



---

Managed by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science

---

# **HTCondor Deployment at Fermilab**

## **Where we came from, where we're going**

Steven Timm

European HTCondor Site Admins Meeting

9 December 2014

# Fermilab Pre-condor

---

- Fermilab has run Farms-based reconstruction, large numbers of independent processors since late 1980's and before. (Vax, custom hardware, RISC-based)
- “In search of Clusters” (2000) lists us as example of high-throughput, embarrassingly parallel computing
- Used CPS, FBS, and FBSNG, all written at Fermilab\*
- 2002—2 years into Tevatron Run II.
  - FBSNG working well on reconstruction farms
  - Experiments started building Analysis Linux clusters
  - Fermi management didn't want to extend scope of FBSNG
  - D0 cluster “CAB” started using PBS,
  - CDF “CAF” started with FBSNG but were already investigating Condor.

# Condor at Fermilab—CDF Central Analysis Facility

---

- First quasi-interactive analysis facility
- Analysis jobs ran on batch system but users had capability to
  - Tail a log file
  - Attach a debugger if necessary
  - Have files copied back to their private area
- These features developed first on FBSNG batch system and then transferred to Condor in 2004.
- Condor developers added Kerberos 5 authentication to Condor at our request
- Given success of Condor on CAF, CDF reconstruction farms were also converted to run on Condor.

# FermiGrid (General Purpose) and Open Science Grid

---

- FBSNG needed grid extensions for X.509 support and for bigger scalability
- Instead--transitioned reconstruction farms to Condor
- In 2005 began with 28 general purpose CPU on condor, accessible by grid, transitioned the balance by end of 2006.
- CMS Tier 1 also transitioned to Condor, a bit earlier.

# GlideCAF/GlideinWMS

---

- CDF users liked local CAF extras
  - Wanted to run the same on the grid
  - Result was “GlideCAF”—renamed a couple years later to “GlideinWMS”.
- Condor glide in:
  - Central system handles the submission of grid pilot jobs to the remote site.
  - These jobs start their own condor\_startd and call home to the CDF condor server
  - To users, all resources appear to be in the local CDF condor pool just as before.
  - No applying for personal certs, no grid-proxy-init, etc, all transparent to the user
- From 2009 onwards the neutrino experiments of the Intensity Frontier also use glideinWMS.
- New frontend is an http-based client/server called jobsub
- Users don't run condor\_q or condor\_submit anymore.
- .

# Current state of HTCondor@Fermilab

---

- 4 main grid clusters part of FermiGrid
  - CMS Tier 1--11056 slots—HTCondor
  - General Purpose Grid—9376 slots—HTCondor
  - CDF Grid—3488 slots—HTCondor
  - D0 Grid—4376 slots—Torque(PBS) Maui
- CDF and D0 Grid clusters to be decommissioned shortly.
- Grid clusters by nature quite scalable
  - Slow Globus middleware in front of condor,
  - Stress on schedd is almost non-existent.
  - Have grown from 28 cores to 28550.
- Challenges are on submission nodes:
  - What happens when someone submits 200K jobs and deletes them right away.

## Directions we are going:

---

- CMS Tier 1 and GP Grid just put under management of same department (after 8 years of being separate)
- Looking at everything from the ground up. 2 different philosophies and setups, take the best from both.
- Three key technologies:
  - HTCondor-CE
    - Gives us a lot more flexibility on slot requirements from grid
    - Uses the “Job Router” features of HTCondor
  - Partitionable Slots
    - Address diverse memory, core, disk requirements
  - Hierarchical Quotas
    - Unified way to balance analysis, production, calibration, test

# Hierarchical quotas

---

- 3 top level groups:
- BATCH: quota of 99% of all slots
- HIGHPRIO: quota of 1% of all slots
- OPPORTUNISTIC: no quota, can be pre-empted
- GROUP\_QUOTA\_group\_batch=9200
- GROUP\_QUOTA\_group\_batch.nova=1300
- GROUP\_QUOTA\_group\_batch.nova.production=300
- GROUP\_QUOTA\_group\_batch.nova.analysis=1000
- GROUP\_ACCEPT\_SURPLUS = True \*\* BIG shift here
- Add default shorter job length 24-36 hrs (was 4.5 days)
- Eventually hope to let experiments control the split within their own subquota but that will take some work



# Partitionable Slots

---

- Have been mentioned in other talks
- Way to address very diverse memory, disk, and core requirements. Astronomers have wildest ones.
- 64GB RAM per job but just 1-2 cores. Huge scratch too.
- Have been experimenting with partitionable slots on FermiCloud. Expect to deploy on GP Grid in a couple of months
- Have to revise any monitoring to recognize that the number of slots in the pool can dynamically change.
- Shift to reliance on cgroups to enforce the memory limits of the partitionable slots.

# Cloud Bursting

---

- We have already run experimental workflows at the 1000-virtual machine level on Amazon Web Services and on FermiCloud.
- Can burst using GlideinWMS or via OpenNebula native cloudbursting features. Most of the time use GlideinWMS.
- These virtual machines do not join the base FermiGrid clusters but are visible to the submit nodes.
- Also have done demos of launching custom worker nodes (same as a real worker node in all respects except for different RAM per slot) and having them join FermiGrid. This we refer to as “Grid Bursting”.
  - Used this to meet a Dark Energy Survey milestone before we had partitionable slots working.

# The Virtual Facility Project

---

- Fermilab's long-term goal is to have the facility provision nodes on commercial clouds on behalf of the various experiments.
- Construct a virtual facility where not only compute nodes but services can exist transparently in Fermilab or in the commercial clouds or both.
  - Successful demos of scalable squid servers and scalable submit nodes (schedd) in the cloud thus far
  - Next big one is data caching both inbound and outbound.
  - Leverage load balancing and autoscaling functions of the cloud where they exist.
  - Expand to other clouds, in particular Google and Azure as well as OpenStack.

# Conclusions

---

- Fermilab very thankful for all the help we get from HTCondor
- Couldn't do what we do without it, either on grid or on cloud.
- Expect HTCondor will remain critical technology going forward
- Always glad to compare experiences, war stories, etc.