



Diffraction Physics with ATLAS

Antonio Sidoti

INFN Sezione di Roma

On behalf of the ATLAS collaboration

HEPFT 2014 *Protvino*, June 2012



Diffraction: Theory vs Experiment

Experimental Tools and Measurements

 \sim Asymmetry \rightarrow inelastic cross section measurement

 \sim Forward Rapidity "gaps" \rightarrow Diffraction studies (npQCD)

 \rightarrow Rapidity Gaps in Dijet production

 Elastic scattering protons taggers → Toward proton-proton elastic cross section measurement

 \rightarrow ATLAS upgrade (AFP)



Theory:

Interactions where the beam particles (one or both) emerge intact or dissociated into low mass states.

OR

Interactions mediated by t-channel exchange of object (ladder of gluons) with the quantum numbers of the vacuum, i.e. color singlet exchange called "Pomeron"



Theory vs Experiment

Experimentally:

No energy/momentum flow in "forward regions" → Rapidity gaps
Tag one or both protons in the final state ("very very close" to beam axis) → ALFA, AFP (ATLAS), TOTEM (CMS)



The ATLAS detector



The ATLAS Run I Forward Detectors



PseudoRapidity Coverage

From G. Wolshin EPL 95 61001 (2011)



Experimental Tools I: Asymmetry

Asymmetric events:

 \rightarrow Measure R_s: ratio of **single sided** MBTS events wrt total

inelastic events



Inelastic Cross Section $\sqrt{s}=7$ TeV

 $f_{\rm p}$ is one of the key components of the total inelastic cross section measurement

Inelastic Cross Section $\sqrt{s}=7$ TeV



10⁴

pp Cross Section Measurements $vs \sqrt{s}$



11

Experimental Tools II: Rapidity Gaps

For ND events $dN/d\eta \sim 6$ and $<\eta_i - \eta_k > \sim 0.15$

 \rightarrow Large η gaps are exponentially suppressed except for Diffractive events Measuring $\Delta \eta$ is a measurement of $M_{x(y)}$

$$\Delta \eta = \ln s / M_X^2 = -\ln \xi$$

Difficult measurement of $\mathsf{M}_{\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{Y})} \to \mathsf{Produced}$ particles escape undetected in the beam pipe

η acceptance is defined in the largest η range -4.9<η<4.9

 \rightarrow However max η gap determined by MBTS position (\rightarrow trigger) (Max $\Delta \eta \sim 8$)

```
Using ID+EM+HEC+FCAL 0<\Delta\eta^{F}<8 \rightarrow \sim 10^{-6} < \xi < \sim 10^{-2} \rightarrow \sim 7 \text{ GeV} < M_{\downarrow} < \sim 700 \text{ GeV}
```

Experimentally (detector) η rings (variable width 0.2, 0.4 according to η region): Active ring if: •At least one track with P_{τ} >200 MeV (also checked P_{τ} threshold=400,600,800 MeV/c) •At least one calorimeter cell above noise threshold (η -dependent threshold, no noise in Tile calorimeter) and E_{τ} cut (same as track)

12

Large Forward Rapidity Gaps

 $\Delta \eta_F$ is defined as "largest η gap in the event" Large $\Delta \eta_F$ sample is composed by SD + DD Events





 $\Delta \eta_{F}=0$ since Forward Rapidity gaps start at η edge

Measure differential cross section

varying P_T thresholds and comparing different MC (PHOJET, Pythia 6 and Pythia 8)

 $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Delta\eta_F}$



Both PHOJET and Pythia 8 (no CD component in Pythia) reproduce trend but agreement not perfect:

•PHOJET better at large $\Delta \eta_{_F} \rightarrow$ SD and DD diffraction xsection

• Pythia better for smaller $\Delta \eta_{F} \rightarrow$ Sensitivity to hadronization fluctuations and MPI





For large rapidity gaps Plateau reproduced by both models Raise at $\Delta \eta_F > \sim 5$ not predicted by models (Triple Pomeron exchange)



Default Pythia and Phojet $\alpha(t=0) = 1$ Increase at large $\Delta \eta_F$ is expected from the IPIPIP term in triple Regge models with a Pomeron intercept $\alpha_{IP}(t=0)>1$ \rightarrow Supercriticality of the Pomeron Regge intercept

