Jet Shape at the LHC

Yang-Ting Chien

Los Alamos National Laboratory

January 22, 2014 Boston Jet Physics Workshop, MIT

In collaboration with Ivan Vitev

Outline

In this talk,

- \bullet Jet shape $\Psi(r; R)$ in proton collisions
	- quark jet and gluon jet discrimination
- Soft-collinear effective theory (SCET)
	- Resummation of log *r*/*R*
- **•** Preliminary results
	- Baseline for heavy ion studies

Outline

In this talk,

- \bullet Jet shape $\Psi(r; R)$ in proton collisions
	- quark jet and gluon jet discrimination
- Soft-collinear effective theory (SCET)
	- Resummation of log *r*/*R*
- **•** Preliminary results
	- Baseline for heavy ion studies

Work in progress,

- \bullet Jet shape $\Psi(r; R)$ in heavy ion collisions
	- Jet quenching in quark-gluon plasma (QGP)
	- $-$ SCET with Glauber gluons (SCET_G)

Motivation

- Quark-gluon discrimination
	- Heavy particles usually decay into quark-heavy final states
	- QCD backgrounds are mostly gluon-heavy

Being able to distinguish quarks from gluons is very important

- Quark and gluon jet substructures are different
- Jet shape (defined later) probes the energy distribution inside a jet

Figure: (Left) Gluino decay chain with a quark-heavy final state. (Right) Different higgs production mechanisms with quark or gluon jets in the final state

Jet shape Ψ(*r*; *R*)

Figure: Jet shape definition, $\Psi(r=0.1)$ and $\langle\Psi\rangle_{all\;lets}(r)$. (Schwartz and Gallicchio)

Jet shape probes the energy distribution inside a jet

- $-\Psi(r=0.1)$ is an event-by-event jet observable
- $\langle \Psi \rangle_{all \text{ jets}}(r)$ is the average over all jets

Jet shape Ψ(*r*; *R*)

Figure: (Right) Shape of the most central jet in h+2j events. (Yuan etal)

- Jet shape probes the energy distribution inside a jet
	- $\langle \Psi \rangle_{all\ jets}(r)$ is the average over all jets
	- e.g. discrimination of the higgs production mechanisms

Motivation

- Characterizing the properties of the QGP
	- Medium modifications in jet shape contains information about QGP

Figure: (Top) The CMS differential jet shape measurements in pp (open circles) and PbPb (filled circles) collisions, and their ratios (bottom). (1310.0878)

Theory calculation of jet shape

● pQCD calculation with a parameter *R*_{sep} fits the CDF data. (Seymour, Ivan etal)

Theory calculation of jet shape

Phenomenology with $SCET_G$

- Qualitative features predicted. \blacksquare Quantitatively, the enhancement near the periphery of the jet
- The exact details of the shape \blacksquare deviate at small and intermediate r/R

"Comparison" to CMS data

This is the region where we hope ٠ we can improve using SCET resummation techniques and full SCET_G medium-induced splittings

See talk by Y.-T.Chien (2014)

Yang-Ting Chien [Jet Shape at the LHC](#page-0-0)

Theory calculation of jet shape

Large logarithms of the form $\alpha_s^n \log^m r/R$ $(m \leq 2n)$ need to be resummed – pQCD resummation agrees with the CDF data. (Yuan etal)

Figure: Comparison between the pQCD resummed result and the CDF data

Resumming phase space logs using EFT techniques

Soft Collinear Effective Theory (SCET)

- Separate physical degrees of freedom by a systematic expansion in power counting
	- Matching SCET with QCD. Integrate out the hard modes.
	- Further integrate out the off-shell modes \rightarrow collinear Wilson lines
	- Soft sector → soft Wilson lines
- \bullet Soft-collinear decoupling at leading order \rightarrow Factorization theorem

Figure: Factorization in SCET

An attempt to resum $\Psi(r; R)$ using SCET

. Jet shape has dominant contributions from the collinear sector

$$
\Psi(r)=\frac{E_c^{
$$

- Contributions from the (ultra)soft modes are power suppressed
- Power counting parameter λ is of $\mathcal{O}(R)$ (threshold enhancement)
- We use SCET only for averaging over parton shower but not the jet production cross sections (David Farhi's talk)

$$
\langle \Psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sigma} \int d\omega \frac{d\sigma}{d\omega} \Psi_{\omega} , \text{ where } \Psi_{\omega} = \frac{\langle E_r \rangle_{\omega}}{\langle E_R \rangle_{\omega}} \text{ and } \langle E_r \rangle_{\omega} = \int dE_r E_r J_{\omega}(E_r)
$$

$$
J_{\omega}(E_r) = \sum_{X_c} \langle 0 | \bar{\chi}_{\omega}(0) | X_c \rangle \langle X_c | \chi_{\omega}(0) | 0 \rangle \delta(E_r - \hat{E}^{
$$

