





Mono- and Di-photon Searches at the LHC

Toyoko Orimoto, Northeastern University Astroparticle Physics 2014







Introduction



- ATLAS & CMS are two large, general purpose particle detectors
- One of our main goals is to discover a dark matter candidate particle (or other new physics) at the LHC
- Will highlight the most recent SUSY/BSM results from ATLAS & CMS studies with photon(s) in the final state
- High energy photons are among the cleanest and lowest background signatures at the LHC







- General Gauge Mediation
- Gravitino is LSP
- NLSP is bino-like lightest neutralino (χ^0_1) , which decays predominately to gravitino (G) + photon
- Two production modes:
 - Strong production: NNLSP is gluino & SUSY events induced by gluino pair production
 - Electroweak production: NNLSP is wino $(\chi_2^0 \text{ and } \chi_1^{\pm})$
- We expect two energetic photons and significant missing transverse energy (MET)



ATLAS-CONF-2014-001





Backgrounds grouped into three primary components:

- "QCD": γγ, γ + jet and multijet events with at least one jet misreconstructed as a photon
 - Estimated using data-control sample
- 2. "Electroweak": primarily W + X (where 'X' can be any number of jets $+ \le 1$ photon) & tt events
 - Typically have at least one electron faking photon; Estimated using electron-photon data control sample
- 3. "Irreducible": W and Z bosons produced in association with 2 γ , with subsequent decays to one or more ν
 - W background is dominant and estimated using γγl sample, while Z is estimated with MC





- Events must have ≥ 2 isolated photons E_T > 75 GeV & lηl < 2.4; jets required to have p_T > 30 GeV and lηl < 2.8
- To maximize sensitivity over wide range of model parameters (& kinematic properties), different signal regions (SR) optimized for:
 - SP1 & SP2: strongly-produced SUSY states at high mass
 - WP1 & WP2: weakly-produced SUSY states at interm. mass
 - Model-independent signal region (MIS) imposing no cut on mass scale variables, only minimum MET

Background	SP1	SP2	WP1	WP2	MIS
QCD	$0.00\substack{+0.20 \\ -0.00}$	$0.22^{+0.53}_{-0.22}$	0.29 ± 0.29	0.89 ± 0.60	0.73 ± 0.53
Electroweak	< 0.02	0.02 ± 0.02	0.15 ± 0.07	0.67 ± 0.22	0.24 ± 0.10
$W(\rightarrow \ell \nu) + \gamma \gamma$	0.03 ± 0.02	0.02 ± 0.01	0.44 ± 0.18	0.74 ± 0.27	0.47 ± 0.19
$Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}) + \gamma\gamma$	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.13 ± 0.07	0.08 ± 0.04	0.15 ± 0.08
Total	$0.03^{+0.20}_{-0.02}$	$0.26\substack{+0.53 \\ -0.22}$	1.01 ± 0.36	2.38 ± 0.69	1.59 ± 0.58
Observed events	0	0	1	5	2

ATLAS-CONF-2014-001

Toyoko Orimoto, Northeastern



- No evidence for new physics in 20.3 fb⁻¹ of 8 TeV data
- 95% CL on # events observed, translated to limits on the visible σ for new physics, then to limits on masses
- Lower limits on masses of gluino (1280 GeV) & wino (570 GeV) for bino masses above 50 GeV
- Largest systematic uncertainty from photon energy scale (11-17%) and jet energy scale (20-22%)

ATLAS-CONF-2014-001







SUSY Top Squark/Higgsino



- Search for "natural" SUSY with GMSB; assume only top squark & Higgsino are accessible
- The lightest chargino (χ_1^+) and neutralinos (χ_1^{0}, χ_2^{0}) are almost pure Higgsinos and therefore almost mass-degenerate
- For significant fraction of parameter space, lightest neutralino decays as χ₁⁰ →HG
- Final state of two Higgs bosons, MET from gravitino, and in the case of strong production, two bquark jets from top squark decay



