# High-gradient proton accelerating structure developments at CERN

Alexej Grudiev 6/02/2014 CLIC14 Workshop

## Acknowledgements

This work is done in close collaboration between CERN (M. Garlasche, A. Grudiev, I. Syratchev, M. Timmins, W. Wuensch) and TERA foundation (U. Amaldi , S. Benedetti, A. Degiovanni, P. Magagnin, G. Porcellana) in the frame work of the CERN Knowledge Transfer (KT) Fund project: "High-gradient Accelerating Structure for Proton Therapy Linacs"

## Outline

- Introduction
  - RF cavities constraints for hadrontherapy
- Backward travelling wave **cell design** and **optimization** for high gradient operations
  - Nose cone study
  - Tapering
  - Couplers
- Comparison of different structure designs
  - SW SCL design
  - backward TW
- Engenearing design
- Conclusions

## TULIP 2.0 at 3 GHz with $E_0 = 50 \text{ MV/m}$



## Linac layout and BDR requirements

• Quasi-periodic **PMQ FODO lattice** sets a limit to the length of each structure and determines the group velocity range.

- The cells in each structure (tank) have the same length, while from one tank to the next, the cell length increases:
  β tapering in the range 0.22-0.60
- Trade-off between transverse acceptance and RF efficiency:
  bore aperture = 5 mm
- Max BDR: 1 BD per treatment session (~ 5 min) on the whole linac length (~ 10 m).

→ BDR ~ 10<sup>-6</sup> bpp/m

## COMPARISON BETWEEN TW AND SW STRUCTURES

## Comparison between TW structure and SCL



## Comparison of E-field in TW and SW



## PROs and CONs of bTW compared to standard SCL design

- + simpler mechanically
- + less material and brazing needed (lower number of cells)
- + tuning is easier for TW
- + shorter filling time
- + no bridge couplers

- small wall thickness
- material properties change during brazing
- Dissipated power is higher (half power goes to the load)
- → Recirculation loop (power for TW 10-20% higher than SW)



## NOVEL DESIGN FOR HIGH GRADIENT OPERATION

## Proposal for bTW design for hadrontherapy



Proposed by A. Grudiev





vg\_in ~ 0.4% c vg\_out ~ 0.2% c filling time ~ 0.3 μs

#### Nose geometry optimization

- Scan on:
  - Nose cone angle
  - Gap
  - Nose cone radius(\*)
  - Phase advance (120°-150°)
  - coupling hole radius
    - (vg = 4 % and 2 %)
- Optima:
  - Minimum of the quantity:



\* based also on results of the SCL optimization

#### **Optimization plots - fields**



## **Optimization plots**



#### 150° - 16 holes – nose 1 -2 mm – gap and angle scan



### 150° - 16 holes – nose 1 -2 mm – gap and angle scan



## Tank optimization



## RF design of the full structure is done



The Sc/Ea<sup>2</sup> < 7e-4 A/V constraint is respected

## **ENGENERING DESIGN**

## Backward travelling wave accelerating structure



## Accelerating structure



## Joining procedures







OFE Copper melting point 1083 °C

**CREEP?** 

## **Evaluation of different cells structural** performance

#### **120°** of phase advance Static Structura B: 120° Static Structural Equivalent Stress Equivalent Stress Type: Equivalent (von-Mises) Stress Type: Equivalent (von-Mises) Stress Unit: MPa Unit: MPa Time: 1 Time: 1 Load: 12/01/2013 13:35 12/01/2013 13:35 g 0.089486 Max 0.076899 Max 0.083096 gravitational 0.071412 0.076706 0.065924 0.070315 0.060437 0.063925 0.054949 0.057535 force 0.049462 0.051144 0.043974 0.044754 0.038487 0.038364 0.032999 0.031973 0.027512 0.025583 0.022024 0.019193 0.016537 0.012802 0.01105 0.0064119 0.0055621 2.1596e-5 Min 7.4668e-5 Min $\sigma_{max} = 0.077 \ [MPa]$ $\sigma_{max} = 0.090 \ [MPa]$

 $f_{max} = 8.9 \cdot 10^{-5} \ [mm]$ 

#### **150°** of phase advance

 $f_{max} = 9.1 \cdot 10^{-5} \ [mm]$ 

## **Creep tests**

20 discs, to be tested at the 3 temperatures, in order to simulate vertical and horizontal bonding/brazing.