Intercept determined by χ^2 fit for $6 < \Delta \eta_F$ Low dependence on slope

 $\alpha_{I\!\!P}(t=0) = 1.058 \pm 0.003(\text{stat})^{+0.034}_{-0.039}(\text{syst})$

Cross Section as a function of Mx



Vertical bars \rightarrow all uncertainty except luminosity

Single cross section measurements performed with detectors at different η Eur. .Phys. J. C72 (2012) 1926, arXiv1201:2808

Experimental Tool II.1: Dijet with central Veto



Veto energy scale Q_n (20 GeV)>> $\Lambda_s \rightarrow$ Insensitive to nPQCD effects

→ Different pQCD phenomena can be studied: Large $\Delta y \rightarrow BFKL$ dynamics Large dijet transverse momentum wrt Q₀ → Wide Angle Soft Gluon Radiation Both Large → Color Singlet exchange Reference: JHEP 1109 (2011), 053, arXiv:1107.1641 Update (CERN-PH-EP-2014-132)

18

Experimental "Setup"



All measurement unfolded to "hadron" level

LO (ALPGEN, PYTHIA, HERWIG) tested in previous analysis State of art simulations studied in most recent analysis:

- POWHEG NLO
- HEJ (LL) with and without shower (hybrid model)



Gap fraction and Leading P_{T}

²⁰

Comparison with higher order MC: **HEJ**: LL parton level generator to all orders for wide-angle soft-gluon radiation of similar P_{T} Emulates BFKL (large Δy)



ATLAS Preliminary

 $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV } / \mathcal{L} dt = 38 \text{ pb}^{-1}$

HEJ (partonic)

HEI+ARIADNE

POWHEG+PYTHIA 8

OWHEG+HERWIG

Data 2010

 $0 < \Delta y < 1$ (x 10^{-0})

 $1 < \Delta y < 2 (x \ 10^{-1})$

 $2 < \Delta y < 3 (x \ 10^{-2})$

 $3 < \Delta y < 4 (x \ 10^{-3})$

 $4 < \Delta y < 5 (x \ 10^{-4})$

 $5 < \Delta y < 6 (x 10^{-5})$

 $6 < \Delta y < 7 (x \ 10^{-6})$ $7 < \Delta y < 8 (x \ 10^{-7})$

[dd] (dd)

10¹⁰

10⁸

10⁶

 ∇

POWEG: NLO dijet + Pythia/Herwig parton shower: fails at large Δy because higher order QCD are relevant for that region

Gap fraction

Experimental Tool III: Forward Proton ID

Detect elastic scattered protons (forward): Easy in theory, complicated in practice

Need very forward detectors closed to beam \rightarrow ALFA Roman Pots Main goal of ALFA is the absolute measurement of the elastic cross section \rightarrow total cross section via the optical theorem

 $\sigma_{\text{tot}} = 4\pi \cdot Im\left(f_{\text{el}}(0)\right)$



ISR-like measurement Measure at the same time inelastic and elastic rate

Coulomb Amplitude Elastic rate measured down to small t where Coulomb amplitude is dominant → normalization from calculable e.m. amplitude

Cross Section Measurement



ALFA detector

Can trigger on each individual station \rightarrow Combine also with other detectors for several topologies:

Elastic

Single Diffractive



237 m 241 m

Data taking at $\beta^*=90$ with one colliding bunch only

ALFA detector



Edgeless detector
Single cladded 0.5 mm square fibers

Main Detector

For MD 10 staggered layers arranged in U and V direction

- ●→ position resolution obtained \sim 25~35 µm
- 93% efficiency per layer
- Overlap Detector \rightarrow track alignement
- Trigger Detector

●3mm thick scintillators ●Closest beam approach $6.5\sigma \rightarrow$ (between 3 and 5 mm from beam 1 and 2)

Data taking at $\beta^*=90$ with one colliding bunch only

Track reconstruction



ALFA1 (B7L1U) V plane

Track from elastic event

ALFA3 (A7L1U) V plane



Track from inelastic/beam halo/ event



Correlation plot for A-C Side arms (more than 560 m distance) Selection for elastic events ATLAS total xsection measurement will be released next week

