A residual force that emerges from strong dynamics among electroweak gauge bosons
- \* An echo of extra spacetime dimensions

# Tasks:



Hide EW symmetry

Give masses to  $W$  and  $Z$


Give masses to fermions ( $\nu$ ?)

Ensure good high-energy behavior

# LEP validated secret $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ symmetry



# Gedankenexperiment: high-energy behavior



$$\mathcal{M}(W_0^+ W_0^- \rightarrow W_0^+ W_0^-) = -\sqrt{2} G_F M_H^2 \left[ \frac{s}{s - M_H^2} + \frac{t}{t - M_H^2} \right]$$

$$a_0(W_0^+ W_0^- \rightarrow W_0^+ W_0^-) \xrightarrow{s \gg M_H^2} \frac{-G_F M_H^2}{4\pi\sqrt{2}}$$



# The Importance of the 1-TeV Scale

EW theory does not predict Higgs-boson mass

Thought experiment: *conditional upper bound*


$W^+W^-$ ,  $ZZ$ ,  $HH$ ,  $HZ$  satisfy s-wave unitarity,

provided  $M_H \leq (8\pi\sqrt{2}/3G_F)^{1/2} \approx 1 \text{ TeV}$


- If bound is respected, perturbation theory is “everywhere” reliable
- If not, weak interactions among  $W^\pm$ ,  $Z$ ,  $H$  become strong on 1-TeV scale

*New phenomena are to be found around 1 TeV*

# Electroweak theory anticipates discoveries




# Electroweak theory anticipates discoveries




Before

# H couplings to W, Z tested indirectly




BSM: heavy Higgs allowed, even natural

# $p^\pm p$ colliders search in many channels





$\gamma\gamma, WW^*, ZZ^*, \gamma Z, \tau^+\tau^-, b$  pairs, ...



# Expectations for $H$ production



# CMS Discovery Plots



# ATLAS Discovery Plots






Is it *the* standard-model Higgs boson?


*Do not rush ahead of the evidence ...*

How well must we know its properties?

What can we learn?

*European Strategy Update · Snowmass 2013*





Fully accounts for EWSB (W, Z couplings)?

Couples to fermions?

*Top from production,  
direct observation for b,  $\tau$*

Accounts for fermion masses?

*Fermion couplings  $\propto$  masses?*

Are there others?

Quantum numbers? ( $J^P = 0^+$ )

SM branching fractions to gauge bosons?

Decays to new particles?

All production modes as expected?

Implications of  $M_H \approx 126$  GeV?

Any sign of new strong dynamics?

Fully accounts for EWSB (W, Z couplings)?

Couples to fermions?

*Top from production,  
direct observation for b,  $\tau$*

Accounts for fermion masses?

*Fermion couplings  $\propto$  masses?*

Are there others?

Quantum numbers? ( $J^P = 0^+$ )

SM branching fractions to gauge bosons?


Decays to new particles?

All production modes as expected?

Implications of  $M_H \approx 126$  GeV?

Any sign of new strong dynamics?

Only 3rd gen.  
so far



Why does the muon weigh?

*gauge symmetry allows*


$$\zeta_e [(\bar{e}_L \Phi) e_R + \bar{e}_R (\Phi^\dagger e_L)] \rightsquigarrow m_e = \zeta_e v / \sqrt{2}$$

*after SSB*

What does the muon weigh?

$\zeta_e$  : picked to give right mass, not predicted

*fermion mass implies physics beyond the standard model*



Why does the muon weigh?

*gauge symmetry allows*

$$\zeta_e [(\bar{e}_L \Phi) e_R + \bar{e}_R (\Phi^\dagger e_L)] \rightsquigarrow m_e = \zeta_e v / \sqrt{2}$$

*after SSB*

What does the muon weigh?

$\zeta_e$  : picked to give right mass, not predicted

*fermion mass implies physics beyond the standard model*

Veltman: Higgs boson knows something we don't know!

# Why does discovering the agent matter?



Imagine a world without a symmetry-breaking (Higgs) mechanism at the electroweak scale



Electron and quarks would have no mass  
QCD would confine quarks into protons, etc.

*Nucleon mass little changed*

*Surprise: QCD would hide EW symmetry,  
give tiny masses to W, Z*

Massless electron: atoms lose integrity

No atoms means no chemistry, no stable  
composite structures like liquids, solids, ...

... no template for life.

[arXiv:0901.3958](https://arxiv.org/abs/0901.3958)

Electron and quarks would have no mass  
QCD would confine quarks into protons, etc.

*Nucleon mass little changed*

*Surprise: QCD would hide EW symmetry,  
give tiny masses to W, Z*

Massless electron: atoms lose integrity

No atoms means no chemistry, no stable  
composite structures like liquids, solids, ...