## **Thermal Test at Bodycote**



## **Creep Results in general - Summary**



Average Axial: 13 µm ; Average Radial: 6 µm (without cells 2 and 8)

## Summary

- Optimization of TW structures for high gradient operations has been performed for 120° and 150° phase advance.
- 150° phase advance has been chosen
- The **RF design of the input and output coupler** is finished.
- Creep tests have been performed to validate H-bonding at 1050 ° C
- The Engenering design including thermo-mechnical simulation is progressing well
- The design and test of the novel bTW structures is boosting the TULIP project!

# High-Gradient RF Test Stand plans at IFIC IFIC-IFIMED, Valencia

#### CLIC Workshop 2014

**3-7 February 2013** 

## *O***GAP**

**A. Faus-Golfe** on behalf of IFIC, GAP (Group of Accelerator Physics) http://gap.ific.uv.es Valencia, Spain









- The IFIMED: Research on Imaging and Accelerators applied to Medicine. As an R&D Institute on Medical Physics it is configured through two Research Groups:
  - Image Science: New Imaging devices as Compton combined with Positron Emission (PET) in the context of the ENVISION project, as well as the development and design of the reconstruction algorithms
  - Accelerators: Linacs for medical applications as cyclinacs (S and C-bands) in the context of PARTNER project in collaboration with TERA and Beam Instrumentation for hadrontherapy



► In the framework of the KT project: "High Gradient Accelerating Structures for proton therapy linacs", whose scope is the design, construction and high power test of two high-power prototype 3 GHz accelerating structures at 76 MeV (low energy) and 213 MeV (high energy) which corresponds to the to the lowest and highest energy of the proton linac.

• The idea is to complement these studies with the **design and test of two intermediate** (2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup>) proton linac structures. This complementary study will give us the possibility to simulate the most realistic conditions and running operation conditions of this kind of linacs.

• Test stand with two klystrons (3GHz have 7.5 MW power each, 5 ms RF pulse duration and 400 Hz), the RF system becomes much more flexible allowing arbitrary phase and amplitude pulse shapes even when using a pulse compressor.





#### IFIMED R&D labs integrated in the Scientific Park of the UV



## **BACK-UP slides**

SUMMARY	120 deg		150 deg		SCL base	SCL – HG
wall thickness (mm)	1.5		1.5		3.0	3.0
gap (mm)	5.5		7.0		5.1	9.5
nose cone angle (deg)	65		55		25	55
length (mm)	189.9		189.9		189.9	189.9
ncell	15		12		10	10
Ea_avg (MV/m)	25		25		25	25
Sc_nose (MW/mm2)	0.149		0.185		0.486	0.188
t_pulse (ns) flat	2500		2500		2500	2500
expected BDR (at given Ea and t_pulse) (bpp/m) based on Sc limit	1.1 E-22		2.9 E-21		5.7 E-15	3.7 E-21
max Ea (for BDR of 10 <sup>-6</sup> bpp/m) (MV/m)	85.2		76.3		47.1	75.7
Pin (MW) (w/o recirculation)	2.70	5.19	2.49	5.10	1.75	2.26
Pout (MW) (w/o recirculation)	-	2.90	-	3.02	-	-
Q0 (first/last)	6482/6721		7088/7545		8291	8250
vg (first/last) [%c]	0.421/0.226		0.404/0.236		-	-
R'/Q (first/last) [Ohm/m]	7872/7847		7835/7794		8406	6355
time constant (ns)	320		340		440	440
fieldspise/time (time to reach 99% field) (ns) (w/o recirculation)	A. D 750	egiovanni 204	800	204	1050	<sup>33</sup> 1050