Jet Shape at the LHC

Yang-Ting Chien

Los Alamos National Laboratory

January 22, 2014 Boston Jet Physics Workshop, MIT

In collaboration with Ivan Vitev

Outline

In this talk,

- Jet shape $\Psi(r; R)$ in proton collisions
 - quark jet and gluon jet discrimination
- Soft-collinear effective theory (SCET)
 - Resummation of $\log r/R$
- Preliminary results
 - Baseline for heavy ion studies

Outline

In this talk,

- Jet shape $\Psi(r; R)$ in proton collisions
 - quark jet and gluon jet discrimination
- Soft-collinear effective theory (SCET)
 - Resummation of $\log r/R$
- Preliminary results
 - Baseline for heavy ion studies

Work in progress,

- Jet shape $\Psi(r; R)$ in heavy ion collisions
 - Jet quenching in quark-gluon plasma (QGP)
 - SCET with Glauber gluons (SCET_G)

Motivation

- Quark-gluon discrimination
 - Heavy particles usually decay into quark-heavy final states
 - QCD backgrounds are mostly gluon-heavy

Being able to distinguish quarks from gluons is very important

- Quark and gluon jet substructures are different
- Jet shape (defined later) probes the energy distribution inside a jet



Figure: (Left) Gluino decay chain with a quark-heavy final state. (Right) Different higgs production mechanisms with quark or gluon jets in the final state

Jet shape $\Psi(r; R)$



Figure: Jet shape definition, $\Psi(r = 0.1)$ and $\langle \Psi \rangle_{all \ jets}(r)$. (Schwartz and Gallicchio)

Jet shape probes the energy distribution inside a jet

- $\Psi(r = 0.1)$ is an event-by-event jet observable
- $-\langle\Psi
 angle_{all\ jets}(r)$ is the average over all jets

Jet shape $\Psi(r; R)$



Figure: (Right) Shape of the most central jet in h+2j events. (Yuan etal)

- Jet shape probes the energy distribution inside a jet
 - $\langle \Psi \rangle_{all \; jets}(r)$ is the average over all jets
 - e.g. discrimination of the higgs production mechanisms

Motivation

- Characterizing the properties of the QGP
 - Medium modifications in jet shape contains information about QGP



Figure: (Top) The CMS differential jet shape measurements in pp (open circles) and PbPb (filled circles) collisions, and their ratios (bottom). (1310.0878)

Theory calculation of jet shape

 pQCD calculation with a parameter R_{sep} fits the CDF data. (Seymour, Ivan etal)



Theory calculation of jet shape

Phenomenology with SCET_G

- Qualitative features predicted. Quantitatively, the enhancement near the periphery of the jet
- The exact details of the shape deviate at small and intermediate r/R



"Comparison" to CMS data



 This is the region where we hope we can improve using SCET resummation techniques and full SCET_G medium-induced splittings

See talk by Y.-T.Chien (2014)

Theory calculation of jet shape

• Large logarithms of the form $\alpha_s^n \log^m r/R$ ($m \le 2n$) need to be resummed - pQCD resummation agrees with the CDF data. (Yuan etal)



Figure: Comparison between the pQCD resummed result and the CDF data

Resumming phase space logs using EFT techniques

Soft Collinear Effective Theory (SCET)

- Separate physical degrees of freedom by a systematic expansion in power counting
 - Matching SCET with QCD. Integrate out the hard modes.
 - $\bullet~$ Further integrate out the off-shell modes \rightarrow collinear Wilson lines
 - Soft sector \rightarrow soft Wilson lines
- $\bullet~$ Soft-collinear decoupling at leading order $\rightarrow~$ Factorization theorem



Figure: Factorization in SCET

An attempt to resum $\Psi(r; R)$ using SCET

Jet shape has dominant contributions from the collinear sector

$$\Psi(r) = \frac{E_c^{< r} + E_s^{< r}}{E_c^{< R} + E_s^{< R}} \sim \frac{E_c^{< r}}{E_c^{< R}} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$$

- Contributions from the (ultra)soft modes are power suppressed
- Power counting parameter λ is of $\mathcal{O}(R)$ (threshold enhancement)
- We use SCET only for averaging over parton shower but not the jet production cross sections (David Farhi's talk)

$$\begin{split} \langle \Psi \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \int d\omega \frac{d\sigma}{d\omega} \Psi_{\omega} \text{, where } \Psi_{\omega} = \frac{\langle E_r \rangle_{\omega}}{\langle E_R \rangle_{\omega}} \text{ and } \langle E_r \rangle_{\omega} = \int dE_r E_r J_{\omega}(E_r) \\ J_{\omega}(E_r) &= \sum_{X_c} \langle 0 | \bar{\chi}_{\omega}(0) | X_c \rangle \langle X_c | \chi_{\omega}(0) | 0 \rangle \delta(E_r - \hat{E}^{< r}(X_c, \text{algorithm})) \end{split}$$

