Jets and electroweak bosons measured in p+Pb and Pb+Pb collisions with ATLAS

PETER STEINBERG, BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY LHCP 2014 JUNE 5, 2014

Hard process rates in A+B

 In HI and p+Pb, expect scaling of hard processes with nuclear thickness (calculated using Glauber modeling)

 $N_X/N_{evt} = \sigma_{NN} \times T_{AB}$

- Strong asymmetries observed in high energy dijets in Pb+Pb, by ATLAS & CMS
 - First direct indications of quenching of jets
- Consistent with previous measurements of hadron suppression, and jet suppression
 - Both observed violation of expected rates of high \mathbf{p}_{T} hadrons and jets
- Electroweak bosons are a crucial check to make sure nuclear modifications to PDFs are not responsible for this effect
- Further studies of jets in Pb+Pb and p+Pb relative to p+p to provide further insight



Data sets

- Two primary data sets discussed in this talk
 - 140 µb⁻ of Pb+Pb from November/December 2011 run
 - 2.76 TeV in the NN center of mass
 - ~30 nb of p+Pb from the January/February 2013 run
 - 5.02 TeV in the pN center of mass, with $\Delta y{=}0.465$ in proton direction
- Similar triggers in both datasets
 - Muon triggers for W,Z
 - Electron and photon triggers for Z,photon
 - Jet triggers, with full background subtraction at in the ATLAS high level trigger
- Centrality
 - Total E_T in ATLAS FCal (3.2<|eta|<4.9) in Pb+Pb
 - For p+Pb collisions, Pb-going FCal only
 - In both cases, FCal total E_T distribution divided into percentiles, "centrality intervals", to which we attribute <N_{coll}> based on a Glauber Monte Carlo calculation





Centrality dependence of W yields

Yield per binary collision

is approx. constant over 0-80% centrality range: well described by NLO calculations of NN

Highest precision confirmation of T_{AB} scaling to date.

Published Z results give similar conclusions.



Charge asymmetry

Charge asymmetry vs. lepton **η** is consistent with both NLO & LO* calculations.

This variable not sensitive to some implementations of nPDFs, but an important check on importance of isospin



Inclusive photon production in Pb+Pb



Photons compared to JETPHOX NLO pQCD calculations

(CTEQ6.6, BFG II FFs) run with R=0.3, 6 GeV isolation.

Three configurations: **pp** (unity), **Pb+Pb/pp** (black line), **EPS09/pp** (blue area). Yellow shaded region is scale & PDF uncertainties, shared with Pb+Pb. EPS09 errors represented by blue area.

Inclusive jet suppression in Pb+Pb

Inclusive jet rates in Pb+Pb scaled by cross sections, recently measured using 2013 2.76 TeV data

Large (~2x suppression) observed in the most central events, consistent with previous ATLAS measurements of central/peripheral ratios

> Mild but significant rise at higher p_T, in the more central bins.



Jet suppression in Pb+Pb

Very weak dependence on jet rapidity, suggesting no obvious influence of nuclear PDFs

Very strong centrality dependence, with maximal suppression factor of 0.4 in the 0-1% most central Pb+Pb events



Z in p+Pb f





New measurements of p+Pb cross sections.

Good consistency between dielectron and dimuon channels.. Similar as in Pb+Pb, compared to NNLO calculation using CT10 PDFs. Small (10-20%) enhancement observed in Pb-going direction

Centrality dependence of Z production

10



The per collision Z yield has some dependence on details of centrality calculation. ATLAS is exploring effect of a fluctuating σ_{NN} , as suggested by Alvioli and Strikman (2013).



Interesting to compare rates of Z's with inclusive charged particles: scaling less sensitive to details of calculation, and good agreement with data.

Jets in p+Pb

- While some indications of hot, dense matter observed in p+Pb collisions ("double ridge" studied extensively by LHC and RHIC experiments), strong energy loss not expected, due to much shorter transverse path length than in Pb+Pb
- ATLAS has measured jets in p+Pb over a very wide kinematic region



Jet suppression relative to proton-proton collisions

Also using the 2013 p+p data set, interpolated to 5.02 TeV using x_T scaling.