● Using the collinear SCET Feynman rules, the jet energy function $J_r^E = \frac{2}{\omega}\langle E_r\rangle_\omega$ is calculated at ${\cal O}(\alpha_s)$ for quark jets and gluon jets

Renormalization group evolution of jet energy functions

$$
\frac{dJ_r^{qE}(r, R, \mu)}{d\ln \mu} = \left[-C_F \Gamma_{\text{cusp}} \ln \frac{\omega^2 \tan^2 \frac{R}{2}}{\mu^2} - 2\gamma_{J^q} \right] J_r^{qE}(r, R, \mu)
$$

$$
\frac{dJ_r^{gE}(r, R, \mu)}{d\ln \mu} = \left[-C_A \Gamma_{\text{cusp}} \ln \frac{\omega^2 \tan^2 \frac{R}{2}}{\mu^2} - 2\gamma_{J^g} \right] J_r^{gE}(r, R, \mu)
$$

• The anomalous dimensions of the jet energy functions are

$$
\gamma_{J^q} = -3C_F \; , \quad \ \gamma_{J^g} = -\beta_0 = -\frac{11}{3}C_A + \frac{4}{3}T_F n_f
$$

- The same anomalous dimensions as the unmeasured jet functions
- The anomalous dimensions are *r* **independent**
- J_r^E and J_R^E have the same anomalous dimensions
- $-\Psi_{\omega}(r;R)=J_{r}^{E}/J_{R}^{E}$ which we calculate in SCET is RG invariant

Natural scales

 \bullet Jet energy functions at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$

$$
J_r^{qE}(r, R, \mu) = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{\omega^2 \tan^2 \frac{r}{2}}{\mu^2} - \frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{\omega^2 \tan^2 \frac{r}{2}}{\mu^2} - 2 \ln X \ln \frac{\omega^2 \tan^2 \frac{r}{2}}{\mu^2} + 2 - \frac{3\pi^2}{4} + 6X - \frac{3}{2}X^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2}X^2 - 2X^3 + \frac{3}{4}X^4 + 2X^2 \log X\right) \tan^2 \frac{R}{2} \right], \text{ where } X = \frac{\tan \frac{r}{2}}{\tan \frac{R}{2}}
$$

 $-$ The scale $\mu_{j_r} = \omega \tan \frac{r}{2}$ eliminates large logarithms at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$

 $- J_F^E$ and J_R^E have the same anomalous dimensions but different scales

Resummed jet energy functions

RG evolution between μ_{j_r} and μ_{j_R} resums $\log r/R$

$$
\Psi_{\omega}^{i}(r,R) = \frac{J^{iE}(r,R,\mu_{jr})}{J^{iE}(R,\mu_{jk})} \exp[-2C_{i}S(\mu_{jr},\mu_{jk}) + 2A_{jl}(\mu_{jr},\mu_{jk})] \left(\frac{\mu_{jr}^{2}}{\omega^{2}\tan^{2}\frac{R}{2}}\right)^{C_{i}A_{\Gamma}(\mu_{jk},\mu_{jr})}
$$

$$
S(\nu,\mu) = -\int_{\alpha_{s}(\nu)}^{\alpha_{s}(\mu)} d\alpha \frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}(\alpha)}}{\beta(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha_{s}(\nu)}^{\alpha} \frac{d\alpha'}{\beta(\alpha')} , \quad A_{X}(\nu,\mu) = -\int_{\alpha_{s}(\nu)}^{\alpha_{s}(\mu)} d\alpha \frac{\gamma_{X}(\alpha)}{\beta(\alpha)}
$$

Figure: The LO and NLL jet shapes for quark and gluon jets with *EJ*=100 *GeV*.

Numerical results and comparison with the CMS data

Figure: Differential jet shape for nucleon-nucleon center of mass energy of 2.76 TeV in proton collisions. Jets are reconstructed using the anti- k_T algorithm $R = 0.3$ with, $p_T > 100$ *GeV* and $0.3 < |\eta| < 2$. The curve for jets reconstructed using the recursive cone algorithm is plotted to show the algorithmic dependence in jet shape.

Conclusions and future work

● Jet shape is calculated in the SCET collinear sector

- Global logarithms of log *r*/*R* are resummed to NLL using RG evolution between the two natural scales $\omega \tan \frac{r}{2}$ and $\omega \tan \frac{R}{2}$
- Nice agreement with the recent CMS data

Conclusions and future work

- Jet shape is calculated in the SCET collinear sector
	- Global logarithms of log *r*/*R* are resummed to NLL using RG evolution between the two natural scales $\omega \tan \frac{r}{2}$ and $\omega \tan \frac{R}{2}$
	- Nice agreement with the recent CMS data
- ● Work in progress and future work
	- Calculate jet shape for jets in heavy ion collisions
	- Glauber gluon contributions and the use of $SCET_G$
	- Medium modification of parton shower evolution
	- Extracting properties of the quark-gluon plasma