Experimental Issues



PileUp

Specific LHC fills with specific optics (large β*) → Low luminosity
 Move the devices close to beam (few mm) → Beams ABSOLUTELY stable
 When running with the whole detector → time latency issues:
 Protons have to travel 240m + signals have to travel 240m back to ATLAS for TDAQ
 → Event fragments collected by the ATLAS subdetectors might be close to the L1
 latency (2.5µs) → Need to run ATLAS TDAQ with extended latency
 (+20BC=+250ns) All but muon detectors can accommodate that



Plans for ATLAS Upgrade: AFP

AFP: Atlas Forward Program (AFP1 and AFP2) for ATLAS Upgrade Phase2 (2020) AFP1 Detectors located in two stations: 206/214 m from IP AFP2 420m from IP (later)



Plans for ATLAS Upgrade: AFP

Silicon Tracking Detectors:
 Measure position and angle
 Radiation hardness (~30 kGy/year @ 10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹)
 → Silicon 3D detectors



Timing detectors: •MHz rate capability •Trigger capability •Quartz based Cherenkov detector + Microchannel plate PMT •Timing resolution: $\sigma(t) \sim 10 \sim 20$ ps $\rightarrow \sigma(z)$ few mm

 \rightarrow factor 40 of background from pileup rejection (μ =50)



Summary and Future

Summary of Results:

■ Asymmetry → fraction of diffractive vs Inelastic cross section → inelastic cross section measurement

■ Rapidity "gaps" → Diffraction studies (npQCD)

Ongoing Measurements:

ATLAS total cross section measurement with ALFA next week
 Possibility of exclusive measurements with ALFA

•AFP program for ATLAS phase 2 upgrade \rightarrow under approval

References

Asymmetry → inelastic cross section measurement Nature Commun. 2 (2011) 463, arXiv: 1104.0326 Forward Rapidity "gaps" → Diffraction studies (npQCD) Eur. Phys. J. C72 (2012) 1926, arXiv: 1201.2808 Rapidity Gaps in Dijet production JHEP 1109 (2011) 053, arXiv 1107.1641 (Update soon: CERN-PH-EP-2014-132)

Proton-proton elastic cross section measurement

(Coming soon!)



and the

Xsection Measurement

Data-MC comparison of MBTS multiplicities





Xsection Measurement



35



Measure ratio $f_{DD} = (\sigma_{SD} + \sigma_{DD} + \sigma_{CD})/\sigma_{Inel}$

Measured R_{ss}:

Several models \rightarrow Constraint f_n for each model



Systematic uncertainties

Main systematic uncertainties come from:

 "Unfolding": procedure to go from "detector" level (tracks and calorimeter deposit) to hadron level ("stable" MC particle after hadronization)
 Calorimeter Energy scale





For some $\Delta \eta_F$ values uncertainties up to ~20%



Both PHOJET and Pythia 8 (no CD component in Pythia) reproduce trend but agreement not perfect: • PHOJET better at large $\Delta \eta_F$ • Pythia better for smaller $\Delta \eta_F$ Low $\Delta \eta_F \rightarrow ND$ dominant (exponential decrease) Overestimation of σ_{inel} Large $\Delta \eta_F \rightarrow Flat$ contribution from diffraction





Increasing P_{τ} threshold \rightarrow Increase ND contribution P_{τ} Thresh=200,400,600,800 MeV Exponential decrease for both ND and SD/DD contributions Pythia better in shape and value





No diffractive in Herwig++ However non negligible ("bump") contribution in large $\Delta \eta_{F}$ region

Disabling color reconnection (No CR) and excluding soft events (Empty Events) \rightarrow xsection reduction but bump is still there \rightarrow intrinsic feature of cluster hadronization





Uncertainty/Data

42

Cross Section as a function of Mx



Experimental Issues: Pile Up

To collect large statistics (large integrated luminosity)

- Large charge stored in bunches
- "squeezed" bunches
- \rightarrow Large pile up (μ mean number of collisions per bunch crossing)

Large μ spoils experimental tools to study diffraction

Most 2012 periods have μ ~30

Use limited amount of data $\sim 20\mu b^{-1}$ (Present) collected with $\mu <<1$

