A Classically Scale Invariant Model of Inflation

Kristjan Kannike



May 29, 2014 Planck Paris

1405.3987 by K.K, Antonio Racioppi, Martti Raidal

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

2 Goal

- Concrete realisation of 'Coleman-Weinberg' inflation in a classically scale-invariant model
- Do it without increasing the gauge group, unlike Linde, 1982; Albrect & Steinhardt, 1982; Gonzales-Diaz, 1986; Langbain et al., hep-ph/9310335; Yokoyama, 1999; Rehman, Shafi & Wickman, 0810.3625; Barenboim, Chun & Lee, 1309.1695

▲ロト ▲園ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

3 Tensor-to-Scalar Ratio from BICEP2

BICEP2 measured the tensor-to-scalar ratio

 $r = 0.20^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$

 $\label{eq:r} r = 0 \mbox{ disfavoured at } 7 \sigma \qquad \mbox{BICEP2 Collaboration, 1403.3985}$ In moderate tension with the Planck result

r < 0.11

Planck Collaboration, 1303.5082

▲ロト ▲園ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

4 Confirmations of Inflation

- Almost scale-invariant density perturbations
- Adiabatic initial conditions
- Nearly Gaussian fluctuations
- Spatial flatness
- Tensor perturbations from gravity waves

4 Confirmations of Inflation

- Almost scale-invariant density perturbations
- Adiabatic initial conditions
- Nearly Gaussian fluctuations
- Spatial flatness
- Tensor perturbations from gravity waves ?

5 Confirmations of Inflation

- Almost scale-invariant density perturbations
- Adiabatic initial conditions
- Nearly Gaussian fluctuations
- Spatial flatness
- Tensor perturbations from gravity waves

6 To the Planck Scale and Beyond

Large r implies $\frac{\Phi}{M_P} \gtrsim 1...10$ Antusch & Nolde, 1404.1821 Standard Wilsonian expansion

$$V = V_{ren} + \sum_{n=5}^{\infty} c_n \frac{\Phi^n}{M_P^{n-4}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

blows up and ruins inflation!

7 To the Planck Scale and Beyond

< □ ▶ <

Kubrick, 1968

To the Planck Scale and Beyond

Watchdog Wire, 2013

OTHING

FE

うくつ

9 Classical Scale-Invariance

No explicit mass scales – no mass termsBroken by *logarithmically* running couplings

▲ロト ▲園ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

10 ϕ^4 Potential with Quantum Corrections

 φ^4 potential with the cosmological constant term Λ^4

$$V=\Lambda^4+\frac{1}{4}\lambda_\varphi\varphi^4$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

10 ϕ^4 Potential with Quantum Corrections

 φ^4 potential with the cosmological constant term Λ^4

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{V} &= \Lambda^4 + rac{1}{4}\lambda_{\Phi} \varphi^4 \ &= \Lambda^4 + rac{1}{4}\lambda_{\Phi}(\Phi) \varphi^4, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda_{\Phi}(\Phi) = \beta_{\lambda_{\Phi}} \ln \left| \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} \right|$$
$$\nu_{\Phi} = \frac{\Phi_0}{\sqrt[4]{e}}, \quad \Lambda = \Phi_0 \sqrt[4]{\frac{\beta_{\lambda_{\Phi}}}{16e}}$$

11 ϕ^4 Potential with Quantum Corrections



- Small-field hilltop inflation
- Large-field chaotic inflation

12 Full Lagrangian

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi \partial^{\mu} \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \eta \partial^{\mu} \eta + \mathcal{L}_{Y} - V, \\ \mathcal{L}_{Y} &= Y_{N}^{ij} \bar{L}_{i} i \sigma_{2} H^{*} N_{j} + h.c. + Y_{\varphi}^{ij} \bar{N}_{i}^{c} N_{j} \varphi \\ &+ Y_{\eta}^{ij} \bar{N}_{i}^{c} N_{j} \eta, \\ V &= \Lambda^{4} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{h\varphi} |H|^{2} \varphi^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{h\eta} |H|^{2} \eta^{2} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_{\varphi}}{4} \varphi^{4} + \frac{\lambda_{\varphi\eta}}{4} \eta^{2} \varphi^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{\eta}}{4} \eta^{4} \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- $\blacksquare Real \ scalars \ \varphi \ and \ \eta \\$
- Three right-handed neutrinos N_i

13 Effective Potential in Direction of ϕ

- Higgs portal couplings have to be tiny avoid big corrections to Higgs mass
- Negligible contributions also from N_i

$$V_{eff} = \Lambda^4 + \frac{\lambda_{\varphi}(\mu)\varphi^4}{4} + \frac{\lambda_{\varphi\eta}^2}{256\pi^2} \left(\ln \frac{\lambda_{\varphi\eta}\varphi^2}{2\mu^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \varphi^4$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

 $\beta_{\lambda_\varphi}\propto\lambda_{\varphi\eta}^2\approx const$

14 Effective Potential in Direction of ϕ

After a couple lines of algebra,

$$V_{eff} = \Lambda^4 + \frac{\lambda_{\varphi\eta}^2 \ln \frac{\varphi^2}{\varphi_0^2}}{256\pi^2} \varphi^4$$

η gets a mass via portal coupling as

$$\mathfrak{m}_\eta = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{\varphi\eta}}{2}} \mathfrak{v}_\varphi$$

▲ロト ▲園ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

15 Predictions on the r vs. n_s Plane



Small-field hilltop inflationLarge-field chaotic inflation

$$V = \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 \text{ potential}$$
$$V = \lambda \phi^4 \text{ potential}$$

16 r vs. ϕ_0



◆□> ◆□> ◆目> ◆目> ◆目 • のへで

17 Inflaton mass vs. Self-Coupling





- $m_{\eta} > \text{inflation scale} \sim 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$
- During inflation, $\eta = 0$ and is decoupled

《曰》 《聞》 《臣》 《臣》 三臣

19 Conclusions

- Single-field φ slow-roll inflation with 1-loop effective potential
- Minimal extension of the SM gauge group not extended
- With classical scale invariance, we need another scalar η to give φ a VEV
- Both chaotic and hilltop inflation possible
- Prediction for r the same as for φ²-inflation for large inflaton VEV