## Jet Cleansing: Pileup Removal at High Luminosity

David Krohn, **Matthew Low**, Matthew Schwartz, and Lian-Tao Wang

arxiv:1309.4777

- Pileup contaminates jets, modifying kinematic quantities and jet shapes.
- Cleansing attempts to return jet to its pre-pileup state.
- Exploits information from charged tracks.
- Infers local information about neutral particles.



 $\mathsf{Pileup} \Rightarrow$ 

 $\leftarrow$  Cleansing



#### Figures of Merit

- Examples: p<sub>T</sub> residual offset, p<sub>T</sub> residual fluctuations, mean of observable, etc.
- Want to be sensitive to the structure of the jet.
- We chose linear correlation coefficient  $\rho_{12}$ .

$$\rho_{12} = \frac{E[(x_1 - \mu_1)(x_2 - \mu_2)]}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \qquad -1 \le \rho_{12} \le 1$$





Matthew Low (UChicago)

January 21, 2014 2 / 17

#### Grooming and Subtraction

Grooming returns a subset of the jet constituents.

- Filtering (+ mass drop) [Butterworth, Davison, Rubin, Salam; arxiv:0802.2470]
- Pruning [Ellis, Vermilion, Walsh; arxiv:0903.5081, arxiv:0912.0033]
- Trimming [Krohn, Thaler, Wang; arxiv:0912.1342]

Subtraction corrects and returns the jet four-vector or shape value.

Area subtraction [Cacciari, Salam; arxiv:0707.1378]

$$p^{\mu}_{\text{corrected}} = p^{\mu} - \rho A^{\mu}$$
  $\rho = \text{median}\left[\left\{\frac{p_{\mathcal{T},j}}{A_j}\right\}\right]$ 

Shape subtraction [Soyez, Salam, Kim, Dutta, Cacciari; arxiv:1211.2811]

$$V_{\text{corrected}} = V - \rho V^{[1]} + \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 V^{[2]} + \dots$$

#### Grooming and Subtraction



Both grooming and subtraction effectively remove pileup dependence.

#### Current pileup is $\mu = \langle 21 \rangle$ , future pileup may be up to 140.





about 128, and up to 16% of all bunches will have a pileup of > 140. The length of the luminous

0.22 ATLAS Simulation Preliminary s = 14 TeV entri Pythia8 dijets (QCD 2→ 2) 25 ns bunch spacing 0.2 anti-k. LCW jets with R=1.0  $\langle u \rangle = 140, \sigma^{\text{pileup}}(u=140)$  $\begin{array}{c} & & \text{all th}, \text{ torm fets with rear 10} \\ \hline & & \text{O}_{0.18} & 0.5 < p_{\text{T}}^{\text{pl}} < 1 \text{ TeV}, 0.0 < |\eta| < 0.3 \\ \hline & & \text{No jet grooming, no jet pileu} \\ & & \text{No jet grooming, jet 4-vector} \\ \hline & & \text{Trimmed, no jet pileup correct} \\ & & \text{Trimmed, no jet pileup correct} \end{array}$ · No jet grooming, no jet pileup correction · No jet grooming, jet 4-vector pileup correction Trimmed, no jet pileup correction Trimmed, jet 4-vector pileup correction 0.12 0.1 0.08 0.06 0.04 0.02 -200-100 0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 m<sup>jet</sup> [GeV]

Grooming or subtraction alone doesn't restore distribution.

region is anticipated to be about 5 cm.

- Cluster jet constituents into subjets
- Calculate rescaling  $\lambda_{sub}(cells, tracks; particles)$  for each subjet
- Rescale constituents in subjet

$$p_i^\mu 
ightarrow \lambda_{ ext{sub}} p_i^\mu \qquad \quad i \in ext{subjet}$$

Reassemble jet

### (A) JVF Cleansing

Estimate scaling by ratio

$$\lambda_{\sf sub} = rac{{\sf charged}\ p_{\mathcal{T}}\ {\sf from}\ {\sf LV}}{{\sf all}\ {\sf charged}\ p_{\mathcal{T}}}$$

Using track or particle quantities

$$\lambda_{\mathsf{sub}} = \frac{p_T^{\mathsf{C},\mathsf{LV}}}{p_T^{\mathsf{C},\mathsf{LV}} + p_T^{\mathsf{C},\mathsf{PU}}}$$



### (A) JVF Cleansing

Estimate scaling by ratio

$$\lambda_{\sf sub} = rac{\sf charged \ p_T \ \sf from \ \sf LV}{\sf all \ \sf charged \ p_T}$$

Using measured quantities

$$\lambda_{\mathsf{sub}} = \frac{p_T^{\mathsf{C},\mathsf{LV}}}{p_T^{\mathsf{C},\mathsf{LV}} + p_T^{\mathsf{C},\mathsf{PU}}}$$



\* ATLAS has looked at some form of this in the past.

Useful parameterization of total subjet  $p_T$ .

$$p_T = rac{p_T^{\mathsf{C},\mathsf{PU}}}{\gamma_0} + rac{p_T^{\mathsf{C},\mathsf{LV}}}{\gamma_1}$$

- $p_T$  = measured calorimeter  $p_T$
- $p_T^{C,PU}$  = measured track  $p_T$  from pileup.
- $p_T^{C,LV}$  = measured track  $p_T$  from the leading vertex.
- $\gamma = \text{charge-to-all } p_T \text{ ratio.}$

Can utilize these quantities to estimate neutral components.