• Using the collinear SCET Feynman rules, the *jet energy function* $J_r^E = \frac{2}{\omega} \langle E_r \rangle_{\omega}$ is calculated at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ for quark jets and gluon jets

Renormalization group evolution of jet energy functions

$$\frac{dJ_r^{qE}(r,R,\mu)}{d\ln\mu} = \left[-C_F \Gamma_{\text{cusp}} \ln \frac{\omega^2 \tan^2 \frac{R}{2}}{\mu^2} - 2\gamma_{J^q} \right] J_r^{qE}(r,R,\mu)$$
$$\frac{dJ_r^{gE}(r,R,\mu)}{d\ln\mu} = \left[-C_A \Gamma_{\text{cusp}} \ln \frac{\omega^2 \tan^2 \frac{R}{2}}{\mu^2} - 2\gamma_{J^g} \right] J_r^{gE}(r,R,\mu)$$

The anomalous dimensions of the jet energy functions are

$$\gamma_{J^q} = -3C_F$$
, $\gamma_{J^g} = -\beta_0 = -\frac{11}{3}C_A + \frac{4}{3}T_F n_f$

- The same anomalous dimensions as the unmeasured jet functions
- The anomalous dimensions are r independent
- $-J_r^E$ and J_R^E have the same anomalous dimensions
- $\Psi_{\omega}(r; R) = J_r^E / J_R^E$ which we calculate in SCET is RG invariant

Natural scales

• Jet energy functions at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$

$$I_r^{qE}(r, R, \mu) = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{\omega^2 \tan^2 \frac{r}{2}}{\mu^2} - \frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{\omega^2 \tan^2 \frac{r}{2}}{\mu^2} - 2 \ln X \ln \frac{\omega^2 \tan^2 \frac{r}{2}}{\mu^2} + 2 - \frac{3\pi^2}{4} + 6X - \frac{3}{2}X^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2}X^2 - 2X^3 + \frac{3}{4}X^4 + 2X^2 \log X\right) \tan^2 \frac{R}{2} \right], \text{ where } X = \frac{\tan \frac{r}{2}}{\tan \frac{R}{2}}$$

- The scale $\mu_{j_r} = \omega \tan \frac{r}{2}$ eliminates large logarithms at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$

 $-J_r^E$ and J_R^E have the same anomalous dimensions but different scales



Resummed jet energy functions

• RG evolution between μ_{j_r} and μ_{j_R} resums $\log r/R$

$$\Psi_{\omega}^{i}(r,R) = \frac{J^{iE}(r,R,\mu_{j_{r}})}{J^{iE}(R,\mu_{j_{R}})} \exp\left[-2C_{i}S(\mu_{j_{r}},\mu_{j_{R}})+2A_{J^{i}}(\mu_{j_{r}},\mu_{j_{R}})\right] \left(\frac{\mu_{j_{r}}^{2}}{\omega^{2} \tan^{2} \frac{R}{2}}\right)^{C_{i}A_{\Gamma}(\mu_{j_{R}},\mu_{j_{r}})}$$

$$S(\nu,\mu) = -\int_{\alpha_{s}(\nu)}^{\alpha_{s}(\mu)} d\alpha \frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}(\alpha)}}{\beta(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha_{s}(\nu)}^{\alpha} \frac{d\alpha'}{\beta(\alpha')}, \quad A_{X}(\nu,\mu) = -\int_{\alpha_{s}(\nu)}^{\alpha_{s}(\mu)} d\alpha \frac{\gamma_{X}(\alpha)}{\beta(\alpha)}$$



Figure: The LO and NLL jet shapes for quark and gluon jets with E_J =100 GeV.

Numerical results and comparison with the CMS data



Figure: Differential jet shape for nucleon-nucleon center of mass energy of 2.76 TeV in proton collisions. Jets are reconstructed using the anti- k_T algorithm R = 0.3 with, $p_T > 100 \text{ GeV}$ and $0.3 < |\eta| < 2$. The curve for jets reconstructed using the recursive cone algorithm is plotted to show the algorithmic dependence in jet shape.

Conclusions and future work

- Jet shape is calculated in the SCET collinear sector

 Global logarithms of log *r*/*R* are resummed to NLL using RG evolution between the two natural scales ω tan ^{*r*}/₂ and ω tan ^{*R*}/₂
 - Nice agreement with the recent CMS data

Conclusions and future work

- Jet shape is calculated in the SCET collinear sector
 - Global logarithms of $\log r/R$ are resummed to NLL using RG evolution between the two natural scales $\omega \tan \frac{r}{2}$ and $\omega \tan \frac{R}{2}$
 - Nice agreement with the recent CMS data
- Work in progress and future work
 - Calculate jet shape for jets in heavy ion collisions
 - Glauber gluon contributions and the use of SCET_G
 - Medium modification of parton shower evolution
 - Extracting properties of the quark-gluon plasma