 Require at least one Higgs decay to γγ and at least two b-jets are present from either Higgs or top squark decays



SUSY Top Squark/Higgsino



- Require additionally 2 central photons ($p_T > 40$, 25 GeV) and ≥ 2 jets ($E_T > 30$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.4$ and loose b-tag)
- γγ in final state allows use of diphoton mass sidebands for a datadriven estimate of the background, without sensitivity to the exact composition of the background
- Background dominated by QCD production of γγbb & γbb + jet
- Separate events into three different background categories:
 - 1. At least one additional b-jet in addition to the two
 - 2. Invariant mass of the two b-jets is within Higgs mass window
 - 3. All other events
- For small top squark-Higgsino mass differences, most of signal populates category (2), while for large differences (1) and (3)



SUSY Top Squark/Higgsino



- Power law fit to γγ mass sidebands to normalize background distributions
- MET is most sensitive variable wrt exp. limits

Category $m_{\sim tR}/m_{\chi 01}$ (C	ieV) (i)	(ii)	(iii)
Signal 350/135	10.7	2.0	6.8
Signal 300/290	2.1	10.1	3.9
Signal 400/300	4.0	1.4	2.8
Expected background	6.7 ± 1.4	10.5 ± 1.8	29.7 ± 2.8
Observed	6	7	33







- Data (19.7 fb⁻¹ of 8 TeV) agrees with expected background and thus limits are calculated
- Top squark masses below 360 to 410 GeV are excluded at 95% CL
- Largest uncertainty is from statistical uncertainty in background determination
- Dominant systematic uncertainty from b-jet ID efficiency & jet energy scale (between 1-17%)





Exotic Photon+Jet



- Several exotic production mechanisms that can produce massive γ + jet final states:
 - Non-thermal quantum black holes (QBHs): can be produced with masses ~M_D at LHC as a consequence of large ED; would evaporate quickly and decay into few particles
 - Excited quarks: produced via fusion of quark and gluon; would provide evidence that quarks have substructure
 - Also explore generic Gaussian-shaped resonance with arbitrary $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$
- SM backgrounds arise from:
 - γ + jet, primarily from qg scattering
 - Radiation off of final-state quarks
 - Dijet or multijet events

• Require ≥ 1 central γ candidate & ≥ 1 jet candidate, each with $p_T > 125$ GeV



Exotic Photon+Jet



- Background is smoothly falling and thus can fit for data-driven background estimate
- Functional form validated on MC and data control samples
- No significant excess observed in 20.3 fb⁻¹ of 8 TeV data





Exotic Photon+Jet



- Observed (expected) lower limits (at 95% CL) are
 - QBH mass threshold: 4.6 (4.6) TeV
 - Excited-quark mass: 3.5 (3.4) TeV
- Largest uncertainty from background fit 1% (20%) at 1 TeV (3 TeV)





CMS Exotic Photon+Jet



- Using 19.7 fb⁻¹ of 8 TeV data, CMS excludes an excited quark state with mass $0.7 < M_{q^*} < 3.5$ TeV and SM couplings at 95% CL
- Also, exclude mass as a function of coupling: exclude excited quark with mass $0.7 < M_{q*} < 2.9$ TeV for f = 0.5





Exotic Photon+MET



- Monophoton can be used to constrain variety of BSM scenarios
- Dark Matter:
 - At LHC, DM (χ) can be produced via qq $\rightarrow \gamma \chi \chi$
 - Massive mediator couples SM to DM particles
 - Various processes contracted into an eff theory with contact interaction scale Λ
- ADD Large Extra Dimensions:
 - Solve hierarchy problem by lowering Planck scale to M_D , given by $M_{Pl}^{2} \approx M_D^{n+2} R^n$
 - KK graviton (MET) produced with $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$
- Branon:
 - If brane tension low, brane can fluctuate in ED
 - Scalar particles associated with such fluctuations are called branons