#### (B) Linear Cleansing

Estimate scaling by ratio

$$\lambda_{sub} = \frac{p_T \text{ from LV}}{p_T \text{ from LV} + p_T \text{ from PU}} = \frac{p_T^{C,LV}/\gamma_1}{p_T^{C,LV}/\gamma_1 + p_T^{C,PU}/\gamma_0}$$

Reduces to

$$\lambda_{\mathsf{sub}} = 1 - \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_T^{\mathsf{C},\mathsf{PU}}}{\gamma_0 \boldsymbol{p}_T}$$

• Estimate charged-to-all ratio in pileup  $\gamma_0 = \text{constant}$ .



#### (B) Linear Cleansing

Estimate scaling by ratio

$$\lambda_{sub} = \frac{p_T \text{ from LV}}{p_T \text{ from LV} + p_T \text{ from PU}} = \frac{p_T^{C,LV}/\gamma_1}{p_T^{C,LV}/\gamma_1 + p_T^{C,PU}/\gamma_0}$$

Reduces to

$$\lambda_{\mathsf{sub}} = 1 - \frac{p_T^{\mathsf{C},\mathsf{PU}}}{\gamma_0 p_T}$$

• Estimate charged-to-all ratio in pileup  $\gamma_0 = \text{constant}$ .



#### (B) Linear Cleansing

Estimate scaling by ratio

$$\lambda_{sub} = \frac{p_T \text{ from LV}}{p_T \text{ from LV} + p_T \text{ from PU}} = \frac{p_T^{\text{C,LV}}/\gamma_1}{p_T^{\text{C,LV}}/\gamma_1 + p_T^{\text{C,PU}}/\gamma_0}$$
Reduces to

$$\lambda_{\mathsf{sub}} = 1 - \frac{p_T^{\mathsf{C},\mathsf{PU}}}{\gamma_0 p_T}$$

• Estimate charged-to-all ratio in pileup  $\gamma_0 = \text{constant}$ .



#### (C) Gaussian Cleansing

Estimate scaling by ratio

$$\lambda_{\mathsf{sub}} = 1 - rac{oldsymbol{p}_T^{\mathsf{C},\mathsf{PU}}}{\gamma_0 oldsymbol{p}_T}$$

Find  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1$  by maximizing a Gaussian.

$$\mathcal{P}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)\propto \exp\left[-rac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0,1}\left(rac{\gamma_i-\overline{\gamma_i}}{\sigma_i}
ight)^2
ight]$$



#### (C) Gaussian Cleansing

Estimate scaling by ratio ►

$$\lambda_{\mathsf{sub}} = 1 - rac{oldsymbol{p}_T^{\mathsf{C},\mathsf{PU}}}{\gamma_0 oldsymbol{p}_T}$$

Find  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1$  by minimizing a Gaussian.

$$\mathcal{P}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)\propto \exp\left[-rac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0,1}\left(rac{\gamma_i-\overline{\gamma_i}}{\sigma_i}
ight)^2
ight]$$



### Cleansing + Grooming

Cleansing interfaces nicely with trimming.

- Cluster jet constituents into subjets
- ► Calculate rescaling λ<sub>sub</sub>(cells, tracks; particles)
- Rescale constituents in subjet

$$p_i^\mu o \lambda_{\mathsf{sub}} p_i^\mu \qquad i \in \mathsf{subjet}$$

- Discard subjets with
   p<sub>T,sub</sub> < f<sub>cut</sub>p<sub>T,jet</sub>
- Reassemble jet



Can improve  $S/\sqrt{B}$ .

- Qcleansing? Choosing multiple  $\lambda_{sub}$ 's.
- Subjets without subjets? Alternative to subjets (see Daniele's talk).
- Event cleansing? Apply cleansing to whole event before jet clustering.

- Cleansing removes pileup from jets and returns the jet with constituents. Easy to calculate jet shapes.
- Utilizes information from charged tracks to infer local neutral composition.
- Can use charged track information without needing particle flow.
- Interfaces smoothly with existing charged hadron subtraction techniques.

\* Plugin available on FastJet Contrib

# **Backup Slides**

- Resonance decaying to light quarks generated with MadGraph5 v1.5.8
- Pythia v8.176 tune 4C, used for pileup and showering.
- Amount of pileup drawn from Poisson.
- ► FSR, ISR, UE included.
- Charged particles with  $p_T < 0.5$  GeV discarded.
- ▶ Remaining particles grouped in  $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi = 0.1 \times 0.1$  calo cells.
- Only cells with E > 1 GeV are kept.
- ▶ Jets with  $p_T > 150$  GeV and  $|\eta| < 2.5$  after pileup are kept.





- Colors correspond to different p<sup>C,PU</sup>/p<sub>T</sub> fractions.
- One DOF in parameterization.
- ► JVF and linear cleansing select choose a γ<sub>0</sub> value.
- Gaussian cleansing puts a Gaussian potential on the line.









#### Comparisons



