Measurements of heavy-flavor decay leptons in pp, p-Pb, and Pb-Pb collisions with ALICE

Sarah LaPointe for the ALICE collaboration

Winter Workshop on Nuclear Dynamics Galveston, TX April 8, 2014





Overview



- * Introduction
- * Measuring heavy-flavors (HF) with ALICE
- * HF decay leptons in pp, p-Pb, and Pb-Pb collisions
 - Production cross sections
 - * Nuclear modification factor in p-Pb and Pb-Pb collisions
 - Elliptic flow in Pb-Pb collisions
 - * HF electron-hadron correlations in pp and p-Pb collisions
- * Summary

Why study open heavy-flavour?

 At the LHC - abundant production of heavy quarks (charm and beauty)

RHIC to the LHC:

- ~ $10^* \sigma_{cc}$
- ~ $50^*\sigma_{bb}$
- Heavy quarks are produced via hard parton scatterings in the initial phase of the collision
 - large mass \rightarrow short formation time

 $\tau_{charm} \sim 1/2m_c \sim 0.1~fm$

- Formation time (τ_{form}) of the Quark Gluon Plasma (QGP) is < 1 fm/c
- At LHC energies, in central (0-5%) collisions, the QGP fireball lifetime (τ_f) is estimated to be ~ 10 fm/c (PLB 696 (2011) 328)







Why study open heavy-flavour?



Pb-Pb collisions: Probing the QGP matter

- Heavy quarks experience the full evolution of the system, making them excellent probes
- In-medium partonic energy loss Both mass (dead cone) and color charge dependent

$\rightarrow \Delta E_g > \Delta E_{u,d,s} > \Delta E_c > \Delta E_b$

L. Dokshitzer, D.E. Kharzeev Phys. Lett. B 519 (2001) 199, N. Armesto, C., A. Salgado and U. A. Wiedemann. PRD 69 (2004) 114003

• Medium transport properties (collectivity). Do heavy quarks participate in the collective expansion of the system? Do they thermalize with the medium?

<u>pp collisions</u>

- Important test of perturbative QCD predictions
- Baseline for effects found in p-Pb and Pb-Pb collisions

<u>p-Pb collisions</u>

- Control experiment for Pb-Pb
- Study cold nuclear matter effects
 - Modification of parton distributions in nuclei (shadowing/parton saturation)
 - k_T-broadening, energy loss in cold nuclear matter

A Large Ion Collider Experiment



Open heavy-flavour program



Mid rapidity ($|\eta| < 0.9$)

- **D** mesons (D^0, D^+, D^*, D_s) via hadronic decays
- Select on displaced vertices using TPC and ITS
- Particle ID using TPC and TOF
- Invariant mass (Minv) analysis

See talk by C. Jena

Single electrons from semi-leptonic heavy-flavour hadron decays • e[±] ID using TPC, TOF, EMCal and TRD

Forward rapidity (-2.5 < η < -4)

Single muons from semi-leptonic heavy-flavour hadron decaysMuon spectrometer

Electron identification



Mid rapidity ($|\eta| < 0.9$)



electrons at low momentum

high momentum

TOF - Velocity measurement
 TPC - Specific energy loss, dE/dx
 EMCal - Deposited energy, where E/p ~ 1 for electrons



Single electrons from semi-leptonic heavy-flavour hadron decays

- Background estimated from MC cocktail or e⁺e⁻ invariant mass method
 - The cocktail is based on measured cross sections of background sources
 - Di-electron pairs from photon conversions and Dalitz decays, mainly π^0 , are primary contributors to low M_{inv} (e⁺e⁻) peak

Beauty hadron decay electrons



The approach:

- Separate electrons from B decays
- Exploit relatively long lifetime of B mesons
- Excellent resolution given by the ALICE Inner Tracking System



 $\sigma_{d0} < 75 \ \mu m$ for $p_T > 1 \ GeV/c$

Beauty hadron decay electrons



The approach:

- Separate electrons from B decays
- Exploit relatively long lifetime of B mesons
- Excellent resolution given by the ALICE Inner Tracking System



$\sigma_{d0} < 75 \ \mu m$ for $p_T > 1 \ GeV/c$

Impact parameter (IP) analysis (Main method used by ALICE)

- Beauty decay electrons have broader IP (d₀) distribution compared to backgrounds
- Electrons must satisfy p_T dependent minimum condition on d₀
- Background subtraction using MC cocktail, with measured cross sections as input

Beauty hadron decay electrons



The approach:

- Separate electrons from B decays
- Exploit relatively long lifetime of B mesons
- Excellent resolution given by the ALICE Inner Tracking System



σ_{d0} < 75 μ m for p_T > 1 GeV/c

Impact parameter (IP) analysis (Main method used by ALICE)

- Beauty decay electrons have broader IP (d₀)
 distribution compared to backgrounds
- Electrons must satisfy p_T dependent
 minimum condition on d₀
- Background subtraction using MC cocktail, with measured cross sections as input