... no template for life.

[arXiv:0901.3958](https://arxiv.org/abs/0901.3958)

*How demonstrate origin of  $m_e$ ?*

We live in a metaphorical superconductor

We have found a new space-filling stuff

*The Higgs boson is an excitation of the field*

We live in a metaphorical superconductor

We have found a new space-filling stuff

*The Higgs boson is an excitation of the field*

Does the stuff weigh too much?

*Higgs field contributes uniform vacuum energy density*

$$\rho_H \equiv \frac{M_H^2 v^2}{8} \geq 10^8 \text{ GeV}^4 \approx 10^{28} \text{ g/liter}$$

$$\text{Critical density } \rho_c \equiv \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G_{\text{Newton}}} \lesssim 10^{-26} \text{ g/liter}$$

An elementary scalar is a *new phenomenon* that poses questions of naturalness and consistency.

EWSB provides a laboratory for unified theories and for other phase transitions in the early universe.


The challenge of vacuum stability; how does  $M_H$  arise?

Puzzle #1: Expect New Physics on TeV scale to stabilize Higgs mass, solve hierarchy problem, but no quantitative failures of EW theory

Puzzle #2: Expect New Physics on TeV scale to stabilize Higgs mass, solve hierarchy problem, but no sign of flavor-changing neutral currents  
*Minimal flavor violation a name, not yet an answer*


*Great interest in searches for forbidden or suppressed processes*

# Rare processes: $(B^0, B_s) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$



LHCb + CMS:  $\text{BR}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-9}$

# Rare processes: $(B^0, B_s) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$



LHCb + CMS:  $\text{BR}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-9}$



# Electric dipole moment $d_e$

$$d_e < 8.7 \times 10^{-29} \text{ e} \cdot \text{cm}$$

ACME Collaboration, ThO


(SM phases:  $d_e < 10^{-38} \text{ e} \cdot \text{cm}$ )

*The unreasonable effectiveness  
of the standard model*

# *The unreasonable effectiveness of the standard model*

... but a few clouds (opportunities?)

# Proton radius puzzle: $\mu$ vs $e$



$\mu$ :  $0.84086 \pm 0.00039$  fm;  $e$ :  $0.8758 \pm 0.0077$  fm

# Lepton Anomalous Magnetic Moments

$$a_e(\text{QED}) = 1.159\,652\,181\,78\,(77) \times 10^{-3}$$

$$\underline{a_e(\text{EXP}) = 1.159\,652\,180\,73\,(28) \times 10^{-3}}$$

$$1\,05\,(82)$$

$$\delta a_\ell \propto \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{m_\ell^2}{\Lambda^2}$$

# Lepton Anomalous Magnetic Moments

$$a_e(\text{QED}) = 1.159\,652\,181\,78\,(77) \times 10^{-3}$$

$$\underline{a_e(\text{EXP}) = 1.159\,652\,180\,73\,(28) \times 10^{-3}}$$

$$1\,05\,(82)$$

$$\delta a_\ell \propto \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{m_\ell^2}{\Lambda^2}$$

$$a_\mu(\text{SM}) = 1.165\,918\,02\,(42)\,(26) \times 10^{-3}$$

$$\underline{a_\mu(\text{EXP}) = 1.165\,920\,89\,(54)\,(33) \times 10^{-3}}$$

$$-2\,87\,(63)\,(47)$$

*Standard model omits*

neutrino properties

dark matter

dark energy

baryon asymmetry

## *Thinking about new machines*

International Linear Collider

Circular  $e^+e^-$  Higgs Factories

Muon Collider for  $H$  formation

$\gamma\gamma$  Collider

CLIC (multi-TeV  $e^+e^-$ )

Multi-TeV Muon Collider

“100-TeV” VLHC

*not to neglect*

Neutrino Factory

Intense Proton Source ...



Important measurements at any moment  
depend on what is already known

SM-like or very nonstandard

Discovery of another “Higgs-like object”

*Direct evidence for or against new degrees of freedom*

Important measurements at any moment  
depend on what is already known

SM-like or very nonstandard

Discovery of another “Higgs-like object”