CMS-PAS-EXO-12-047









Exotic Photon+MET



- Require events with at least one central photon with $E_T > 145$ GeV, MET > 140 GeV & $\Delta\phi(MET,\gamma) > 2$
- Large number of backgrounds, which are estimated using a combination of MC and data-driven methods
 - Irreducible $(Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu \nu \gamma)$: MC
 - Partially reducible $(W(\rightarrow lv)\gamma)$: MC
 - Fake photons ($W \rightarrow lv$, QCD multijet): data driven fake rates
 - Fake MET (γ +jet, $Z\gamma \rightarrow ll\gamma$, diphoton): MC
 - Non-collision background from cosmic ray muons & beam halo muons: shower shape and timing
- Data control regions used to validate background estimates



Exotic Photon+MET



- After selection, data (19.6 fb⁻¹ at 8 TeV) compatible with expectations and 90% & 95% CL limits set as a function of γ p_T
- Largest systematic uncertainties arise from scale factors (~6%) and MC Kfactors (10% Z(vv)γ : 15% Wγ)

Process	Estimate	
$Z(\to \nu\bar{\nu}) + \gamma$	344.8 ± 42.5	
$W(\rightarrow \ell \nu) + \gamma$	102.5 ± 20.6	
W ightarrow e u	59.5 ± 5.5	
jet $ ightarrow \gamma$ fakes	45.4 ± 13.9	
Beam halo	24.7 ± 6.2	
Others	35.7 ± 3.1	
Total background	612.6 ± 63.0	
Data	630.0	





Photon+MET: DM Limits

10⁻³⁶



CMS Preliminary

- 90% CL upper limits placed on the DM production $\sigma_{\!\!\!\!,}$ as function of $M_{_{\!\!\!\!\,\chi}}$, for vector & axial-vector operators
- Then converted into corresponding ulletlower limits on cutoff scale Λ , which are then then translated into upper limits on χ -nucleon cross-section
- Vector: Previously inaccessible masses below 3.5 GeV are excluded for a χ -nucleon cross section greater than 0.03 fb at 90% CL
- Axial-vector: the upper limits surpass all previous constraints for the mass range of 1-100 GeV

-Nucleon Cross Section [cm²] -nucleon Cross Section [cm²] -10⁻⁴⁰ -10⁻⁴⁰ -10⁻⁴⁰ -10⁻⁴¹ -10⁻⁴² -10⁻⁴¹ -10⁻⁴² -10⁻⁴⁴ -1 10⁻³⁷ 10⁻³⁸ SIMPLE 2012 10⁻⁴³ $(\overline{\chi}\gamma \chi)(\overline{q}\gamma^{\mu}q)$ Spin Independent, Vector Operato 10^{-4} 10^{2} 10 M_v [GeV] **C-Nucleon Cross Section [cm²]** 10⁻³⁰ 10⁻⁴¹ 10⁻⁴¹ 10⁻⁴² 10⁻⁴² CMS Preliminary (<u>λ</u>ά λ^ωλ)(<u>d</u>λ_ωλ^ωλ^ωλ Spin Dependent, Axial-vector operator 10-4 10² 10 M_v [GeV]



Photon+MET: ED Limits



 $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}, L = 19.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

- 95% CL upper limits placed on ADD & branon models
- ADD: $M_D > 2.0 2.30$ • TeV for n=3-6
- Massless branons, ٠ tension f > 412 GeV













Conclusions



- Monophoton and diphoton signatures at the LHC are phenomenogically rich, potentially providing a path to discover dark matter or other BSM physics
- Looking forward to exploring photon signatures at higher energy
- ATLAS:
 - <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/SupersymmetryPublicResults</u>
 - <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/ExoticsPublicResults</u>
- CMS:
 - <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResults</u>





Toyoko Orimoto, Northeastern