Use of y* (rapidity in CM frame) to account for CM boost in p+Pb relative to p+p

At all rapidities, no suppression seen, with perhaps a small systematic enhancement over pp, consistent with EPS09 calculation (green region)

12



Central/peripheral ratios in p+Pb

Jet yields in a more-central selection divided by T_{pPb} , relative to same ratio in the 60-90% selection (closest to pp) " R_{CP} "

Strong R_{CP} suppression seen in forward (proton-going) rapidities, increasing w/ centrality

Mysterious given overall scaling for minimum bias!

13



Centrality-selected suppression relative to pp

Central/peripheral ratios are consistent with 0-90% R_{pPb} based on observed centrality-selected R_{pPb}:

Jets are suppressed in more central events, but enhanced in peripheral events!



Centrality-selected suppression relative to pp

Central/peripheral ratios are consistent with 0-90% R_{pPb} based on observed centrality-selected R_{pPb}:

Jets are suppressed in more central events, but enhanced in peripheral events!



What controls the relative suppression in p+Pb?



An **unexpected scaling** has been observed for the central/peripheral ratios by plotting the R_{CP} in all rapidity selections as a function of jet momentum: Unifies behavior of relative jet suppression at all rapidities. Very different from Pb+Pb — possibly a correlation of the jet kinematics with the centrality observable in p+Pb?

Conclusions

- Brief review of jet and EW results from Pb+Pb and p+Pb
- Pb+Pb shows two characteristic features
 - Electroweak production is produced linearly with the number of binary collisions, no obvious effect (yet) of nuclear PDFs.
 - Jets are strongly suppressed in more central events, by more than a factor of two.
- p+Pb shows very different features
 - Z production shows an unexpected rapidity asymmetry
 - Jets show no overall suppression, but a non-trivial centrality dependence of jet rates relative to expectation: possible correlation between jet kinematics and event structure?
 - p+Pb is evidently not a trivial reference!
- Many interesting questions for LHC Run 2!

Hard process rates in Pb+Pb and p+Pb

- ATLAS observed strong asymmetries in dijets in the earliest Pb +Pb data
 - Clear indication of jet energy loss in the hot, dense matter formed at the LHC
 - Observed in inclusive jet and hadron suppression by all LHC experiments.
- Centrality is critical to understanding the production rates of hard processes in collisions involving nuclei
 - Expect yields of a hard process to scale as the pp cross section, times the "mean nuclear thickness function", $\rm T_{AB}$
 - In practical calculations, we use Glauber model for nuclei to calculate $T_{AB} = \langle N_{coll} \rangle / \sigma_{NN'}$, where N_{coll} is the number of binary collisions, and σ_{NN} is the nucleon-nucleon total inelastic cross section
 - Since $\langle N_{coll} \rangle$ also scales linearly with $\sigma_{_{NN'}}$, $T_{_{AB}}$ is a purely geometric quantity which expresses the incoming flux of partons in an A+B collision
- In both p+Pb and Pb+Pb we use processes which are not affected by the hot, dense medium to test whether or not our basic assumption is correct
 - Importance of W/Z/photons!



z (fm)

W production

W selection: $p_{T\mu}>25$ GeV, $p_T>25$ GeV, $m_T>40$ GeV



η distributions of leptons from W decays in Pb+Pb efficiency corrected to fiducial region same as selection cuts: LO* & NLO QCD calculations account for isospin in PDFs. Excess of negative charge reflects d quarks from neutrons.

Hard Probes: Z boson yields vs. y

Phys. Rev. Lett 110, 022301 (2013)



Z bosons reconstructed in both dielectron and dimuon channels: lineshape well described by ATLAS simulations

Z rapidity distribution well described by PYTHIA pp dNz/dy scaled to NNLO cross section