*Direct evidence for or against new degrees of freedom*

13-14 TeV LHC will be very telling

# Requirements for a shopper's guide

Clearly stated assumptions

Documented uncertainty estimates

*Rich list of observables, including*


$\Gamma(\mu\mu), M_H, \Delta M_H, \Delta\Gamma_H, \dots$

*Rich list of possible machines*

*A time dimension (linear scale)*

*Needs & prospects for theory & parameter improvements*

# Uncertainties in SM predictions



# Uncertainties in SM predictions

	$\Gamma(\text{MeV})$	$\Delta\alpha_s$	$\Delta m_b$	$\Delta m_c$	Theory
H $\rightarrow$ bb	2.36	-2.3%	+3.3%	0	+2%
		+2.3%	-3.2%	0	-2%
H $\rightarrow$ $\tau\tau$	.259	0	0	0	+2%
		0	0	0	-2%
H $\rightarrow$ W <sup>+</sup> W <sup>-</sup>	.973	0	0	0	+5%
		0	0	0	-5%

LHC Higgs Cross Section WG via S. Dawson

Parametric, theory uncertainties comparable

Improve  $m_b$ ,  $\alpha_s$

Include two-loop electroweak corrections

# Uncertainties in SM predictions

	$\Gamma(\text{MeV})$	$\Delta\alpha_s$	$\Delta m_b$	$\Delta m_c$	Theory
H $\rightarrow$ bb	2.36	-2.3%	+3.3%	0	+2%
		+2.3%	-3.2%	0	-2%
H $\rightarrow$ $\tau\tau$	.259	0	0	0	+2%
		0	0	0	-2%
H $\rightarrow$ W <sup>+</sup> W <sup>-</sup>	.973	0	0	0	+5%
		0	0	0	-5%

LHC Higgs Cross Section WG via S. Dawson

Parametric, theory uncertainties comparable

Improve  $m_b$ ,  $\alpha_s$

Include two-loop electroweak corrections

*Also: improve parton distribution functions*

Important not to narrow the physics vision  
by pretending we know the answer

Couplings

Distributions

Mass / width

Searches in the Higgs sector

Searches beyond the Higgs sector

Other parameters:  $M_W, m_t$

Back to  $Z^0$ ?

How can precise measurements of Higgs couplings lead us to infer new physics?

We don't (yet) see how such evidence for new physics can tell us what the new physics is, or on what energy scale it lies. (No standard BSM)

With or without a pointer, high-energy colliders search for new particles and can advance the study of Higgs self-couplings.

Planning can't neglect opportunities in flavor physics, neutrinos, ...



## Issues for the Future (*Starting now!*)

1. What is the agent of EWSB? *There is a Higgs boson!*  
Might there be several?
2. Is the Higgs boson elementary or composite? How does it interact with itself? What triggers EWSB?
3. Does the Higgs boson give mass to fermions, or only to the weak bosons? What sets the masses and mixings of the quarks and leptons? (*How*) is fermion mass related to the electroweak scale?
4. Are there new flavor symmetries that give insights into fermion masses and mixings?
5. What stabilizes the Higgs-boson mass below 1 TeV?

## Issues for the Future (Now!)

6. Do the different CC behaviors of LH, RH fermions reflect a fundamental asymmetry in nature's laws?
7. What will be the next symmetry we recognize? Are there additional heavy gauge bosons? Is nature supersymmetric? Is EW theory contained in a GUT?
8. Are all flavor-changing interactions governed by the standard-model Yukawa couplings? Does "minimal flavor violation" hold? If so, why?
9. Are there additional sequential quark & lepton generations? Or new exotic (vector-like) fermions?
10. What resolves the strong CP problem?

## Issues for the Future (Now!)

- I 1. What are the dark matters? Any flavor structure?
- I 2. Is EWSB an emergent phenomenon connected with strong dynamics? How would that alter our conception of unified theories of the strong, weak, and electromagnetic interactions?
- I 3. Is EWSB related to gravity through extra spacetime dimensions?
- I 4. What resolves the vacuum energy problem?
- I 5. (When we understand the origin of EWSB), what lessons does EWSB hold for unified theories? ... for inflation? ... for dark energy?

## Issues for the Future (Now!)

16. What explains the baryon asymmetry of the universe? Are there new (CC) CP-violating phases?
17. Are there new flavor-preserving phases? What would observation, or more stringent limits, on electric-dipole moments imply for BSM theories?
18. (How) are quark-flavor dynamics and lepton-flavor dynamics related (beyond the gauge interactions)?
19. At what scale are the neutrino masses set? Do they speak to the TeV scale, unification scale, Planck scale, ...?

## Issues for the Future (Now!)

16. What explains the baryon asymmetry of the universe? Are there new (CC) CP-violating phases?
17. Are there new flavor-preserving phases? What would observation, or more stringent limits, on electric-dipole moments imply for BSM theories?
18. (How) are quark-flavor dynamics and lepton-flavor dynamics related (beyond the gauge interactions)?
19. At what scale are the neutrino masses set? Do they speak to the TeV scale, unification scale, Planck scale, ...?
20. How are we prisoners of conventional thinking?