Alternative methods using EMCal triggered events:

Displaced electron-hadron (e-h) vertices

- Reconstruct e-h displaced vertices
- Selection: e-h M_{inv}, distance to primary vertex, and p_T of hadron

e-h azimuthal correlations ($\Delta \phi_{e-h}$)

- Near-side peak wider for beauty compared to charm hadron decays
- Pythia templates used to estimate relative contribution

Muon track selection



Forward rapidity (-2.5 < η < -4)



Track selection

- 1. muon trigger matching: reject hadrons that cross the front absorber
- 2. correlation between track momentum and distance of closest approach: remove beam gas and particles produced in the absorber



Single muons from semi-leptonic heavy-flavour hadron decays

- After track selection, HF decay muons dominate the total yield above 4 GeV/c
- Dominant background sources are from the decays of π and K
 - In pp, estimated using a MC simulation
 - In Pb-Pb, extrapolated from the measured π , K yields at mid rapidity

pp collisions



	7 TeV	2.76 TeV
Muons	2010 run, $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 16.5 \text{ nb}^{-1}$, MB and muon trigger	2011 run, $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 19 \text{ nb}^{-1}$ muon trigger
Electrons	2010 run, $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 2.6 \text{ nb}^{-1}$ from MB trigger, $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 2.1 \text{ nb}^{-1}$ from EMCal trigger	2011 run, $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 1.1 \text{ nb}^{-1}$ from MB trigger, $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 14.8 \text{ nb}^{-1}$ from EMCal trigger

Single HF decay leptons in pp collisions at 7 TeV

HF decay electrons



• Measured production cross section of HF decay muons and electrons

- \bullet Measurements of HF decay electrons in p_T region complementary to ATLAS results
- pQCD predictions (FONLL shown) describe the data within uncertainties FONLL: Cacciari et al., JHEP 9805 (1998) 007, JHEP 0103 (2001) 006, GM-VFNS: EPJ C72(2012)2082, k_T factorization: arXiv:1301.3033

Beauty decay electrons in pp collisions at 7 TeV

Impact parameter (IP) analysis

p_T differential production cross section of electrons from **beauty** hadron decays

- Estimate electrons from **charm** hadron decays using D mesons measured by ALICE
- Beauty decay electrons start to dominate, relative to charm at p_T of ~ 4 GeV/c
- Compatibility with FONLL calculations Cacciari et al., JHEP 9805 (1998) 007, JHEP 0103 (2001) 006
- The calculations GM-VFNS and k_Tfactorization are also in agreement Nucl. Phys. B 872 (2013) 253-264, arXiv:1306.6808



More beauty in pp collisions at 7 TeV

16

e-h correlations in $\Delta \phi$

- Pythia **template** for **charm** and **beauty** used to estimate the relative fraction of beauty decay electrons
- Extends to a p_T of 18 GeV/c
- Possible, using total cross section of electrons from HF hadron decays, to calculate production cross section of electrons from beauty hadron decays





Various HF results in pp collisions at 2.76 TeV*



HF decay electrons - pp reference

- Smaller uncertainties in 7 TeV sample
- pp reference for Pb-Pb and p-Pb (R. Averbeck et al., arXiv:1107:3243)
 - $p_T < 8 \text{ GeV/c: computed using FONLL to scale the cross section measured at 7 TeV to 2.76 and 5.02 TeV$
 - $p_T > 8 \text{ GeV/c: use FONLL cross section}$
- FONLL scaled 7 TeV cross section is consistent with the 2.76 TeV result

Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$

Muons	R _{AA} : 2010 run, $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 2.7 \ \mu b^{-1}$, MB trigger	
	v ₂ : 2011 run, 8 M semi-central trigger and 8. 7 M central trigger events	
Electrons	R _{AA} : 2011 run, 16.7 M central trigger and 0.67 M EMCal trigger in 0-10%	
	v ₂ : 2011 run, 7.3 M semi-central trigger and 1.3 M EMCal trigger in 20-40%	

R_{AA} of HF decay leptons in Pb-Pb collsions



 R_{AA} of HF decay **muons** and **electrons** measured in central (0–10%) and peripheral (40–50% for electrons and 40-80% for muons) collisions.