If well motivated LHC could run with some bunches with small charge $\rightarrow \mu \sim 0.1-1$ \rightarrow Trade off between loosing some % of integrated luminosity, possibility to increase diffractive physics potential





Experimental Issues: Pile Up

Large statistics \rightarrow large integrated luminosity

- Large charge stored in bunches
- "squeezed" bunches
- \rightarrow Large pile up (μ mean number of collisions per bunch crossing)

Large μ spoils experimental tools to study diffraction

Most 2012 periods have μ ~30

Use limited amount of data $\sim 20\mu b^{-1}$ (Present) collected with $\mu <<1$

If well motivated LHC could run with some bunches with small charge $\rightarrow \mu \sim 0.1$ -1 \rightarrow Trade off between loosing some % of integrated luminosity, possibility to increase diffractive physics potential





Physics Motivations

Small cross section processes (Higgs production, SUSY, exotics) superimposed with large number of "Minimum Bias", Single and Double Diffractive events

Events with central jets veto are key signature for some Higgs production processes (Vector Boson Fusion, ttH production)

 \rightarrow Excellent understanding/simulation of MB/SD/DD and events with central jets veto crucial for the ATLAS physics potential discoveries



ALFA Measurement



ALFA detector



Beam Optics

 $\begin{array}{ll} (x^*, y^*): & \text{vertex position} \\ (\theta_x^{\ *}, \, \theta_y^{\ *}): \text{ emission angle: } & t \approx \neg p^2 \left(\theta_x^{\ *}{}^2 + \theta_y^{\ *}{}^2\right) \right) \\ \xi = \Delta p/p: \text{ momentum loss (diffraction)} \end{array}$

 $y_{\rm det} = L_y \theta_y^* + v_y y^*$

$$\label{eq:basic_states} \begin{split} \beta^* &= 90 \text{ m: } L_y = 263 \text{ m}, \, v_y \approx 0 \\ \beta^* &= 3.5 \text{ m: } L_y \sim 20 \text{ m}, \, v_y = 4.3 \end{split}$$

→ Reconstruct via track positions



$$\frac{dx_{det}}{ds} = \frac{dL_x}{ds}\theta_x^* + \frac{dv_x}{ds}x^*$$

		Beam width @ vertex $\sigma_{x,y}^* = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_n \beta^*}{\gamma}}$	Angular beam divergence $\sigma_{x,y}^* = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_n}{\beta^* \gamma}}$	Min. reachable t $ t_{\min} = \frac{n_{\sigma}^2 p \varepsilon_n m_p}{\beta^*}$
Standard optics	$\beta^* \sim 13.5~m$	$\sigma_{x,y}^{*}$ small	$\sigma(\theta_{x,y}^{*})$ large	$ t_{min} \sim 0.31~GeV^2$
Special optics	$\beta^* = 90 \text{ m}$	$\sigma_{x,y}^*$ large	$\sigma(\theta_{x,y}^{*})$ small	$ t_{min} \sim 10^{-2}~GeV^2$

ALFA and Diffractive Physics

Detecting scattered protons powerful tool to investigate also the following processes:

- Single Diffractive
- Central Diffractive
- Electromagnetic
- Central Exclusive

Example of Central Exclusive Processes: Look for exclusive processes:eg pp \rightarrow pp $\pi^+\pi^-$

Expect 2000 events for L=10²⁷ cm⁻²s⁻¹ in 30 hours π^- Trigger on ALFA (elastic) + low P_T tracking Only measurement was at \sqrt{s} =62 GeV (ISR!) Also possible exlcusive processes K⁺K⁻, pp, charmonia etc...

- P. Lebiedowicz, A. Szczurek, Phys Rev D81 (2010), 0360
- R. Staszewski et al arXiv:1104.3568

 \mathbb{P},\mathbb{R}

240m

Seik

 p_b

240m

π

IΡ

Scattering angles from October 2011 Run \rightarrow Alignement checks on elastic scattered protons Distance measurement with σ =20µm and knowledge of beam optics





Plans for ATLAS Upgrade: AFP



Fraction of pileup events in AFP acceptance

→ time of flight measurements with σ ~20ps fraction rejection factor ~100 (µ~25)

Acceptance of AFP@206m detector for protons from diffraction mm

10-3

10-4