- For $p_T \sim 7 \text{ GeV/c}$ suppression factor 3-5 for most central collisions
- Suppression of HF decay electrons and HF decay muons comparable
- HF decay electrons suggest rise for higher p_T

Elliptic flow of HF decay leptons in Pb-Pb collisions

 p_T integrated (3-10 GeV/c) elliptic flow (v₂) of muons from HF decays measured in 2.5 < y < 4



ALI-PREL-52008

- v₂ increases from central to peripheral collisions (0-40% range)
- Positive v_2 (3 σ effect) in semi-central (20-40%) collisions

Elliptic flow of HF decay leptons in Pb-Pb collisions



 p_T integrated (3-10 GeV/c) elliptic flow (v₂) of muons from HF decays measured in 2.5 < y < 4 p_T dependent (20-40% central) v_2 of muons from HF decays measured in 2.5 < y < 4



- v_2 increases from central to peripheral collisions (0-40% range)
- Positive v_2 (3 σ effect) in semi-central (20-40%) collisions
- v₂ of HF decay electrons at **mid-rapidity** is compatible with v₂ of HF decay muons at **forward rapidity** within the experimental uncertainties
- heavy quarks seem to experience the anisotropic expansion of the medium

Model comparison to HF decay electron results



Partonic transport models

BAMPS - Collisional energy loss in a deconfined medium with a mimicking of radiative energy loss via an increase in the elastic cross section

J. Uphoff et al. arXiv 1205.4945

Rapp et al. - Collisional (elastic) processes via a non-perturbative T-matrix approach R. Rapp et al. arXiv 1208.0256

POWLANG - Based on Langevin equation with collisional energy loss in a deconfined medium A.Beraudo et al J.Phys.G G38 124144

Simultaneous description of both observables not easily achieved

Prompting refinement of the models e.g. R.Rapp et al. arXiv:1401.3817, updated with radiative processes and a softer expansion



Electrons

R_{pPb}: 2013 run, 105 M MB trigger events

e-h: 2013 run, 91 M MB trigger events

R_{pPb} of electrons in p-Pb collisions at 5.02 TeV



- Results using two different electron ID strategies are consistent
- Data described by FONLL+EPS09 parametrization of shadowing, within the uncertainties K. J. Eskola, H. Paukkunen and C.A. Salgado JHEP 0904 (2009) 065

25

Comparison of HF decay electron R_{AA} and R_{pPb}



ALI-PREL-31917

Observed suppression in Pb-Pb is mainly a final state effect, due to in-medium energy loss



Two particle correlations in p-Pb collisions



Motivation for the study of HFe-h correlation studies in p-Pb



(0-20%)-(60-100%) Multiplicity classes*

* Multiplicity classes defined by V0A detector with multiplicity in the region of 2.8 < η < 5.1 in the Pb hemisphere

Hadron-hadron correlations: long range structure observed on near and away side. Also observed for $h-\pi$, h-K, and h-p.

ALICE Collaboration PLB 719 (2013) 29 ALICE Collaboration PLB 726 (2013) 164

Origin of 'double ridge' structure?

1. Initial state effects

Parton saturation in the nucleus -Color Glass Condensate (CGC)

K. Dusling and R.Venugopalan, arXiv:1302.7018

2. Final state effects

Multi-parton interactions in high multiplicity pp collisions

S.Alderweireldt and P.Van Mechelen, arXiv:1203.2048

Hydrodynamic expansion

• pp collisions

K.Werner, I. Karpenko, and T. Pierog, PRL 106 (2011) 122004

p-Pb collisions

Bozek et al., PLB 718 (2013) 1557

26

HFe-h correlations in p-Pb collisions





27

HFe-h correlations in p-Pb collisions

PREITMINAR

 $\Delta \phi$ (rad)



Summary



Open heavy-flavour measured with ALICE via semi-leptonic decay channels

Nuclear modification factor:

- In Pb-Pb HF decay leptons show a strong suppression in central collisions for $p_T > 3 \text{ GeV}/c$
- R_{AA} moves toward unity in peripheral collisions
- In p-Pb, compatible with unity within the experimental uncertainties

Suppression observed in Pb-Pb collisions mainly a final state effect

Elliptic flow:

- Indication of positive v₂ in semi-central collisions
- Comparable v₂ of muons in forward rapidity and electrons in the mid rapidity range

Heavy quarks experience anisotropic expansion of the medium

e-h azimuthal correlation:

• In high multiplicity p-Pb collisions a double ridge structure observed

CGC or collective effects?





Pb-Pb and p-Pb collisions



• Nuclear modification factor

 $R_{AA} = \frac{1}{\langle N_{coll} \rangle} \frac{yield \ in \ AA}{yield \ in \ pp} = \frac{1}{\langle T_{AA} \rangle} \frac{dN_{AA}/dp_T}{d\sigma_{pp}/dp_T}$

 \ast N_{coll} and T_{AA} depend on the centrality of the collision. Estimated using the Glauber model

- Elliptic flow- Momentum space azimuthal anisotropy
 - sensitive to collectivity at low py and path length dependence of energy loss at high py



$$E\frac{d^{3}N}{dp^{3}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d^{2}n}{p_{T}dp_{T}dy} (1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_{n} \cos[n(\phi - \Psi_{RP})])$$

$$\nu_{2} = <\cos(2[\phi - \Psi_{RP}]) >$$

$$\nu_{2} = <\cos(2[\phi - \Phi_{RP}]) >$$



Two particle correlations in p-Pb



ALI-PUB-46228

ALI-PUB-46224